Mixed Adenoneuroendocrine Carcinoma of the Perianal Region: A Case Report
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Abstract
Paraganglioma is a very rare extra adrenal nonepithelial tumor. The number of cases of laparoscopic surgery in Paraganglioma is small and controversial. This study encountered a case of successful transperitoneal laparoscopic surgery for a 56 mm paraganglioma in a 53-year-old female. Moreover, previous reports on laparoscopic surgery for paraganglioma are reviewed.
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Introduction
MANEC is a neoplasm characterized by significant histological heterogeneity and is characterized by the simultaneous presence of both adenocarcinomatous and neuroendocrine differentiation; their definition includes each component found in at least 30% of the tumor [1]. They most commonly arise in the colon, appendix, rectum or stomach, however, a limited number of MANECs have been reported to originate in the gallbladder [2]. Due to the low frequency of this histotype, only a small number of cases have been described, and there is no established therapeutic strategy. Here we present a case of the patient with MANEC of anal region.

Case Report
The 75-year-old female presented with nodul in the perianal region that has been present for several months. DX was atheroma and excision of the tumor was performed. Pathology report confirmed Mixed Adenoneuroendocrine Carcinoma-MANEC. Additional immunohistochemistry analysis was performed on the examined sample: Synaptophysin-positive, CK 20-positive, CK AE1/AE3-positive, CK7-negative, CA 125-negative (Figure 1). After initial excision patient was not referred to an oncologist. After two months she developed symptoms of an infection and pain in the anal region and was admitted to a hospital. She was operated on and extirpation of the remaining tumor was performed. Pathohistological examination of sample was described as: Adenocarcinoma (GRADUS III). Immunohistochemistry: CK PAN-positive, CK 20-positive, S-100-negative, VIMENTIN-negative, LCA-negative, CK7-negative, CK 5/6-negative. She was referred to the oncologist, two months after the initial diagnosis. At first appointment her ECOG PS was 0. An ultrasound examination (US) of the abdomen, small pelvis and inguinal regions was performed and it confirmed metastatic disease; left iliac lymph node 4.7 cm in size and a conglomerate of pathologically altered lymph nodes in the inguinal region on the left 10 × 8 cm in size. A biopsy was performed and the pathological report showed that the sample morphologically and immunohistochemically corresponds to the previously diagnosed tumor: Mixed Adenoneuroendocrine Carcinoma-MANEC, Synaptophysin-positive, Chromogranin A-positive, CD56-positive, CK 20-positive, NSE-positive, CK7-negative, Ki67-about 90% of tumor cells show nuclear positivity. Patient was presented to the MDT and the decision was made to start with PE regimen in the first line of treatment.

After two cycles, there was an evident clinical progression and she started to have difficulty walking Lab reports showed elevated levels of CYFRA 21-1 5.3 ng/ml, LDH 444 U/L and NSE 140.2 ng/ml. Progression-Free Survival (PFS) for the first-line of chemotherapy was 1 month. In the second line patient was treated with Paclitaxel and Ifosfamide (PI) combination chemotherapy. After three cycles of therapy initial response was stable disease. Patient progressed after six cycles CT showed enlargement of the mass in the left inguinal region, now 10 × 9 × 6.5 cm in size, mass in the pelvis now 10 cm in size (Figure 2), onset of peritoneal carcinomatosis and cutaneous and subcutaneous metastatic deposits. She had worsening of her previous condition, limited movement in her left leg and developed DVT. She started LMWH for treatment of DVT. Her ECOG PS was 3. PFS for second line of therapy was 4 months. Patient was presented to the MDT again and started third line chemotherapy with FOLFIRI3 regimen plus Sandostatin LAR. After two cycles NSE levels dropped to 18.7 ng/ml and LDH levels were normal. After six cycles of FOLFIRI3 regimen with Sandostatin LAR applied every other cycle, CT showed tumor regression, with mass in the left inguinus now 7 × 5 cm in size (Figure 3) and no other enlarged lymph nodes. NSE was 5.8 ng/ml. Swelling in her leg went down and her condition improved. ECOG PS is 1. She is still in treatment.
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Figure 1. Magnification of 40x, staining with: Synaptophysin-positive (A), CK 20-positive (B), CK AE1/AE3-positive (C).
Discussion

Our patient developed cancer at the age of 75. The primary treatment option is surgery and in the advanced stage of the disease, due to the small number of cases, there are no recommendations for systemic therapy. Examples of chemotherapy based on platinum preparations [3], fluorouracils [4,5] and taxanes are given in the literature. In our case, the patient had a positive response only to the third line of chemotherapy, FOLFIRI3 regimen plus Sandostatin LAR, which emphasizes the importance of early evaluation of the clinical response and changes in chemotherapy at the first progression of the disease. No data on the radio sensitivity of this tumor were found in the reviewed literature, so we did not decide to use radiotherapy in the treatment of our patient.

Conclusion

MANEC is very rare, aggressive, rapidly spreading disease, usually with poor prognosis. Although a rare cancer, MANEC presents a significant therapeutic challenge because there are no clear guidelines based on randomized trials. In our case, the patient responded well to the third line of chemotherapy, FOLFIRI3 regimen plus Sandostatin LAR. It is of great importance the early recognition of tumor rarities such as MANEC, the multidisciplinary approach from the beginning of treatment as well as the need to form a single reference center for rare tumors.

References
