

# New Types of 2D-Integrodifferential Equations and Their Properties

Gossili N<sup>1\*</sup> and Shahmorad S<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Islamic Azad University, Ardabil Branch, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Tabriz University, East Azerbaijan Province, Tabriz, 29 Bahman Boulevard 51666, Iran

## Abstract

In this paper, we present new type of 2D-volterra integrodifferential equations and study the existence, uniqueness and some other useful properties of solution of these equations. The main tools are based on application of the Banach fixed point theorem.

**Keywords:** 2D-Volterra nonlinear integrodifferential;  $\epsilon$ -Approximate solution

## Introduction

Consider the nonlinear integrodifferential equation

$$D_2 D_1 u(x, y) = f(x, y, u(x, y), Gu(x, y), Hu(x, y)) \quad (1.1)$$

with given data

$$u(x, 0) = \sigma(x), \quad u(0, y) = \tau(y) \quad (1.2)$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Gu(x, y) &= \int_0^x g(x, y, \xi) u(\xi, y) d\xi \\ Hu(x, y) &= \int_0^y h(x, y, m, n, u(m, n)) dn dm \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in C(E \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}), \quad g \in C(E \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad h \in C(E^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \sigma \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}), \\ \tau &\in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}) \text{ that } E = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned}$$

## Properties of Solution

Let  $S$  be the space of functions  $z, D_2 D_1 z \in C(E, \mathbb{R})$  which fulfill the condition [1]

$$|z(x, y)| = o(\exp(\lambda(x + y))) \quad (2.1)$$

For  $(x, y) \in E$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant. This space with the norm

$$|z|_S = \sup_{(x, y) \in E} [|z(x, y)| \exp(-\lambda(x + y))] \quad (2.2)$$

is a Banach space.

We note that the condition (2.2) implies that there exists a constant  $N \geq 2$  such that

$$|z(x, y)| \leq N \exp(\lambda(x + y)) \quad (2.3)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |z|_S &= \sup_{(x, y) \in E} [|z(x, y)| \exp(-\lambda(x + y))] \\ &\leq \sup_{(x, y) \in E} [N \exp(\lambda(x + y)) \exp(-\lambda(x + y))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } z_S \leq N \quad (2.4)$$

## Theorem 2.1

Assume that

Functions  $f, g, h$  in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions [2]

$$|f(x, y, u, v, w) - f(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq k(x, y)[|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}|] \quad (2.5)$$

$$|g(x, y, \xi, u) - g(x, y, \xi, \bar{u})| \leq a(x, y, \xi)|u - \bar{u}| \quad (2.6)$$

$$|h(x, y, m, n, u) - h(x, y, m, n, \bar{u})| \leq b(x, y, m, n)|u - \bar{u}| \quad (2.7)$$

where  $k \in C(E, \mathbb{R}), a \in C(E \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}), b \in C(E^2, \mathbb{R})$ .

(i) For  $\lambda$  as in (1.2)

$C_1$  there exists a nonnegative constant  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha < 1$  and

$$\int_0^x \int_0^y k(s, t) [\exp(\lambda(x + y)) + \int_0^s a(s, t, \xi) \exp(\lambda(\xi + t)) d\xi + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s, t, m, n) \exp(\lambda(m + n)) dn dm] dt ds \leq \alpha \exp(\lambda(x + y)) \quad (2.8)$$

$C_2$  there exists a nonnegative constant  $\beta$  such that

$$|\sigma(x) + \tau(y) - u(0, 0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, 0, G0, H0) dt ds| \leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x + y)) \quad (2.9)$$

and  $G0 = \int_0^x g(x, y, \xi, 0) d\xi, H0 = \int_0^y h(x, y, m, n, 0) dn dm$

Then equation (1.1) has a unique [3] solution  $u(x, y)$  on  $E$  in  $S$ .

## Proof:

Note that by take integration of (1.1), we have

$$u(x, y) = \sigma(x) + \tau(y) - u(0, 0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u(s, t), Gu(s, t), Hu(s, t)) dt ds \quad (2.10)$$

Let  $u(x, y) \in S, u(x, y) \in S$  and define the operator  $T$  by

$$(Tu)(x, y) = \sigma(x) + \tau(y) - u(0, 0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u(s, t), Gu(s, t), Hu(s, t)) dt ds \quad (2.11)$$

Now we shall show that  $T$  maps  $S$  into itself. Evidently,  $Tu$  is continuous on  $E$  because  $\sigma, \tau, u, f$  are continuous and  $Tu \in E$ .

From (2.11), we observe that

$$D_2 D_1 (Tu)(x, y) = f(x, y, u(x, y), Gu(x, y), Hu(x, y))$$

So  $D_1 D_2 Tu$  is continuous and  $D_1 D_2 Tu \in E$ . From (2.11) and using the hypotheses and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(x, y)| &\leq |\sigma(x) + \tau(y) - u(0, 0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, 0, G0, H0) dt ds| \\ &\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u(s, t), Gu(s, t), Hu(s, t)) - f(s, t, 0, G0, H0)| dt ds \\ &\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x + y)) + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s, t) [|u(s, t)| + |Gu(s, t) - G0| + |Hu(s, t) - H0|] dt ds \end{aligned}$$

\*Corresponding author: Neda Gossili, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil Branch, Ardabil, Iran, Tel; +98 21 4460 0200; E-mail: farid.gossili@sund.ku.dk

Received August 17, 2017; Accepted June 22, 2017; Published June 28, 2017

Citation: Gossili N, Shahmorad S (2017) New Types of 2D-Integrodifferential Equations and Their Properties. J Appl Computat Math 6: 354. doi: 10.4172/2168-9679.1000354

Copyright: © 2017 Gossili N, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x+y)) + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [|u(s,t)| + \int_0^s |g(s,t,\xi, u(\xi,t)) - g(s,t,\xi, 0)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t |h(s,t,m,n, u(m,n)) - h(s,t,m,n, 0)| dn dm] dt ds \\ &\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x+y)) + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [|u(s,t)| + \int_0^s a(s,t,\xi) |u(s,t)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) |u(m,n)| dn dm] dt ds \\ &\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x+y)) + |u|_s \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [\exp(\lambda(s+t)) + \int_0^s a(s,t,\xi) \exp(\lambda(\xi+t)) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) \exp(\lambda(m+n)) dn dm] dt ds \\ &\leq \beta \exp(\lambda(x+y)) + |u|_s \alpha \exp(\lambda(x+y)) \\ &\leq (\beta + N\alpha) \exp(\lambda(x+y)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.12), it follows that  $Tu \in S$ . This proves that the operator  $T$  maps  $S$  into itself. Now, we prove that the operator  $T$  is a contraction map.

Let  $u, v \in S$ , from (2.11) and using the hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Tu(x,y) - Tv(x,y)| &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s,t, u(s,t), Gu(s,t), Hu(s,t)) - f(s,t, v(s,t), Gv(s,t), Hv(s,t))| dt ds \\ &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [|u(s,t) - v(s,t)| + |Gu(s,t) - Gv(s,t)| + |Hu(s,t) - Hv(s,t)|] dt ds \\ &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [|u(s,t) - v(s,t)| + \int_0^s |g(s,t,\xi, u(\xi,t)) - g(s,t,\xi, v(s,\xi))| d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t |h(s,t,m,n, u(m,n)) - h(s,t,m,n, v(m,n))| dn dm] dt ds \\ &\leq \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [|u(s,t) - v(s,t)| + \int_0^s a(s,t,\xi) |u(s,t) - v(s,t)| d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) |u(m,n) - v(m,n)| dn dm] dt ds \\ &\leq |u - v|_s \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [\exp(\lambda(s+t)) + \int_0^s a(s,t,\xi) \exp(\lambda(\xi+t)) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) \exp(\lambda(m+n)) dn dm] dt ds \\ &\leq |u - v|_s \alpha \exp(\lambda(x+y)) \end{aligned}$$

So  $|Tu - Tv| \leq |u - v|_s \alpha \exp(\lambda(x+y))$  then  $|Tu - Tv|_s \leq \alpha |u - v|_s$ .

Since  $\alpha < 1$ , it follows from Banach fixed point theorem that  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $S$ . The fixed point of  $T$  is however a solution of equation (1.1).

The proof is complete.

### Some Results

Lemma: Let  $u \in C(E, \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $c \geq 0$ . If

$$u(x,t) \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^t r(\sigma, \tau) u(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau$$

for  $(x,t) \in E$ , then

$$u(x,t) \leq c \exp(\int_0^x \int_0^t r(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma d\tau)$$

for  $(x,t) \in E$ .

**Proof.** [1, p. 60]

### Theorem 3.1

Suppose that the functions  $f, g, h$  in (1.1) satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x,y,u,v,w) - f(x,y,\bar{u},\bar{v},\bar{w})| &\leq k(x,y) [|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}|] + |w - \bar{w}| \\ |g(x,y,\xi,u) - g(x,y,\xi,\bar{u})| &\leq a(\xi,y) |u - \bar{u}| \\ |h(x,y,m,n,u) - h(x,y,m,n,\bar{u})| &\leq b(x,y,m,n) |u - \bar{u}| \end{aligned}$$

where  $k \in C(E, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $a \in C(E, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $b \in C(E^2, \mathbb{R}_+)$  and

$$c = \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+} |\sigma(x) + \tau(y) - u(0,0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s,t,0,G0,H0) dt ds|$$

If  $u(x,y)$  is any solution of equation (1.1) on  $E$ , then

$$u(x,y) \leq c A(x,y) \exp(\int_0^x \int_0^y A(s,t) [k(s,t) + b(x,y,s,t)] dt ds)$$

where  $A(x,y) = \exp(\int_0^x \int_0^y a(\xi,t) d\xi dt ds)$ .

**Proof:**

We know

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x,y)| &\leq |\sigma(x) + \tau(y) - u(0,0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s,t,0,G0,H0) dt ds| \\ &\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s,t,u(s,t),Gu(s,t),Hu(s,t)) - f(s,t,0,G0,H0)| dt ds \\ &\leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y (|Gu(s,t) - G0| + k(s,t) [|u(s,t)| + |Hu(s,t) - H0|]) dt ds \\ &\leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y (\int_0^s a(s,t,\xi) |u(s,t)| d\xi \\ &\quad + k(s,t) [|u(s,t)| + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) |u(m,n)| dn dm]) dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let  $c > 0$  and define a function  $z(x,y)$  by

$$z(x,y) = c + \int_0^x \int_0^y (k(s,t) [|u(s,t)| + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) |u(m,n)| dn dm]) dt ds$$

Then (3.1) can be restated as

$$|u(x,y)| \leq z(x,y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y a(s,t,\xi) |u(s,t)| d\xi dt ds$$

It is easy to observe that  $z(x,y)$  is positive, continuous and non decreasing function and using lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x,y)| &\leq z(x,y) \exp(\int_0^x \int_0^y a(s,t,\xi) d\xi dt ds) \\ &= z(x,y) A(x,y) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} z(x,y) &\leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s,t) [z(s,t) A(s,t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t b(s,t,m,n) z(m,n) A(m,n) dn dm] dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Define a function  $v(x,y)$  by the right hand side of (3.3). Then  $v(x,y) > 0$ ,  $v(x,0) = v(0,y) = c$  and  $z(x,y) \leq v(x,y)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 D_1 v(x,y) &= k(x,y) [z(x,y) A(x,y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y b(x,y,m,n) z(m,n) A(m,n) dn dm] \\ D_2 D_1 v(x,y) &\leq k(x,y) [v(x,y) A(x,y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y b(x,y,m,n) v(m,n) A(m,n) dn dm] \\ &\leq k(x,y) A(x,y) [v(x,y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y b(x,y,m,n) v(m,n) A(m,n) dn dm] \end{aligned}$$

Define a function  $w(x,y)$  by

$$w(x,y) = v(x,y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y b(x,y,m,n) v(m,n) A(m,n) dn dm$$

Then  $v(x,y) \leq w(x,y) > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 D_1 w(x,y) &= D_2 D_1 v(x,y) + b(x,y,x,y) v(x,y) A(x,y) \\ &\leq k(x,y) A(x,y) w(x,y) + b(x,y,x,y) w(x,y) A(x,y) \\ &\leq A(x,y) w(x,y) [b(x,y,x,y) + k(x,y)] \end{aligned}$$

Because  $w(x,y) \geq v(x,y) > 0$   $w(x,y) \geq v(x,y) > 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_2 D_1 w(x,y)}{w(x,y)} &\leq A(x,y) [k(x,y) + b(x,y,x,y)] \\ \frac{D_1 w(x,y)}{w(x,y)} - \frac{D_1 w(x,0)}{w(x,0)} &\leq \int_0^y A(x,t) [k(x,t) + b(x,y,x,t)] dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\ln w(x,y) - \ln w(0,y) \leq \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s,t) [k(s,t) + b(x,y,s,t)] dt ds$$

$$\ln w(x, y) \leq \ln c + \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s, t) [k(s, t) + b(x, y, s, t)] dt ds$$

$$w(x, y) \leq c \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s, t) [k(s, t) + b(x, y, s, t)] dt ds \right)$$

Using  $z(x, y) \leq v(x, y) \leq w(x, y)$  and (3.2), we get

$$|u(x, y)| \leq c A(x, y) \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s, t) [k(s, t) + b(x, y, s, t)] dt ds \right)$$

The proof is complete. ■

We call the function  $u \in C(E, \mathbb{R}_+)$  an  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution to equation (1.1), if there exists a constant  $\epsilon \geq 0$  such that  $D_2 D_1 u(x, y)$  exists and satisfies the inequality

$$|D_2 D_1 u(x, y) - f(x, y, u(x, y), Gu(x, y), Hu(x, y))| \leq \epsilon \quad (3.4)$$

The following theorem deals with the estimate on the difference between two approximate solutions of equation (1.1).

### Theorem 3.3

Assume that functions  $f, g, h$  in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions

$$|f(x, y, u, v, w) - f(x, y, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq k(x, y) [|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}|] \quad (3.5)$$

$$|g(x, y, \xi, u) - g(x, y, \xi, \bar{u})| \leq a(\xi, y) |u - \bar{u}| \quad (3.6)$$

$$|h(x, y, m, n, u) - h(x, y, m, n, \bar{u})| \leq b(x, y, m, n) |u - \bar{u}| \quad (3.7)$$

that  $k, e, q, r \in C(E, \mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Let  $u_1(x, y), u_2(x, y)$  be respectively  $\epsilon_1$ - and  $\epsilon_2$ - approximate solutions of equation (1.1) on  $E$  that

$$u_i(x, 0) = \sigma_i(x), \quad u_i(0, y) = \tau_i(y) \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (3.8)$$

$$|\sigma_1(x) - \sigma_2(x) + \tau_1(y) - \tau_2(y) + u_1(0, 0) - u_2(0, 0)| \leq \delta \quad (3.9)$$

That  $\delta \geq 0$  is constant and

$$c = \sup_{(x, y) \in E} \{\epsilon xy + \delta\} \quad (3.10)$$

$$p(x, y) = \max \{k(x, y), k(x, y)e(x, y), k(x, y)q(x, y)\} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \quad (3.12)$$

Then

$$|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| \leq c A(x, y) \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s, t) [k(s, t) + b(x, y, s, t)] dt ds \right)$$

where  $A(x, y) = \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^s \int_0^t a(\xi, t) d\xi dt ds \right)$ .

### Proof.

Since  $u_i(x, y)$  is  $\epsilon_i$ - approximate solution to equation (1.1), we have

$$|D_2 D_1 u_i(x, y) - f(x, y, u_i(x, y), Gu_i(x, y), Hu_i(x, y))| \leq \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (3.13)$$

with integration of (3.13) and using the elementary inequalities

$|v - z| \leq |v| + |z|$ ,  $|v| - |z| \leq |v - z|$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_i xy &\geq \int_0^x \int_0^y |D_2 D_1 u_i(s, t) - f(s, t, u_i(s, t), Gu_i(s, t), Hu_i(s, t))| dt ds \\ &\geq \left| \int_0^x \int_0^y (D_2 D_1 u_i(s, t) - f(s, t, u_i(s, t), Gu_i(s, t), Hu_i(s, t))) dt ds \right| \\ &= \left| u_i(x, y) - \sigma_i(x) - \tau_i(y) + \sigma_i(0) - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u_i(s, t), Gu_i(s, t), Hu_i(s, t)) dt ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

$i=1, 2$ . We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)xy &\geq \\ &\left| u_1(x, y) - \sigma_1(x) - \tau_1(y) + \sigma_1(0) - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u_1(s, t), Gu_1(s, t), Hu_1(s, t)) dt ds \right| \\ &+ \left| u_2(x, y) - \sigma_2(x) - \tau_2(y) + \sigma_2(0) - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u_2(s, t), Gu_2(s, t), Hu_2(s, t)) dt ds \right| \\ &\geq \left| u_1(x, y) - \sigma_1(x) - \tau_1(y) + \sigma_1(0) - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u_1(s, t), Gu_1(s, t), Hu_1(s, t)) dt ds \right| \\ &\quad - \left| u_2(x, y) - \sigma_2(x) - \tau_2(y) + \sigma_2(0) - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t, u_2(s, t), Gu_2(s, t), Hu_2(s, t)) dt ds \right| \\ &\geq \left| u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y) - \sigma_1(x) + \tau_1(y) - \sigma_1(0) - \sigma_2(x) - \tau_2(y) + \sigma_2(0) \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_0^x \int_0^y \{f(s, t, u_1(s, t), Gu_1(s, t), Hu_1(s, t)) - f(s, t, u_2(s, t), Gu_2(s, t), Hu_2(s, t))\} dt ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

now using (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y) \right| &\leq \epsilon xy + \delta + \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(s, t, u_1(s, t), Gu_1(s, t), Hu_1(s, t)) \\ &\quad - f(s, t, u_2(s, t), Gu_2(s, t), Hu_2(s, t))| dt ds \\ &\leq \epsilon xy + \delta + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(s, t) [|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| + |Gu_1(s, t) - Gu_2(s, t)| \\ &\quad + |Hu_1(s, t) - Hu_2(s, t)|] dt ds \\ &\leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y (k(s, t) [|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t |h(s, t, m, n, u_1(m, n)) - h(s, t, m, n, u_2(m, n))| dm dn] \\ &\quad + \int_0^s |g(s, t, \xi, u_1(\xi, t)) - g(s, t, \xi, u_2(\xi, t))| d\xi) dt ds \\ &\leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y (k(s, t) [|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \int_0^t q(s, t) r(m, n) |u_1(m, n) - u_2(m, n)| dm dn] \\ &\quad + \int_0^s e(\xi, t) |u_1(\xi, t) - u_2(\xi, t)| d\xi) dt ds \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 3.1 we have

$$|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| \leq c A(x, y) \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s, t) [k(s, t) + b(x, y, s, t)] dt ds \right)$$

that  $A(x, y) = \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^s \int_0^t e(s, t, \xi) d\xi dt ds \right)$

The proof is complete.

### References

1. Pachpatte BG (2011) Multidimensional integral equations and inequalities. Atlantis press.
2. Pachpatte BG (2006) Integral and finite difference inequalities and applications. Elsevier.
3. Corduneanu C (1991) Integral equations and applications. Cambridge Univ. Press.