

Notes on Semi-Simplicity of a Lie Algebra of Isometries

Manelo Anona*

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo 101, PB 906, Madagascar

Abstract

The Lie algebra of isometries of dimension superior than or equal to three is semi-simple if and only if the horizontal nullity space of the Nijenhuis tensor of the canonical connection is reduced to zero and the derivative ideal coincides with algebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 53XX • 17B66 • 53C08 • 53B05

Keywords: Differentiable manifolds • Lie algebra • Spray • Nijenhuis tensor • Riemannian manifolds • Infinitesimal isometry

Introduction

This paper is the complement of our study in [1], the notations and notions are those of [1]. Let M be a paracompact manifold of dimension n ($n \geq 2$) and of class C^∞ , TM the tangent bundle to M . On an open set U of M , $(x^i, y^j)_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ the natural coordinate system of TU , the energy function E is written:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n) y^i y^j$$

Where $g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ are positive functions such that the symmetric matrix $(g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n))$ is invertible. The function E defines a symplectic scalar 2-form $\Omega = ddJ$ which gives a vertical metric on the tangent bundle to M , with $d_j = [i, j]$, J being the natural tangent structure to M . The canonical spray S is defined by:

$$i_S dd_J E = -dE.$$

i_S being the inner product with respect to S and, the canonical connection is $\Gamma = [J, S]$. If $\chi(M)$ denotes the set of vector fields on M , $\overline{\chi(M)}$ the complete lift of $\chi(M)$, $\overline{A}_\Gamma = \{\overline{X} \in \overline{\chi(M)} \text{ such that } L_{\overline{X}} \Gamma = 0\}$, $\overline{A}_g = \{\overline{X} \in \overline{\chi(M)} \text{ such that } L_{\overline{X}} \Omega = 0\}$, $L_{\overline{X}}$ being the Lie derivative with respect to \overline{X} .

Case of the Commutative Ideal of \overline{A}_g

Example 2 of [1] show that the commutative ideal of \overline{A}_Γ come from the horizontal nullity space of the curvature of Γ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}$ such that $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x^3} = 0$.

If $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x^i} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This means that the function E is independent of $x^i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In this case, the curvature R of Γ is zero and the horizontal nullity space of the curvature R of Γ provides a commutative ideal of \overline{A}_Γ , hence an commutative ideal of \overline{A}_g .

We assume that $1 \leq i \leq p$ ($p < n$), coordinates such that $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x^i} \neq 0$. By

Proposition 19 of [1], $1 \leq i \leq p$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \notin \overline{A}_g$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{p+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \in \overline{A}_g$. We will study the case where the Lie subalgebra generated by $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{p+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right\}$ noted I forms a commutative ideal of \overline{A}_g . An element $\overline{X} \in \overline{\chi(M)}$ is written:

$$\overline{X} = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + y^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^p X^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} + y^j \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} + \sum_{r=p+1}^n X^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + y^j \frac{\partial X^r}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$$

For I to be an ideal, we must have $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \overline{X} \right] \in I$ for all, $k, p+1 \leq k \leq n$.

This is explained by:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^k} = 0, \text{ for all } l \text{ such that } 1 \leq l \leq p \text{ and for all } k \text{ such that } p+1 \leq k \leq n, \\ X^r = a_j^r x^j + b^r, p+1 \leq r, j \leq n, a_j^r, b^r \end{cases}$$

are constants

By asking

$$\overline{X}_1 = \sum_{l=1}^p X^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} + y^j \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, 1 \leq j \leq p$$

$$\overline{X}_2 = \sum_{r=p+1}^n (a_k^r x^k + b^r) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + a_k^r y^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^r}, p+1 \leq k \leq n, a_k^r, b^r \text{ are constants}$$

and $\overline{X} = \overline{X}_1 + \overline{X}_2$, we have $[\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2] = 0$. To get $\overline{X} \in \overline{A}_g$, it is necessary and sufficient that $L_{\overline{X}} E = 0$ or even $L_{\overline{X}_1} E + L_{\overline{X}_2} E = 0$. Such a decomposition of $\overline{X} \in \overline{A}_g$ clearly indicates that the derivative ideal from \overline{A}_g cannot coincide with \overline{A}_g if $\overline{X}_2 \neq 0$. It is the same if \overline{X}_2 is an expression of a non-zero part of I .

In the case where the indices are not ordered, in this way, we take, $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_q}, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_q$ such that $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_{i_j}} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq q$. We arrive at the same result. Taking into account the studies made in [1], we then have:

Theorem

The Lie algebra \overline{A}_g of the Killing fields contained in \overline{A}_Γ of dimension superior than or equal to three is semi-simple if and only if the horizontal nullity space of the Nijenhuis tensor of Γ is reduced to zero and that the ideal derived from \overline{A}_g coincides with \overline{A}_g .

*Address for Correspondence: Anona Manelo, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo 101, PB 906, Madagascar, E-mail: mfanona@yahoo.fr.

Copyright: © 2020 Anona M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received 17 December, 2020; Accepted 21 December, 2020; Published 30 December, 2020

Corollary

The Lie algebra $\overline{A_g}$ of finite dimension superior than or equal to three is semi-simple if and only if the derivative ideal of $\overline{A_g}$ coincides with $\overline{A_g}$ and any derivation of $\overline{A_g}$ is inner.

Proof: From the above theorem, it remains to prove that the horizontal nullity space hN_R of the curvature R of the connection Γ is reduced to zero. Otherwise, by Theorem 1 and Proposition 19 of [1], there would exist vector fields (e_i) $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $q \leq n$ such that $(e_i) \in \overline{A_g}$ and that $(e_i) \in hN_R$. In this case the derivation D of $\overline{A_g}$ defined by $D(e_i) = e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, is outer. Hence the result.

Some examples

Example 1

We take $M = \mathbf{R}^4$ and the energy function written

$$E = \frac{1}{2} (e^{x^3} (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 + e^{x^2} (y^4)^2)$$

The coordinates x^1, x^4 do not appear on the function E

The non-zero coefficients of Γ are:

$$\Gamma_1^1 = \frac{y^3}{2}, \Gamma_3^1 = \frac{y^1}{2}, \Gamma_4^2 = -\frac{e^{x^2} y^4}{2}, \Gamma_1^3 = -\frac{e^{x^3} y^1}{2}, \Gamma_2^4 = \frac{y^4}{2}, \Gamma_4^4 = \frac{y^2}{2}.$$

The horizontal nullity space of the curvature $hN_R = \{0\}$.

The Lie algebra $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ is generated by

$$g_1 = -\left(-e^{-x^3} + \frac{(x^1)^2}{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - \left(y^3 e^{-x^3} + \frac{x^1 y^1}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^3},$$

$$g_2 = -\frac{x^1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - \frac{y^1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1},$$

$$g_3 = x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - \left(\frac{(x^4)^2}{4} - e^{-x^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} + y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{x^4 y^4 + 2y^2 e^{-x^2}}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^4},$$

$$g_4 = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} + y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^4}, g_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, g_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}.$$

Multiplication table of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$

[,]	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5	g_6
g_1	0	$\frac{g_1}{2}$	0	0	0	$-g_2$
g_2	$-\frac{g_1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{g_6}{2}$
g_3	0	0	0	$-g_3$	$\frac{g_4}{2}$	0
g_4	0	0	g_3	0	$-g_5$	0
g_5	0	0	$-\frac{g_4}{2}$	g_5	0	0
g_6	g_2	$-\frac{g_6}{2}$	0	0	0	0

The Lie algebras $\overline{A_g} = \overline{A_{gc}} = \overline{A_\Gamma}$ and they are semi-simple.

Example 2

We take $M = \mathbf{R}^6$ and the energy function written:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \left((y^1)^2 + e^{x^6} (y^2)^2 + e^{x^1} (y^3)^2 + e^{x^3} (y^4)^2 + e^{x^3} (y^5)^2 + (y^6)^2 \right)$$

The missing coordinates are x^2, x^4 and x^5 . The non-zero coefficients Γ_i^j of Γ are:

$$\Gamma_3^1 = -\frac{e^{x^1} y^3}{2}, \Gamma_2^2 = \frac{y^6}{2}, \Gamma_6^2 = \frac{y^2}{2}, \Gamma_1^3 = \frac{y^3}{2}, \Gamma_3^3 = \frac{y^1}{2}, \Gamma_4^3 = -\frac{y^4 e^{x^3-x^1}}{2},$$

$$\Gamma_5^3 = -\frac{e^{x^3-x^1} y^5}{2}, \Gamma_3^4 = \frac{y^4}{2}, \Gamma_4^4 = \frac{y^3}{2}, \Gamma_3^5 = \frac{y^5}{2}, \Gamma_5^5 = \frac{y^3}{2}, \Gamma_2^6 = -\frac{y^2 e^{x^6}}{2}.$$

The horizontal nullity space of the curvature is reduced to zero.

The Lie algebra $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ is generated by:

$$g_1 = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} + x^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^5} + y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^4} + y^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^5},$$

$$g_2 = x^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} - x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^5} + y^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^4} - y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^5},$$

$$g_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, g_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^5},$$

$$g_5 = -\left(\frac{(x^3)^2}{4} - e^{-x^6}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} - \left(y^6 e^{-x^6} + \frac{x^2 y^2}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} + y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^6},$$

$$g_6 = x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^6} + y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}, g_7 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}.$$

Multiplication table of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ —

[,]	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5	g_6	g_7
g_1	0	0	$-g_3$	$-g_4$	0	0	0
g_2	0	0	g_4	$-g_3$	0	0	0
g_3	g_3	$-g_4$	0	0	0	0	0
g_4	g_4	g_3	0	0	0	0	0
g_5	0	0	0	0	0	$-g_5$	$\frac{g_6}{2}$
g_6	0	0	0	0	g_5	0	$-g_7$
g_7	0	0	0	0	$-\frac{g_6}{2}$	g_7	0

The commutative ideal is generated by g_3 and g_4 . The derivative ideal of $\overline{A_g}$ does not coincide with $\overline{A_g}$.

The Lie algebras $\overline{A_g}$, $\overline{A_{gc}}$ and $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ are identical.

The derivations are all inner.

Remark 1: The two examples above show that the relation in $\overline{A_g}$, $\overline{A_{gc}}$ and $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ do not allow knowing a priori the nature of $\overline{A_g}$, although $\overline{A_g}$ is an ideal of $\overline{A_g}$. They confirm our decomposition of the elements of $\overline{A_g}$.

Remark 2: In [2], we have studied some Lie algebras of countable vector fields where the derivative ideal coincides with the algebra and all derivation is inner, yet this algebra contains a commutative ideal. The above corollary gives a view in the case of a finite dimensional Lie algebra.

References

1. Anona, M. "Semi-simplicity of a Lie Algebra of Isometries." *J Generalized Lie Theory Appl* 14 (2020): 1-10.
2. H. S. G. Ravelonirina, P. Randriambolondrantomalala, and M. Anona. "Sur les algèbres de Lie des champs de vecteurs polynomiaux." *Afr Diaspora J Math* 10 (2010): 87-95.

How to cite this article: Manelo Anona. "Notes on Semi-Simplicity of a Lie Algebra of Isometries." *J Generalized Lie Theory Appl* 15 (2020): 308