Abstract

Background: Calcium (Ca^{2+}) signaling within the nucleus is known to play a crucial role in cell proliferation. The aim of this study was to investigate whether nuclear Ca^{2+} buffering could improve the antitumor effect of X-rays therapy on Human Squamous Cell Carcinoma (HSCC).

Methods: For these purpose, we developed an experimental protocol that simulated clinical radiotherapy and prevented bystander effects of irradiation. HSCC, A431 cell line, was submitted to 10Gy cumulative X-rays therapy alone (XR_{10Gy}) or in association with the strategy that selectively buffer nuclear Ca^{2+} (Ca^{2+} buffering).

Results: Upon Ca^{2+} buffering, A431 cell proliferation rate decreased significantly as compared to control. Cell cycle analysis showed that association of Ca^{2+} buffering with XR_{10Gy} increased the percentage of A431 cells at G_{2}/M and did not increase nuclear/mitochondrial DNA damages. Nonetheless, Ca^{2+} buffering prevented the increase of the radiosensitivity-related biomarker ADAM-17 expression and EGFR activation induced by irradiation. Furthermore, the association therapy almost completely abolished cell survival fraction even using approximately half of the X-rays cumulative dose.

Conclusions: Nuclear Ca^{2+} buffering almost completely abolishes human squamous cell carcinoma to X-rays irradiation treatment.
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Introduction

Head and neck cancer is the eighth leading cause of cancer death worldwide [1]. In patients diagnosed with head and neck cancer, Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC) is the most frequent tumor type, characterized by local tumor aggressiveness, and high frequency of second primary tumors [2]. Although, radiotherapy plays a pivotal role in head and neck SCC treatment, recurrent tumors frequently show increased radioresistance [3]. It is known that, exposure of SCC to X-rays therapy triggers compensatory pathways that can induce cell survival and repopulation following radiation [4]. Therefore, for SCC, resistance to radiotherapy is one of the major barriers in the treatment [5], and little is known about the molecular and cellular mechanisms that leads to radioresistance. Moreover, the combined effects of radiation field uniformity and dose fractionation schedules on SCC have not been studied in detail [6]. One molecular target demonstrated to be important for the SCC treatment has been the Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) [7]. EGF receptor (EGFR) exhibits tyrosine-kinase activity and through activation of its downstream signals regulates cell proliferation, differentiation and survival [8]. It has been demonstrated that EGFR is frequently over expressed in malignant tumors, and its over expression correlates with increased cellular resistance to consecutive radiation exposure [4,8]. Radiation activates EGFR, which in turn stimulates phospholipase C (PLC) activity, inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3) production and release of Ca^{2+} from internal stores [9]. Intracellular Ca^{2+} participates as a second messenger in several signaling pathways, coordinating key events in a variety of cellular functions [10]. Particularly, nuclear Ca^{2+} signaling is known to play an important role on tumor growth [11,12]. For instance, we had previously demonstrated that nuclear Ca^{2+} regulates the expression of genes involved in cell proliferation, and also showed that the buffering of nuclear Ca^{2+} impairs the growth of cancer cells in vitro as well as in vivo [11,12]. Nevertheless, there is no report regarding the cellular effect of nuclear Ca^{2+} buffering associated to X-rays on cell proliferation. In the present work, we investigated whether nuclear Ca^{2+} buffering could improve the effect of clinical doses of X-rays on human SCC growth. For that, we developed a refined in vitro daily fractioned irradiation model, based on head and neck radiotherapy protocol, to evaluate the role of the association therapy on cell proliferation. We found that nuclear Ca^{2+} buffering increased radiation efficacy, at least
in part, by preventing ADAM-17 over expression and consequently EGFR activation.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture, human material and reagents

We used skin human squamous epidermoid carcinoma (HSCC), A431 cell line. SCC of skin is known to have a predilection for the head and neck region [13], and we used squamous cell carcinoma cell line because of its well-known radioresistance behavior [14-17]. A431 cell line was obtained from Rio de Janeiro Cell Bank-RJC (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), and primary cell culture of human gingival fibroblast was kindly donated by Dr. Melissa M. Guimarães from Federal University of Vales do Jequitinhonha and Mucuri, Brazil. Human materials used in this study were undertaken under Institutional Review Board approved protocol (RD OO3/09), after obtaining informed consent. Upon arrival, A431 cells were immediately expanded and frozen. These cells could be restarted every 3 months from a frozen vial of the same batch of cells. Cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 5% FBS or DMEM containing 1 mM sodium pyruvate, supplemented with 10% FBS, respectively, plus 50 units/mL penicillin, and 50 g/mL streptomycin, all from Gibco (Grand Island, NY). Horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat-anti-rabbit IgG and TRI-reagent from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, USA), polyclonal anti-rabbit ACE from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, (SantaCruz, USA), monoclonal anti-rabbit EGF-R from Cell Signaling (Boston, USA), anti-phospho-EGF (Tyr1173), clone 9H2 from Millipore (Billerica, MA), First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit from Fermentas (Ontário, Canada), SYBR®Green PCR Master Mix and StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, USA), Alexa-488 from Invitrogen (Maryland, USA). All other reagents were of the highest quality that was commercially available.

Irradiation planning and dosimetry

Acrylic platform, measuring 27 x 25 cm, was designed to maintain the cells correctly positioned during irradiation. The platform was positioned in the middle of the water bath and CT images were acquired using Sensation 64 Siemens (Malvern, USA). CAT 3D for WIN 32 software was used for radiotherapy planning. Isodose curves were determined using two vertical parallel opposed radiation fields. For dosimetry, the 25 x 25 cm² field sizes and Monitor Units (MU) linear accelerator setting were used. The phantom was scanned in depth along central ray and in cross plane direction of the radiation field. For details see supplementary material and methods.

Radiotherapy procedures

X-rays beam from 4MV linear accelerator CLINAC 4X (Varian, USA) was used for these studies. The cells were irradiated using isocentric technique with the linear accelerator positioned at the base of the adhered cells. Two vertical parallel opposed fields were used. The Source-axis distance (SAD) was 80 cm, the gantry angles were 180° and 0°, and for a question of choice the Source-surface distance (SSD) was 684.9 mm and 653.5 mm, respectively. The field size of 25 x 25 cm² at the isocenter plane was the same for both fields. The cells were irradiated with fractioned daily doses of 2Gy during five days. The geometrical arrangement described above was MU: 116 for the anterior field and 129 for the posterior field. To maintain the electronic equilibrium during X-rays irradiation, T25 tissue flasks were filled with supplemented RPMI. Room conditions were: 20°C, relative air humidity of 42%, and atmospheric pressure of 92.2 kPa. Assays were initiated 5h after irradiation.

In vitro irradiation geometrical arrangement

Geometrical in vitro irradiation can be described mathematically as:

\[
MU = \frac{D}{2F_{cal} x TMR(d,r_f) x S_x(r_s) x S_y(r_s)} \left(\frac{SAD}{SCD}\right)^2
\]

where \(MU\) is monitor units; \(D\) is dose; \(F_{cal}\) is calibration factor; \(TMR\) is tissue maximum ratio; \(d\) is depth; \(r_f\) is field width at depth \(d\); \(S_x\) is collimator scatter; \(r_s\) is field width defined by collimator jaws; \(S_y\) is phantom scatter; \(SAD\) is source axis distance and \(SCD\) is source chamber distance.

Nuclear Ca²⁺ buffering

To selectively buffer nuclear Ca²⁺, we used a vector containing the cDNA for the IP, binding domain (residues 224-605) of the human type I IP, receptor that was tagged with monomeric red fluorescent protein (mRFP) and the Nuclear Localization Signal (NLS), as described [18]. A type V recombinant adenovirus (200 MOI) containing the nuclear IP, buffer vector was used to selectively deliver the Ca²⁺ buffer construct into the cells.

Cell proliferation assay

A431 cells and human gingival fibroblasts were directly counted as previously described [11].

Clonogenic assay

Clonogenic assay was performed as previously described [19]. Briefly, after progressively cumulative dose and after each day of experimental conditions, 1.0 x 10³ and 2.0 x 10³ cells were seeded onto 6 well tissue culture plates, containing 5 mL culture medium. The cells were incubated to 15 days and then dyed using a mixture of 6% of glutaraldehyde (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and 0.5% crystal violet (Vetec, Duque de Caxias, Brazil) for 12 h. Mixture was removed and colonies formation was counted.

Flow cytometry assay

Cell cycle phase was performed as previously described [20]. Briefly, A431 cells were stained with HFS 0.5% solution (0.5% sodium citrate w/v, from Merck, 0.5 mg/mL propidium iodide (PI), from Sigma and 0.5% Triton X-100 v/v, from USB, Cleveland, USA). DNA content was determined using a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, USA). Data were analyzed by BD Cell Quest software (USA).

Immunoblotting

Standard methods were used for immunoblots [12]. Briefly, 40 µg of whole cell protein was subject to sodium-dodecyl-sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) in 8% Tris–HCl gels, as indicated. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes followed by antibody labeling. Primary antibodies used to ADAM-17 and EGF Receptor were: polyclonal anti-rabbit TACE (1:1000); monoclonal anti-rabbit EGF Receptor (1:1000). Antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing, blots were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat-anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:5000) at room temperature for 1 h. Westerns were developed with ECL-plus reagent and films were scanned with a GS-700 imaging densitometer (Bio-Rad, USA). The analyses of these images were performed using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Real-time PCR

Real-time PCR was performed as previously described [12,21]. Total
RNA was obtained using the TRIzol reagent and 2 µg of total RNA were used for retro-transcription using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit. Real-time quantitative PCR for ADAM-17 was performed using SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix, and the dissociation curves were performed to confirm the specificity of products.

The ADAM-17 forward primer was 5’-GGACCCCTTCCCCAATAGCA -3’ and reverse primer was 5’-ATGTGCCGTGAGATCCCTCAA -3’. The threshold cycles (CT) values of target genes were normalized relative to glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene, and relative expression ratios were calculated by the 2–ΔΔ Ct method. EGFR forward primer was 5’-CTTGGATACCCGGACCAAG-3’ and reverse primer was 5’-CAACCTCCCCCCATTGTCGCC-3’. Negative controls were treated with water and total RNA was non-reverse transcribed. DNA templates were amplified by real time PCR on the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System using the SYBR® green method; β-actin was used as an internal control to normalize variations in DNA concentrations. Experiments were performed in triplicate for each data point. After amplification, electrophoresis of 10 µL reaction mixture on a 2% NuSieve:agarose gel (3:1) (FMC product, Rockland, ME) was visualized under UV illumination after staining with ethidium bromide.

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as means and SEM. Prism (Graph Pad Prism software, San Diego, CA) was used. Statistical significance was tested with one-way analysis of variance and the t test or Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney test depending on the parametric or non-parametric data nature. In all cases, the p<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Water phantom improves dose distribution across cells using fractionated schedule

Radiotherapy is based on traditional radiobiological models in which cells hit by radiation, either directly or indirectly, present increased probability of death [22]. Despite large improvements in cancer therapy, a better understanding of cell biology effects induced by radiation still needs further investigation. Here, we developed an in vitro irradiation model to monolayer cells, based on head and neck treatment parameters, using tissue flasks fulfilled with medium, and immersed into water phantom positioned by an acrylic platform (Supplementary Figure 1A-1C). Reproducibility in planning target volume during radiotherapy is one of the most important parameters to treatment success [23]. Therefore, the platform was constructed to maintain tissue flasks in a fixed position, ensuring target cells reproducibility during irradiation and allowing a better X-rays absorption and scattering.

Computed Tomography localization and virtual dose simulation are tools used to provide more accurate tumor target, in order to reduce geographical misses and treatment-related toxicity [24]. To ensure that in our experimental setup the SCC cell line would be able to receive the total prescribed dose, we performed radiotherapy planning of the cells, similarly to what is done for in vivo studies (Figure 1A-1B). The color lines represent the isodoses curves and the percentage of absorbed dose. The radiotherapy planning shows that inside irradiation field, all cells receive the same percentage of doses. We also observed uniform distribution of absorbed dose across tissue flasks in parallel opposed fields including lateral flasks borders (Supplementary Movie 1). Since radiotherapy demands accurate dose determination for delivery of highly dose uniformity we evaluated the dose-volume histogram as well as real dosimetry measurements, using ionization chambers (Figure 1C-1D). We found that independent of the flasks position inside irradiation field, all tissue flasks can receive 100 ± 2% of the total calculated dose (Figure 1C). Moreover, depth dose measurements, using our setup, showed that there is no difference in the dose delivered to the cells compared to the computational dosimetry (Figure 1D). It also showed that depth dose curves for parallel opposed field normalized to midpoint value were similar to what has been proposed for 4MV energy beam [25]. While a correctly delivery of X-rays has many potential benefits, a poor delivery may lead to the opposite outcome [26]. Therefore, the position accuracy of the tissue culture flasks was verified using oncoligic film (Supplementary Figure 2).

Several methods are available for in vitro irradiation of cell monolayers [22]; however, non-uniformity of doses along field profile hampers cell biology conclusions. Our in vitro radiobiology model provides uniform irradiation to monolayer cells minimizing uncertainties. Moreover, Monitor Units (MU) relates the dose at any point on the central ray of the treatment beam as a function of beam parameters such as source axis distance (SAD), field size (rd) and depth d of the interest [27]. We also tested a MU equation that permits the cells to be treated based on radiotherapy equipment parameters, applying it in diverse radiotherapy machines (Supplementary Figure 3A-3B). In contrast with conventional models for in vitro irradiation that uses tissue flasks irradiated under air column, dosimetry measurements in our proposed model, confirmed dose uniformity across cells (Figure 1E-1G). Using our irradiation setup, we then investigated cell cycle profile of A431 cells, under the conventional in vitro irradiation protocol of 10Gy single X-rays dose (XR 10Gy), in comparison with 10Gy cumulative dose, fractionated schedule of 2Gy for up to 5 days(XR 10Gy), (Figure 2A-2B). In cells that received XR 10Gy, there was a significant (p<0.001) decrease in G1/G0 phase (34.8 ± 2.5%), but an increase in the percentage of cells in the SubG1 (19.4 ± 2%), G1/M (23.9 ± 1.5%), and S (21.5 ± 2.3%) phases relative to control. Under XR 10Gy, compared to control, there was no significant change in the SubG1, an indicative of radioresistance. However, there was a decrease in the G1/G0, phase (57 ± 1.5%) and increase in the G1/M phase (29.6 ± 1.3%), relative to control, respectively (71.7 ± 2.8% and 13.7 ± 2%, p<0.001).Clearly, cell death (SubG1) was more pronounced (p<0.01) in XR 10Gy (19.4% ± 3.6%) compared to XR 10Gy (4.3% ± 2%), suggesting an intrinsic adaptive response against cell death induced by fractionated X-rays injury. These findings show that using single or cumulative irradiation protocol drives cells towards distinct cell cycle profile. The observed differences fractionated schedule cannot be attributed to bystander effect, because all cells received an uniform irradiation dose.

Taking together, our data demonstrated that not only the irradiation setup but also the irradiation protocol, represented by single versus cumulative dose, are important patterns to consider when studying cell biology events that happen under radiotherapy treatment.

Buffering nuclear Ca2+ decreases cell proliferation and alter EGFR activation

Although radiotherapy is a standard protocol for SCC therapy, in many cases, radioresistance and even enhanced proliferation of
surviving tumor cell fractions occurs. There is, therefore, an urgent need to exploit new targets, which in combination with X-rays could improve therapeutic options for human SCC. An important molecular target to address is the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), since it plays a vital role in cell proliferation [28]. In fact, it was recently demonstrated that nuclear, rather than cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) regulates the growth of liver tumors [11]. We now investigated whether nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) (Ca\(^{2+}_n\)) is involved in the proliferation rate of human SCC and whether it affects the cell sensitivity to irradiation. For that, A431 cells were infected with a construct that has the ligand binding site domain (residues 224-605) of the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) channel, type I IP\(_3\)R. This segment of IP\(_3\)R1 specifically binds to IP\(_3\) with sufficient affinity to compete for the binding to the native receptor [29]. The construct is targeted to the nucleus using a nuclear localization signal and it contains mRFP to verify intracellular localization [18]. The efficiency and selectivity of the vector to buffer Ca\(^{2+}\) in the nucleus were previously demonstrated [18,30]. In order to maximize the expression of the Ca\(^{2+}_n\), to a higher number of cells we now use an adenovirus delivery system (Figure 3A). We measured cell proliferation directly by cell counting and found that Ca\(^{2+}_n\) buffering significantly decrease A431 proliferation rate to approximately 50% of controls (Figure 3B). On the other hand, Ca\(^{2+}_n\) buffering did not affect proliferation of normal human gingival fibroblast (Figure 3C). It was previously demonstrated that Ca\(^{2+}_n\) buffering increased the fraction of tumor liver cells in G/M transition phase acting during early mitosis to decrease cell proliferation [11]. We then used flow cytometry to analyze A431 cell cycle phases. The cells were submitted to XR\(_{G1}\)10Gy

Figure 1: Irradiation planning and X-rays measurements. A. Radiotherapy planning to A431 cells in tissue flasks based on computer tomography images. Right panel shows isodoses curves for vertical parallel opposed fields, as well as the percentage of doses absorbed by the A431 cells. B. Radiotherapy planning of SCC maxillary sinus. Isodoses curves for lateral parallel opposed fields and the percentage of absorbed doses are represented. C. Dose-volume histograms calculated by CAT3D for win.32. D. Real dosimetry measurements for the in vitro irradiation model using ionization chamber. The depth doses curves for parallel opposed fields was measured and normalized to isocenter. E. Dose profile of conventional in vitro irradiation model measured by ionization chamber in air. Data show non-uniformity dose along the field profile. F. Schematic diagram for the in vitro irradiation model using a 4MV linear accelerator and acrylic platform positioned inside phantom filled with water. G. Dose profile of the proposed in vitro irradiation model measured by ionization chamber immersed in water phantom. Data demonstrate uniformity dose distribution along the irradiation field.
or Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, buffering single therapies, as well as to association therapy, and compared to untreated cells. In populations that received either X-rays irradiation or expressed Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffer, there was a significant (p<0.01) increase in the percentage of cells in the G/M phase (29.6 ± 2.7%, and 29.9 ± 3.4%, respectively), but a reduction in the G1/G0 phase (57.1 ± 3.6%, and 55.4 ± 4.5%, respectively), with no change in the percentage of cells in SubG\textsubscript{0} phase (4.3 ± 2.4%, and 5.1 ± 1.7%, respectively), relative to control (3.0 ± 1.5%, p<0.05) (Figure 3D). However, association therapy increased even more the percentage of cells in G/M phase (35.7 ± 2.0%, p<0.001), and caused a small, but significant increase in the percentage of cells in SubG\textsubscript{0} phase (7.3 ± 2.5%, p<0.05), compared to control (Figure 3D). We then investigated whether Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering, besides affecting cell cycle profile, would be able to drive tumor cells to a more susceptible radiation-induced DNA damage. Therefore, we evaluated nuclear and mitochondrial DNA lesions, in cells that were exposed to association therapy in comparison with each treatment alone and untreated cells (Supplementary Figure 4A-4B). We observed no difference in nuclear DNA lesions among the experimental conditions. At earlier time point of the association therapy we observed a small increase in mitochondrial DNA lesions (1.4 ± 0.2% vs0.1 ± 0.002% in control cells, p<0.05), an effect not observed at later time interval. Additionally, we found no effect on mitochondrial activity under any experimental condition (Supplementary Figure 4C).

Together, these findings show that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering decreases the rate of human SCC proliferation, and when associated with cumulative X-rays irradiation it arrests the cells in G/M transition to a higher extent compared to each treatment alone, without affecting nuclear or mitochondrial DNA lesions. In fact, the observed absence of DNA damage correlates with the well-known ability of SCC to repair DNA lesions induced by irradiation [31].

Aggressive tumor behavior and increased tumor resistance to cytotoxic agents, such as X-rays, is known to present dysregulated EGFR signaling cascade [4,7,32]. Moreover, X-rays can increase the expression of members of metalloproteinase (ADAM) family that are thought to mediate the shedding of EGFR ligands, a critical step for the production of soluble functional agonists for growth factor receptors [33]. We then investigated whether association of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering would affect expression of ADAM-17 and EGFR induced by XR\textsubscript{10} Gy, at RNA and protein levels (Figure 4). Real-time PCR showed, as expected, that X-rays irradiation increased expression of ADAM-17 and EGFR to 3.0 ± 0.5AU, and to 3.4 ± 0.3AU, respectively, compared to 1.0 ± 0.3AU and 1.0 ± 0.4AU in control conditions (Figure 4A-4B). Under association therapy the expression of ADAM-17 and EGFR were 1.1 ± 0.5AU, and 0.5 ± 0.2AU, below the expression level of untreated cells (***p<0.001). Under association therapy the expression of ADAM-17 and EGFR were 1.1 ± 0.5AU, or 1.8 ± 0.6AU, compared to control (***p<0.001). These results were also investigated at protein level (Figure 4C-4F). We found that the expression of ADAM-17 increased to 0.69 ± 0.01AU in cells submitted to XR\textsubscript{10} Gy and reduced to 0.45 ± 0.1AU, or to 0.50 ± 0.07AU in cells under Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering or association therapy, respectively (p<0.05).

We then investigated whether X-rays irradiation did not alter EGFR protein expression level, but the buffering of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} decreased EGFR expression below control level, even under XR\textsubscript{10} Gy (0.23 ± 0.01AU in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffered cells vs 0.42 ± 0.09AU in association therapy vs 0.45 ± 0.19AU in control cells, *p<0.05 **p<0.01). (Figure 4C-4F). The observed changes in the expression level of ADAM17 and EGFR
specific, since the internal control (GADPH) used in each situation was unaffected under similar nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering condition.

It is known that exposure to irradiation triggers internalization of EGFR from the plasma membrane to cell interior due to EGFR activation [34]. Therefore, we investigated whether Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering would alter EGFR internalization induced by XR\(_{10}\)Gy. For that, we performed immunofluorescence, and found that Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering not only reduced EGFR expression level, but also reduced EGFR internalization, upon XR\(_{10}\)Gy (Figure 4G). Additionally, phosphorylation levels of EGFR were reduced following Ca\(^{2+}\)n buffering, indicating less activation of the receptor (Figure 4H). Together, these data show that XR\(_{10}\)Gy triggers prosurvival mechanisms in A431 cells, which could be regarded as an adaptive response to radiation damage, and be associated with radioresistance. Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering were shown to render these tumor cells more susceptible to radiation, by preventing ADAM-17 overexpression and consequently EGFR activation.

**Association therapy decreases cell survival fractions and allows reducing X-rays dose**

Activation of EGFR signaling by radiation is known to be related with increased cellular proliferation between radiation fractions, what may accelerate repopulation of tumor cell clonogens during treatment [31]. Rapid repopulation of tumor cells can lead to an earlier appearance of post-irradiation recurrences, and can therefore reduce the probability of tumor cure by radiotherapy. We then investigated, by clonogenic assay, whether Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering would decrease or delay post-irradiation proliferation of human SCC (Figure 5). For that, A431 cells were exposed to Ca\(^{2+}\)n buffering in association with XR\(_{10}\)G, followed by an in vitro cell clonogenic assay, performed 15 days after the end of the irradiation protocol. We observed that XR\(_{10}\)Gy decreased colony formation by 36 ± 2.5% (54 ± 11 colonies), while Ca\(^{2+}\)n buffering decreased colony formation by 28 ± 2% (41 ± 3 colonies) compared to 148 ± 14 colonies in control (***p<0.001), (Figure 5A-5B). Association therapy almost completely abolished colony formation. We found a decrease in colony formation by 91 ± 0.4% (9 ± 2 colonies) compared to control (***p<0.0001). The radiation enhancement ratio of colony formation between X-rays treatment alone and X-rays associated with nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering strategy was 6. These data indicates that repopulation of cells is significantly reduced under association therapy.

Intensification of radiotherapy for locally advanced SCC, by use of altered fractionation schedules or concomitant chemotherapy, has resulted in substantially improved loco regional control and survival.
However, these improvements have come at the cost of increased acute, and late, toxic effects. For instance, in case of head and neck radiotherapy, dysphagia and xerostomia have a negative impact on quality of life [35,36]. Then, we investigated whether the association treatment modality would allow reducing the X-rays dose instead. For that, we evaluated the percentage of survival fraction in cells that received progressively less cumulative irradiation doses, under association with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering treatment. We found that decreasing cumulative irradiation dose to 60 Gy in association with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering treatment, maintained the survival fraction to values similar to what was observed for 10 Gy (Figure 5C). These results show that association therapy not only reduced the percentage of A431 cell survival fraction, but also allowed reducing irradiation by approximately half of the cumulative dose (N = 3 individual experiments).

Collectively, we now show that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering reduces A431 cell proliferation and radiosensitizes these cells to fractionated X-rays irradiation, at least in part through a mechanism that involves reducing expression level of genes involved in the regulation of cell proliferation. Moreover, our findings could also apply to other tumor types that survive irradiation, since there are several evidences demonstrating changes in cell proliferation during liver regeneration is regulated by cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, independent from the cytoplasmic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering in antitumor therapy is not due to its role in apoptosis, but instead due to changes caused in expression level of genes involved in its regulation [37-40]. However, additional studies demonstrated that intranuclear IP\textsubscript{3} can increase Ca\textsuperscript{2+} directly within the nucleus, both in isolated nuclei [41-43], and in nuclei within intact cells [44,45]. It was also demonstrated that the nucleus contains Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stores including a nucleoplasmic reticulum [44], plus PIP\textsubscript{2} [46], PLC [47], and IP\textsubscript{3} receptors [48-52], collectively showing that the nucleus has the machinery needed to locally increase Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, independently from the cytoplasmic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals. Additionally, there is evidence that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals result from PIP\textsubscript{2} hydrolysis and IP\textsubscript{3}, formation directly within the nucleus [18,30]. It has been long recognized that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals exert an important role throughout the mammalian cell cycle and is especially important during early G\textsubscript{1}, at G\textsubscript{S}/G\textsubscript{2} and G\textsubscript{2}/M transitions, with the first Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient occurring just prior to entry into mitosis and the second one occurring during the metaphase-anaphase transition [33]. However, more recent findings demonstrated that nucleoplasmic, rather than cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is essential for cancer cell proliferation, regulating cell cycle progression through early prophase [11]. This finding was confirmed in vivo study, showing that liver tumors implanted in nude mice grew much more slowly when expressing a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} chelating protein, parvalbumin, in tumor cells nuclei, but not in the cytosol [11]. We now found that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is also important in regulating SCC proliferation rate, an effect that was not observed in normal human gingival fibroblast, suggesting higher selectivity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, towards controlling cancer cells growth. Our results are in agreement with previous data that demonstrated that although Ca\textsuperscript{2+} regulates the growth of liver cancer cell line [11], hepatocyte proliferation during liver regeneration is regulated by cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} instead [54].

Further studies are needed to determine the mechanistic basis for differential sensitivity of normal versus cancer cell proliferation to Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Nonetheless, one benefit of these findings altogether is that buffering Ca\textsuperscript{2+} could be a strategy used to inhibit the growth of tumors, either alone or in association therapy, to decrease the overall tumor burden, without affecting normal tissue. Although radiotherapy is the standard procedure intended to treat SCC [35], radioresistance is often observed [2]. In this context, there is urgent need to incorporate combined protocols to radiotherapy, with the goal to become a potentially curative option. In our current study, we designed an irradiation setup similar to routine clinical radiotherapy protocol, allowing evaluation of the cellular effects of X-rays on cell survival. Using our setup model, we demonstrated that the association therapy stately decreased SCC repopulation. We further demonstrated that the association therapy had the potential to minimize the irradiation toxicity, by keeping the surviving clonogenic cells to a lower rate, even under reduced total X-rays dose. Although, colony formation assay may involve events that reflect cell cycle progression, apoptosis, necrosis, and others, we had previously reported in liver cancer cells that nuclear Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering does not play a role in apoptosis [11]. Our previous and current data indicate that the beneficial effect of nuclear Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffering in antitumor therapy is not due to its role in apoptosis, but instead due to changes caused in expression level of genes involved in the regulation of cell proliferation. Moreover, our findings could not be attributed to bystander effects, since in our irradiation model, in which tissue flasks are fulfilled with medium and immersed into water phantom, the dose applied to the cells monolayer was accurately calculated. This is distinct from the majority of the in vitro irradiation models in which tissue flasks containing cell monolayer are irradiated under air column. In this aspect, it is already demonstrated that when the thickness of the nutrient medium height above cells monolayer is lower than the electronic equilibrium depth (buildup depth) it is very difficult to determine the dose received by the cells [55]. The buildup depth is a function of energy photon beam [25] and as a result higher energy beam needs higher column of nutrient medium to assure complete electronic equilibrium in the cells position. When culture flasks are irradiated in air, because of the loss of lateral equilibrium, the buildup effect impinges the same uncertainty in the received dose by the cells, which is in the flask border, even if the column height of nutrient medium is sufficient to assure buildup. This is an important aspect to be considering when studying the effects of X-rays on cancer cells, since there are several evidences demonstrating changes in cell survival after irradiation, due to differences among energy spectrum and scattering caused by bystander effects [22,56].

**Figure 5:** Association therapy decreases survival fraction and allows reducing irradiation dose. A-B. Clonogenic assay performed in 6 well plates cultured up to 15 days after XR, 10Gy, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and association therapy condition (Ca\textsuperscript{2+} + XR, 10Gy). Representative images show a decrease of colony formation under association therapy, (**p<0.0001, t test), N = 3. C. Association therapy not only decreased the percentage of A431 cell survival fraction, but also allowed reducing irradiation by approximately half of the cumulative dose (N = 3 individual experiments).
Multiple factors have been shown to be involved in the cellular radiosensitivity of cancer cells [22,36]. For instance, it has been demonstrated that irradiation activates ADAM’s members and induces cell growth through the release of EGFR ligand [33]. EGFR activation in turn, also mediates cytoprotective responses that reduces cell sensitivity to radiotherapy [4,57]. Moreover, it is also known that ADAM-17 over expression correlates with shorter survival rate of the patients due to several distinct growth and invasive pathways activated by this protease [58]. Indeed, in line with these data, we found that under our fractionated irradiation protocol in A431 cells, ADAM-17 expression and EGFR activation increased. However, Ca$^{2+}$ buffering not only prevented the increase in ADAM-17 expression and EGFR activation induced by irradiation, but also, by itself, it was able to decrease the expression of EGFR bellow control levels. Although, characterization of the link between Ca$^{2+}$ signals and changes in ADAM-17 and EGFR expression levels are beyond the scope of this study, our recent findings showed that Ca$^{2+}$ can regulate genes involved in cell proliferation, through a putative Elk-1 binding site present in the gene promoter region [12]. Indeed, Elk-1 transactivation was demonstrated to be dependent on nuclear rather than cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ [59]. Therefore, it is possible that Ca$^{2+}$ regulate ADAM-17 and EGFR expression through modulation of a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent transcription factor activity.

Numerous EGFR blockers have been studied, as a therapeutic agent for the treatment of SCC [60]. Similarly, anti-ADAM-17 antibodies, as well as orally administrated ADAM inhibitor, have been used for treatment of solid tumors [60,61]. ADAM-17 and EGFR activate several physiological functions and systemic inhibition of these pathways represents a greater risk of multiple and severe side effects. The buffering of Ca$^{2+}$ seems a promising strategy, since under our experimental condition, nuceloplastic Ca$^{2+}$ was only slightly reduced, and not completely chelated [18,30]. In this aspect, physiological functions mediated by Ca$^{2+}$, at least in part, could be preserved. Moreover, using ionizing radiation concomitant with Ca$^{2+}$ buffering, showed a superior outcome, compared to irradiation alone, and allowed to reduce the irradiation dose. Together, our findings indicate Ca$^{2+}$ buffering in conjunction with radiotherapy as a therapeutic potential for the treatment of human squamous cell carcinoma.
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