Modern Trends in Early Diagnosis of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

Kuzovlev AN*
V.A. Negovsky scientific research institute of general reanimatology RAMS, Moscow, Russia

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a noncardiogenic pulmonary edema, in the basis of which lies an alteration (dystrophy, necrosis, apoptosis) of alveolar epithelium, pulmonary microcirculation endothelium, and their basal membranes (including structures of aerothematic barrier) by endogenous and exogenous aggressive factors, leading to vascular permeability growth and development of acute respiratory failure [1,2].

For many years since its first description in 1967 [3] ARDS has remained a critical condition with a high morbidity and mortality [4], which is in great deal due to an inability of the currently used clinical ARDS criteria to detect the early stage of the syndrome. The problem of prompt diagnosis is of great significance for critical care medicine: the earlier we diagnose the critical condition, the broader spectrum of treatment approaches we have and the better are the outcomes.

Three diagnostic tools for ARDS are currently available: the Murray score [5], the American-European consensus conference criteria [6], the Delphi criteria [7]. The Murray score is not designed to differentiate between stages of ARDS and no data exist that this score correlates with outcomes [8]. The widely used American-European consensus conference criteria are based on oxygenation index and chest X-ray. Oxygenation index decrease is an important marker of ARDS, but is highly dependent on numerous clinical variables apart from ARDS (pneumonia, atelectasis, bronchial obstruction etc). The chest X-ray, especially made by a portable machine, is not informative for the diagnosis of early ARDS stage: bilateral pulmonary infiltrates are only detected at later stages [1,2,9,10]. The Delphi criteria, which take into account the influence of positive end-expiratory pressure on oxygenation index, did not win popularity among clinicians [7]. The recent definition of ARDS proposed at the 2011 ESICM Annual Congress deals not only with routine criteria, but also with the timing, physiological derangements and 3 degrees (mild, moderate and severe) of ARDS. This is a step forward for diagnosing an early ARDS stage. But none of the abovementioned criteria are based on the pathogenesis of the early ARDS stage.

Pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of ARDS have long been an area of interest for the scientists of the V.A. Negovsky scientific research institute of general reanimatology. A multicenter investigation (pathological, experimental, clinical) carried out at the V.A. Negovsky scientific research institute of general reanimatology in 2000-2011 confirmed that the pathological, experimental, clinical carried out at the V.A. Negovsky an area of interest for the scientists of the V.A. Negovsky scientific research institute of general reanimatology made it possible to develop new classification. The knowledge of the pathogenesis of the early ARDS stage made it possible to develop a modern diagnostic approach, which is effective and recommended to be implemented into a daily practice. Future research is focused on investigations into novel biomarkers of ARDS.
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