

New Fixed Point Theorems in G-metric Spaces

Abd-Elhamed GM^{1,2*}

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Girls, Ain Shams University, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Humanities Studies, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

We prove new theorems for generalized contractions in the setting of G-metric spaces. Our results extend some results of Moradlou and Aggarwal.

Keywords: Fixed point; Generalized contractions; G-metric spaces

Introduction

The concept of G-metric spaces was introduced by Mustafa and Sims [1-9] in order to extend and generalize the notion of metric spaces. Recently, Mustafa studied many fixed point theorems for various contractive conditions on complete G-Metric spaces [2].

Moradlou [7] and Aggarwal [2] proved some fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in the setting of G-Metric spaces, our results extend a result of Edelstein [5] and a result of Suzuki [10-18].

In this paper, we prove fixed point results for generalized contractions in the setting of G-metric spaces, extend the works of Aggarwal [2] and Moradlou [7].

Preliminaries

We recall some basic definitions and results which are important in the sequel. For details on the following notions we refer to [5]. First we give the definition of a G-metric space.

Definition 2.1: Let X be a non-empty set and $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ be a function satisfying the following axioms:

$$(G1) G(x,y,z) = 0 \text{ if } x=y=z;$$

$$(G2) 0 < G(x,y,y) \text{ for all } x,y \in X, \text{ with } x \neq y;$$

$$(G3) G(x,x,y) \leq G(x,y,z) \text{ for all } x,y,z \in X, \text{ with } z \neq y,$$

$$(G4) G(x,y,z) = G(x,z,y) = G(y,z,x) = \dots \text{(symmetry in all three variables)}$$

$$(G5) G(x,y,z) \leq G(x,a,a) + G(a,y,z), \text{ for all } x,y,z,a \in X, \text{ (rectangle inequality).}$$

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically a G-metric on X , and the pair $(X;G)$ is called a G-metric space.

Example 2.1: Let R be the set of all real numbers. Define $G: R \times R \times R \rightarrow R^+$ by $G(x,y,z) = |x-y| + |y-z| + |z-x|$; for all $x,y,z \in X$. Then it is clear that (R,G) is a G-metric space.

Proposition 2.2: Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Then for any x,y,z and $a \in X$; it follows that:

$$1. \text{ If } G(x,y,z)=0 \text{ then } x = y = z;$$

$$2. \text{ } G(x,y,z) \leq G(x,y,y) + G(x,x,z)$$

$$3. \text{ } G(x,y,y) \leq 2G(y,x,x)$$

$$4. \text{ } G(x,y,z) \leq G(x,a,z) + G(a,y,z)$$

$$G(x,y,z) \leq \frac{2}{3} (G(x,y,a) + G(x,a,x,z) + G(a,y,z)),$$

$$G(x,y,z) \leq G(x,a,a) + G(y,a,a) + G(z,a,a).$$

Definition 2.3: Let (X,G) be a G-metric space, and (x_n) be a sequence of points of X , we say that (x_n) is G-convergent to x if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $G(x,x_n,x_m) < \epsilon$, for all $n,m \geq n_0$.

Proposition 2.4: Let $(X;G)$ be a G-metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

1. (x_n) is G-convergent to x ,
2. $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
3. $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
4. $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $m, n \rightarrow 1$

Definition 2.5: Let (X,G) be a G-metric space, a sequence (x_n) is called G-Cauchy if given $\epsilon > 0$; there is $n_0 \in N$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq n_0$.

Definition 2.6: Let (X,G) and (X^*,G^*) be G-metric spaces and let $f: (X,G) \rightarrow (X^*,G^*)$ be a function, then f is said to be G continuous at a point $a \in X$; if given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X$, $G(a,x,y) < \delta$ implies $G^*(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$.

A function f is G-continuous on X if, and only if, it is G-continuous at all $a \in X$.

Proposition 2.7: Let (X,G) be a G-metric space. Then the function $G(x,y,z)$ is continuous in all variables.

Definition 2.8: A G-metric space (X,G) is said to be G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in (X,G) is G-convergent in (X,G) .

Definition 2.9: A G-metric space (X,G) is said to be a compact G-metric space if it is G-complete and G-totally bounded.

Main Results

Our main results are follows:

Theorem 3.1: Let (X,G) be a complete G-metric space and T be a mapping on X . Assume that there exist $r \in [0,1]$, $(b+c) \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $a \in [0,1]$

*Corresponding author: Abd-Elhamed GM, Department of Mathematics, College of Girls, Ain Shams University, Egypt, Tel: +9202682530; E-mail: gehadmahfood@gmail.com

Received January 29, 2019; Accepted March 04, 2019; Published March 11, 2019

Citation: Abd-Elhamed GM (2019) New Fixed Point Theorems in G-metric Spaces. J Appl Computat Math 8: 437.

Copyright: © 2019 Abd-Elhamed GM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

$$(a+b+c)r^2 + r \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ if } r \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}]$$

and

$$a + (2a+b+c)r \leq 1, \text{ if } r \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, 1)$$

such that

$$aG(x, Tx, Tx) + bG(y, Tx, Tx) + cG(z, Tx, Tx) \leq rG(x, y, z)$$

implies

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \gamma M(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

where $M(x, y, z) = \max[G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Tz), G(z, Tz, Tx)]$. Then there exist a unique fixed point w of T . Moreover $\lim_n T^n x = w$ for all $x \in X$ and T is G -continuous at w .

Proof: Since $aG(x, Tx, Tx) + bG(y, Tx, Tx) + cG(z, Tx, Tx) = aG(x, Tx, Tx) \leq G(x, Tx, Tx)$ holds for every $x \in X$; by hypothesis, we get

$$G(Tx, T^2x, T^3x) \leq \gamma M(x, Tx, Tx)$$

where

$$M(x, Tx, Tx) = \max[G(x, Tx, Tx), G(Tx, T^2x, T^3x)]$$

if $M(x, Tx, Tx) = G(Tx, T^2x, T^3x)$ then $G(Tx, T^2x, T^3x) \leq rG(Tx, T^2x, T^3x)$ this due to contradiction, hence $M(x, Tx, Tx) = G(x, Tx, Tx)$ and

$$G(Tx, T^2x, T^3x) \leq rG(x, Tx, Tx) \text{ for all } x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

Define a sequence (u_n) in X by $u_n = Tu_{n-1}, \dots, u_n = T^n u; u \in X$. Then in eqn. (3.1) yields

$$G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) = G(T^n u, T^{n+1} u, T^{n+1} u) \leq rG(T^{n-1} u, T^n u, T^n u) \leq \dots \leq r^n G(u, Tu, Tu)$$

we have by repeated use of the rectangle inequality and the inequality (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} G(u_m, u_m, u_n) &\leq G(u_{m+1}, u_{n+1}, u_n) + G(u_{m+2}, u_{n+2}, u_{n+1}) + \dots + G(u_{m-1}, u_{m-1}, u_{m-2}) + \\ G(u_m, u_m, u_{m-1}) &\leq (r^n + r^{n+1} + \dots + r^{m-1})G(u, Tu, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\lim G(u_m, u_m, u_n) = 0$; as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$: For $n, m, l \in N$ (G5) implies that

$$G(u_n; u_m; u_l) G(u_n; u_m; u_l) + G(u_m; u_m; u_l)$$

taking limit as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$; we get $G(u_n, u_m, u_l) \rightarrow 0$. So (u_n) is a G -Cauchy sequences, since X is a complete G -metric spaces, (u_n) converges to some point w in X : Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(u_n, Tu_n, Tu_n) = 0$ there exist a positive integer k such that

$$G(u_n, w, w) \leq \frac{1}{6}G(x, w, w), G(u_n, u_n, w) \leq \frac{1}{12}G(x, w, w) \quad (3.2)$$

$$G(x, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{6}G(x, w, w) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $n \geq k$: Using the rectangle inequality, the proposition (2.2), and the inequalities (3.2), (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} aG(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + (b+c)G(x, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) &< G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2}G(x, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \\ &\leq G(u_n, w, w) + G(w, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{12}G(x, w, w) \leq \frac{2}{6}G(x, w, w) = \\ &\frac{2}{5}[G(x, w, w) - \frac{1}{6}G(x, w, w)] < \frac{2}{5}G(x, u_n, u_n) < \frac{4}{5}G(u_n, x, x) < G(u_n, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, $G(Tu_n, Tx, Tx) \leq rM(u_n, x, x)$. Letting n tend to ∞ ; we

obtain $G(w, Tx, Tx) \leq rM(w, x, x)$, where

$$M(w, x, x) = \max[G(w, x, x), G(x, Tx, Tx)], \text{ for all } x \in X;$$

if $M(w, x, x) = G(x, Tx, Tx)$, we get $G(w, Tx, Tx) \leq rG(x, Tx, Tx)$ and $G(T^{j+1}w, T^{j+1}w, w) \leq rG(T^jw, T^jw, w)$ this is a contradiction. Hence

$$G(w, Tx, Tx) \leq rG(w, x, x) \quad (3.4)$$

$$G(u_{j+1}, u_{j+1}, w) = G(T^{j+1}w, T^{j+1}w, w) \leq rG(T^jw, T^jw, w) \leq r^2G(T^{j-1}w, T^{j-1}w, w) \leq \dots \leq r^jG(Tw, Tw, w) \quad (3.5)$$

Now, we consider the following three cases:

The first case, if $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{6}$, then by using the rectangle inequality, the proposition (2.2) and the inequalities (3.1), (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tw, w, w) &\leq G(Tw, T^2w, T^2w) + G(T^2w, w, w) \leq G(Tw, T^2w, T^2w) + 2G(w, T^2w, T^2w) \\ &\leq 2rG(w, Tw, Tw) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ rG(w, Tw, Tw) \leq 3rG(w, Tw, Tw) \leq 6rG(w, w, Tw) \leq G(w, w, Tw)$$

a contradiction.

The second case, if $\frac{1}{6} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$. If we assume

$$aG(T^2w, T^3w, T^3w) + (b+c)G(w, T^3w, T^3w) > G(T^2w, w, w),$$

we have

$$G(Tw, w, w) \leq G(Tw, T^2w, T^2w) + G(T^2w, w, w)$$

$$< rG(w, Tw, Tw) + [aG(T^2w, T^3w, T^3w) + (b+c)G(w, T^3w, T^3w)]$$

$$< rG(w, Tw, Tw) + ar^2G(w, Tw, Tw) + (b+c)r^2G(w, Tw, Tw)$$

$$< ((a+b+c)r^2 + r)G(w, Tw, Tw) < 2((a+b+c)r^2 + r)G(w, w, Tw) \\ G(w, w, Tw).$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,

$$aG(T^2w, T^3w, T^3w) + (b+c)G(w, T^3w, T^3w) \leq G(T^2w, w, w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tw, w, w) &\leq G(Tw, T^3w, T^3w) + G(T^3w, w, w) \leq rG(w, T^2w, T^2w) + 2 \\ G(T^3w, T^3w, w) &\leq r^2G(w, Tw, Tw) + 2r^2G(w, Tw, Tw) = 3r^2G(w, Tw, Tw) \leq 6r^2G(w, w, Tw) \leq G(Tw, w, w) \end{aligned}$$

is also a contradiction.

In the third case, if $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \leq \gamma \leq 1$ we assume that there exist an integer λ such that

$$aG(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + (b+c)G(w, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) > G(u_n, w, w) \text{ for all } n \geq \lambda.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(u_n, w, w) &< aG(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + 2(b+c)G(u_{n+1}, w, w) \\ &< aG(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + 2(b+c)[aG(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, u_{n+2}) + (b+c)G(w, u_{n+2}, u_{n+2})] \\ &< (a+2r(b+c)a)G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + 4(b+c)^2G(u_{n+2}, w, w) \\ &< (a+2r(b+c)a)G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + (2(b+c))^2 \\ &\quad [aG(u_{n+2}, u_{n+3}, u_{n+3}) + (b+c)G(w, u_{n+3}, u_{n+3})] \\ &< [a+2(b+c)ar + (2(b+c))^2r^2a + \dots + a(2(b+c))^{p-1}\gamma^{p-1}] \\ &\quad G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + (2(b+c))^pG(w, w, u_{n+p}) \\ &< a\frac{1-(2(b+c)\gamma)^p}{1-2(b+c)\gamma}G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + (2(b+c))^pG(w, w, u_{n+p}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq \lambda, p \geq 1$. Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, and put $d = 2(b+c)$; we obtain

$$G(u_n, w, w) < \frac{a}{1-dr}G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}), \text{ for all } n \geq \lambda.$$

Thus

$$G(u_{n+1}, w, w) < \frac{a}{1-dr} G(u_n, u_{n+2}, u_{n+2}) < \frac{ar}{1-dr} G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}), \text{ for all } n \geq \lambda$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) &\leq G(u_n, w, w) + G(w, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \\ &< \frac{a}{1-dr} G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) + 2G(w, w, u_{n+1}) < [\frac{a}{1-dr} + \frac{2ar}{1-dr}] G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}) \\ &\leq G(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}), \text{ for all } n \geq \lambda \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence there exist a subsequence (u_{nk}) of (u_n) such that

$$aG(u_{nk}, u_{nk+1}, u_{nk+1}) + dG(w, u_{nk+1}, u_{nk+1}) \leq G(u_{nk}, w, w) \text{ for all } k \geq 1:$$

By hypothesis, we get $G(Tu_{nk}, Tw, Tw) \leq rM(u_{nk}, w, w)$, for all $k \geq 1$, where

$$M(u_{nk}, w, w) = \max[G(u_{nk}, w, w), G(u_{nk}, Tu_{nk}, Tu_{nk}), G(w, Tw, Tw)]$$

By taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $G(w, Tw, Tw) \leq rG(w, Tw, Tw)$, so $G(w, Tw, Tw) = 0$, that is $Tw = w$: This is a contradiction. Thus there exists an integer j such that $Tw = w$; by (3.1), we get

$$G(w, Tw, Tw) = G(Tw, T^{j+1}w, T^{j+1}w) \leq r^j G(w, Tw, Tw),$$

so $G(w, Tw, Tw) = 0$; that is $Tw = w$. Now suppose that y is another fixed point of T , then

$$aG(y, Ty, Ty) + (b + c)G(w, Ty, Ty) G(w, y, y)$$

implies $G(Tw, Ty, Ty) \leq rM(w, y, y) = rG(w, y, y)$. Hence $G(w, y, y) = 0$, this is a contradiction. To see that T is G -continuous at a fixed point w . Let (y_n) be a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = w$. then

$$\alpha G(w, Tw, Tw) + dG(y_n, Tw, Tw) < \frac{1}{2} G(y_n, Tw, Tw) < G(y_n, y_n, w)$$

By hypothesis, we get

$$G(Ty_n, Ty_n, Tw) \leq rM(y_n, y_n, w)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_n, y_n, w) &= \max[G(y_n, y_n, w), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(w, Tw, Tw)] = \max[G(y_n, y_n, w), G(y_n, w, w) + G(w, Ty_n, Ty_n)] \\ \text{If } M(y_n, y_n, w) &= G(y_n, y_n, w) + G(w, Ty_n, Ty_n), \text{ we get} \end{aligned}$$

$$G(Ty_n, Ty_n, Tw) \leq r(G(y_n, w, w) + G(w, Ty_n, Ty_n))$$

$$G(Ty_n, Ty_n, w) \leq \frac{r}{1-r} G(y_n, w, w) \quad (3.6)$$

If $M(y_n, y_n, w) = G(y_n, y_n, w)$, we get

$$G(Ty_n, Ty_n, w) \leq rG(y_n, w, w) \quad (3.7)$$

In each inequality (3.6) and (3.8), take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to see that $G(Ty_n, Ty_n, w) \rightarrow 0$ and so by proposition (2.4), we have that the sequence (Ty_n) is G -convergent to $w = Tw$, hence T is G -continuous at w .

Now, we give a fixed point theorem on compact G -metric spaces.

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, d) be a compact G -metric space and T be a mapping on X . Assume that

$$aG(x, Tx, Tx) + bG(y, Tx, Tx) + cG(z, Tx, Tx) < G(x, y, z) \quad (3.8)$$

implies

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) < M(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

where $M(x, y, z) = \max[G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)]$ and $a > 0, b > 0, c > 0, 3a + 2(b + c) < 1, 2(b + c) < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: If we consider $\beta = \inf\{G(x, Tx, Tx) : x \in X\}$; then there exists a sequence (x_n) in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) = \beta$. Since X is compact G -metric space, there exists $v, w \in X$ such that a sequence (x_n) is G -converges to $v \in X$, and (Tx_n) G -converge to $w \in X$. We assume $\beta > 0$. Hence, by the continuity of the function G , we have

$$\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) = G(v, w, w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, w, w) \quad (3.9)$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + (b + c)G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n)] = a\beta < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, w, w) = \beta \quad (3.10)$$

we can choose a positive integer N such that

$$aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + (b + c)G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n) < G(x_n, w, w), \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

By hypothesis, $G(Tx_n, Tw, Tw) < M(x_n, w, w)$, holds for $n \geq N$; where

$$M(x_n, w, w) = \max[G(x_n, w, w), G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(w, Tw, Tw)]$$

this implies

$$G(w, Tw, Tw) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(Tx_n, Tw, Tw) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, w, w) = \max$$

$$[\beta, G(w, Tw, Tw)], \text{ If } \max[\beta, G(w, Tw, Tw)] = G(w, Tw, Tw)$$

this is impossible, then $G(w, Tw, Tw) < \beta$. From the definition of β we obtain $\beta = G(w, Tw, Tw)$.

$$\text{Since } aG(w, Tw, Tw) + (b + c)G(Tw, Tw, Tw) < G(w, Tw, Tw)$$

we have $G(Tw, T^2w, T^2w) < M(w, Tw, Tw) = \max[G(w, Tw, Tw), G(Tw, T^2w, T^2w)] = G(w, Tw, Tw) = \beta$, which contradicts the definition of β , therefore $\beta = 0$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, w, w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, Tx_n, Tx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(v, Tx_n, Tx_n) = G(v, w, w) = 0$$

so $v = w$; thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$. We next show that T has a fixed point. We assume that T does not have a fixed point. Since

$$aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + (b + c)G(Tx_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) < G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

we get $G(Tx_n, T^2x_n, T^2x_n) < M(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) = \max[G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(Tx_n, T^2x_n, T^2x_n)] = G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)$

By using the rectangle inequality, we have

$$G(w, T^2x_n, T^2x_n) G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(Tx_n, T^2x_n, T^2x_n) < G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(w, T^2x_n, T^2x_n) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)) = 0$$

Thus (T^2x_n) is G -convergent to w . By induction, we obtain that

$$G(T^p x_n; T^{p+1} x_n; T^{p+1} x_n) G(T^{p+1} x_n, T^p x_n, T^p x_n) \leq \dots \leq G(x_n; Tx_n; Tx_n)$$

$$G(w, T^p x_n, T^p x_n) \leq G(w, T^{p-1} x_n; T^{p-1} x_n) + G(T^{p-1} x_n; T^p x_n; T^p x_n)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(w, T^p x_n, T^p x_n) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (G(w, T^{p-1} x_n, T^{p-1} x_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n))$$

this due to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^p x_n = w$ for all $p \geq 1$. If there exist an integer $p \geq 1$ and a subsequence (x_{nk}) of (x_n) such that

$$aG(T^{p-1} x_{nk}, T^{p-1} x_{nk}) + (b + c)G(w, T^p x_{nk}, T^p x_{nk}) < G(T^{p-1} x_{nk}, w, w), \text{ for all } k \geq 1$$

by hypothesis, we have

$$G(T^p x_{nk}, Tw, Tw) < M(T^{p-1} x_{nk}, w, w) = \max[G(T^{p-1} x_{nk}, w, w), G(T^p x_{nk}, T^p x_{nk}, Tw)]$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $G(w, Tw, Tw) = 0, w = Tw$ which is a contradiction. Hence, we can assume that for every $m \geq 1$, there exist an integer $n(m) \geq 1$ such that

$$aG(T^{m-1}x_n, T^m x_n, T^m x_n) + (b+c)G(w, T^m x_n, T^m x_n) \geq G(T^{m-1}x_n, w, w), \text{ for all } n \geq n(m) \quad (3.11)$$

We put $\gamma = \max[n(1), n(2), \dots, n(p)]$. Then by the inequality (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_n, w, w) &\leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + (b+c)G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n) \leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + 2(b+c)G(w, w, Tx_n) \\ &\leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + 2(b+c)(aG(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) + (b+c)G(w, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n)) \\ &\leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + 2a(b+c)G(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) + (2(b+c))^2 G(w, w, T^2 x_n) \\ &\leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + 2a(b+c)G(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) + (2(b+c))^2 (aG(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n, T^3 x_n) + (b+c)G(w, T^3 x_n, T^3 x_n)) \dots \\ &\leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + 2a(b+c)G(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) + (2(b+c))^2 (aG(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n, T^3 x_n) + (b+c)G(w, T^3 x_n, T^3 x_n)) \dots \\ &\leq aG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + 2a(b+c)G(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) + (2(b+c))^p G(w, w, T^p x_n) \\ &\leq a(1+2(b+c)+(2(b+c))^2+\dots+(2(b+c))^{p-1})G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + (2(b+c))^p G(w, w, T^p x_n) \\ G(x_n, w, w) &\leq \frac{a(1-d^p)}{1-d}G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + d^p G(w, w, T^p x_n), \text{ where } d=2(b+c) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Using rectangular inequality and proposition (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^p x_n, w, w) &\leq G(T^p x_n, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, w, w) \leq 2G(T^p x_n, T^p x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, w, w) \\ &\leq 2(G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) + \dots + G(T^{p-1} x_n, T^p x_n, T^p x_n)) + G(x_n, w, w) \\ &\leq 2pG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, w, w) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

substitute (3.13) in (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_n, w, w) &\leq \frac{a(1-d^p)}{1-d}G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + d^p(2pG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, w, w)) \\ (1-d^p)G(x_n, w, w) &\leq \left(\frac{a(1-d^p)}{1-d} + 2pd^p\right)G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\ G(x_n, w, w) &\leq \left(\frac{a}{1-d} + \frac{2pd^p}{1-d^p}\right)G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), \text{ for all } n \geq \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx_n, w, w) &\leq \left(\frac{a}{1-d} + \frac{2(p-1)d^{p-1}}{1-d^{p-1}}\right)G(Tx_n, T^2 x_n, T^2 x_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a}{1-d} + \frac{2(p-1)d^{p-1}}{1-d^{p-1}}\right)G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Since $G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) \leq G(x_n, w, w) + G(w, Tx_n, Tx_n) G(x_n, w, w) + 2G(w, w, Tx_n)$, by use the inequalities (3.14) and (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) &\leq \left(\frac{a}{1-d} + \frac{2pd^p}{1-d} + \frac{2a}{1-d^p} + \frac{4(p-1)d^{p-1}}{1-d^{p-1}}\right)G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{3a}{1-d} + \frac{2pd^p}{1-d^p} + \frac{4(p-1)d^{p-1}}{1-d^{p-1}}\right)G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), \text{ for all } n \geq \gamma \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2pd^p}{1-d^p} = 0$, and $\frac{3a}{1-d} < 1$ we can choose p satisfying

$$\frac{3a}{1-d} + \frac{2pd^p}{1-d^p} + \frac{4(p-1)d^{p-1}}{1-d^{p-1}} < 1. \text{ Then}$$

$$G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) < G(xn, Tx_n, Tx_n)$$

which is a contradiction, therefore there exist $z \in X$ such that $Tz=z$. Fix $y \in X$ with $y \neq x$. Then $aG(x, Tx, Tx) + (b+c)G(y, Tx, Tx) = (b+c)G(y, x, x) \leq 2(b+c)G(x, y, y) < G(x, y, y)$, we have $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) < M(x, y, y) = \max[G(x, y, y), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty)] = G(x, y, y)$. Hence y is not a fixed point of T and T has a unique fixed point.

References

- Agarwal R, Karapinar E (2013) Further fixed point results on G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl pp: 154.
- Aggarwal M, Chugh R, Kamal R (2012) Suzuki-type fixed points in G-metric spaces and application. Int Journal of computer Appl 7: 14-17.
- Alghamdi MA, Karapinar E (2013) G- β - ψ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems. J Inequal Appl pp: 70.
- Alghamdi MA, Karapinar E (2013) G- β - ψ contractive type mappings in G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl pp: 123.
- Edelstein M (1962) On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. J London Math Soc 37: 79.
- Jleli M, Samet B (2012) Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl pp: 210.
- Moradlou F, Vetro P (2013) Some new extensions of Edelstein-Suzuki type fixed point theorem to G-metric and G-cone metric spaces. 4: 1049-1058.
- Mustafa Z (2005) A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory. PhD thesis, The University of Newcastle, Australia.
- Mustafa Z, Sims B (2006) A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J Nonlinear Convex Anal 7: 289-297.
- Mustafa Z, Sims B (2009) Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl.
- Mustafa Z, Obiedat H, Awawdeh F (2008) Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl.
- Mustafa Z, Shatanawi W, Bataineh M (2009) Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces. Int J Math Sci.
- Mustafa Z, Khandaqji M, Shatanawi W (2011) Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces. Studia Sci Math Hung 48: 304-319.
- Mustafa Z (2012) Common fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in G-metric spaces. Appl Math Sci 6:4589-4600.
- Mustafa Z (2012) Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions in G-metric spaces. J Appl Math.
- Mustafa Z, Aydi H, Karapinar E (2013) Generalized Meir Keeler type contractions on G-metric spaces. Appl Math Comput 219:10441-10447.
- Rao KPR, Bhanu Lakshmi K, Mustafa Z (2012) Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G-metric space. J Adv Stud Topol 3: 12-19.
- Suzuki T (2009) A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal 71: 5313-5317.