Microdialysis: Reducing the Delay in Detection of Shift in Molecular Composition a Laboratory Study
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Abstract
Introduction: Microdialysis is one of the methods used clinically for the detection of ischemia. Although microdialysis is reliable, in most clinical settings there is a delay of 1-2 hours before the information is available.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate whether an increase in the Microdialysis per fusion rate from 0.3 to 1.0 or 2.0 µl/min was capable of reducing the delay in the detection of a shift in molecular composition.

Methods and material: Microdialysis was performed in a container with 3 catheters per fused with 0.3, 1.0 and 2.0 µl/min. The molecular composition in the container regarding glucose and lactate was initially as follows: C_{glucose}=6.0 mmol/L and C_{lactate}=2 mmol/L. At T=90 min the composition was changed to C_{glucose}=1 mmol/L and C_{lactate}=12 mmol/L. Dialysates were harvested from the three catheters and were analysed regarding the concentration of glucose and lactate. For calculation of the relative recovery, samples were harvested directly from the liquid. The relative recovery and the delay before new steady state were calculated for each of the 3 catheters. The experiment was performed 8 times.

Results: A decrease in relative recovery was found with the higher perfusion rate. For glucose, the relative recovery was 100, 88, and 69% at perfusion rates of 0.3, 1.0 and 2.0 µl/min. For lactate, the corresponding values were 103, 93, and 77%. An increase in the lactate/glucose ratio was found with the higher perfusion rate. The delays in detection of shift in molecular concentration were found to be 60, 20, and 10 minutes for catheters 0.3, 1.0 and 2.0, respectively.

Conclusion: Using microdialysis it is possible to significantly reduce the delay while still detecting a shift in the concentration of glucose and lactate when the perfusion rate is increased.
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Abbreviations: 0.3 Catheter: Catheter perfused with 0.3 µl/min; 1.0 Catheter: Catheter perfused with 1.0 µl/min; 2.0 Catheter: Catheter perfused with 2.0 µl/min; DS: Direct Samples; L/G ratio: Lactate/Glucose Ratio; MD: Microdialysis; RR: Relative Recovery

Introduction

Ischemia is a known complication that can occur during and after several surgical procedures [1-5]. In cases of ischemia in an organ or a mass of tissue, timely detection is important if intervention is to be performed in due time. A number of invasive and non-invasive devices have been used for the detection of ischemia [6-10]. So far, no single monitoring method fulfils all the demands of clinical practice. The method must be harmless to the patient, rapid, sensitive, accurate, reliable, and easy to use for the hospital staff. Furthermore, it needs to have a high specificity and sensitivity. There does not seem to be any consensus in terms of which method to use in clinical practice [11].

Microdialysis is a micro invasive method used for the detection of ischemia and has been described in detail elsewhere [12,13]. The method has been proven useful and reliable for the detection of ischemia in neurosurgery, gastrointestinal surgery, reconstructive surgery, and transplantations [10,14-18].

In the standard clinical setting for gastrointestinal, reconstructive, and transplantation surgery, there is a diagnostic delay of 1-2 hours before the information regarding the molecular composition of the tissue becomes available. This delay is partly due to the perfusion rate of the catheter of 0.3 µl/min. There are several reasons for the selection of this rate, with three of the most important being: (1) tradition (2) practical concerns and (3) the fact that the recovery of the molecules will be reduced if the flow rate increases [19]. As trends and relative values are almost as important as absolute values when monitoring ischemia, it might be possible to increase the flow rate without loss of sensitivity and specificity. If the delay can be reduced without a reduction in reliability, microdialysis might be the long-sought-after ideal monitoring method.

The aim of this study was in a laboratory model to evaluate whether an increase in the catheter perfusion rate from 0.3 µl/min to 1.0 or 2.0 µl/min was capable of reducing the delay in detection of a shift in molecular composition and still be reliable.

Materials and Methods

A bowl containing 450 ml of saline, 11 ml of glucose 50 mg/ml, and 35 ml of lactate Ringer 28 mmol/l was kept at room temperature, and the liquid was continuously mixed with a magnetic stirrer. Three microdialysis catheters (CMA 63, CMA Microdialysis, Stockholm, Sweden) were placed in the container with the microdialysis membrane below the surface. The three catheters were allocated to perfusion at 0.3 µl/min (0.3), 1.0 µl/min (1.0) and 2.0 µl/min (2.0).
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After 1.5 hours (T=90), a shift in molecular composition from simulated normal perfused tissue to ischemic tissue regarding glucose and lactate was obtained by adding 1250 ml of isotonic saline and 1250 ml of Lactate Ringer 28 mmol/ml to the bowl. The intention was a shift in the concentrations of glucose from 6 to 1 mmol/l and of lactate from 2 to 12 mmol/l.

Due to the time required for collection of the 10 µl dialysate required for analyses, vials could be harvested at intervals of 30, 10, and 5 minutes from the 0.3, the 1.0, and the 2.0 microdialysis catheters, respectively. Dialysates were harvested from the three catheters as often as possible in the period just before and after the shift in molecular composition of the liquid (see Figure 1). For reference, 10 µl of the liquid was harvested directly from the container at regular intervals (DS direct sample). Collection of dialysate and DS was continued until 1.5 hours after the shift in molecular composition. The dialysates were analysed with respect to the concentrations of glucose and lactate (C_glucose and C_lactate) at the CMA 600 analyzer (CMA Microdialysis, Stockholm, Sweden). This experiment was performed 8 times.

Delay in detection of new values

The delay in the detection of shift in molecular composition was defined as the time in minutes from T=90 min until the new steady state was reached for each of the three catheters. A new steady state was defined as the plateau at which the difference between two consecutive analyses was within the measuring accuracy of the CMA600 analyzer.

Relative recovery

The relative recovery (RR) is defined as the concentration of glucose or lactate in the dialysate expressed as a percentage of the concentration in the solution [19]. In this study, the concentration of glucose and lactate in the direct samples (DS) was used. Only the values measured during steady state were used in the calculation of RR.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism version 6 (Graph Pad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The results are expressed as median values with range unless otherwise stated. Comparison between groups was performed using a paired t-test. Level of significance was set at a p-value<0.05.

Results

Analyses of glucose and lactate were conducted in all 8 experiments with no failures. Samples from catheters 1.0 and 2.0 showed significantly lower glucose and lactate concentrations during steady state compared to DS (P=0.0001). Samples from the 0.3 catheter were not significantly lower glucose and lactate concentrations during steady state compared to DS (P<0.0001). Samples from the 0.3 catheter were not significantly lower compared to DS (P<0.0001). Samples from the 0.3 catheter were not significantly lower compared to DS (P<0.0001). Samples from the 0.3 catheter were not significantly lower compared to DS (P<0.0001). Samples from the 0.3 catheter were not significantly lower compared to DS (P<0.0001). Samples from the 0.3 catheter were not significantly lower compared to DS (P<0.0001).

A decrease in relative recovery (RR) was seen with higher perfusion rates (Table 1). For glucose, the RRs were 100% (96-107), 88% (81-95), and 69% (60-81%) for catheters 0.3, 1.0, and 2.0, respectively. For lactate, the corresponding RRs were 103% (98-111%), 93% (87-101%), and 77% (67-93%). For all three catheters, the RRs were significantly higher for lactate than for glucose.

The L/G ratio increased with higher flow-rates (Figure 2), and all L/G ratios from the catheters were significantly higher than the L/G ratio in Direct Sampling.

A significant reduction of delay in the detection of shift in molecular concentration was found with increasing perfusion rate. The delays were found to be 60, 20, and 10 minutes for catheters 0.3, 1.0, and 2.0, respectively.

Table 1: Relative recovery (RR) and concentrations of glucose and lactate are given as mean values with total range. Only the values measured during steady state were used in the calculation of RR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Glucose/mM</th>
<th>Lactate/mM</th>
<th>RR Glucose/%</th>
<th>RR Lactate/%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simulating normal perfusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter 0.3</td>
<td>6.4 (5.6-6.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.8-2.0)</td>
<td>101 (96;107)</td>
<td>103 (98;111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter 1.0</td>
<td>5.5 (4.8-5.9)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.6-1.9)</td>
<td>87 (83;95)</td>
<td>92 (87;100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter 2.0</td>
<td>4.3 (3.8-4.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2-1.5)</td>
<td>69 (61;76)</td>
<td>75 (67;93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simulating ischemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.4)</td>
<td>10.8 (10.0-11.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8-1.2)</td>
<td>10.2 (9.7-11.0)</td>
<td>88 (81;95)</td>
<td>94 (91;101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter 2.0</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6-1.0)</td>
<td>8.7 (8.0-9.2)</td>
<td>67 (60;81)</td>
<td>79 (75;89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The present laboratory study demonstrates how an increase in the perfusion rate of the microdialysis catheters can reduce the delay while still enabling the detection of a shift in concentrations of glucose and lactate.

Microdialysis as a monitoring method for the detection of ischemia would be more appealing with a diagnostic delay of 10-20 min instead of 1-2 hours [20]. Although the values of C_glucose and C_lactate obtained in catheters 1.0 and 2.0 were lower compared to the 0.3 catheter, due to a reduced RR, the trends were the same when the C_glucose and the C_lactate in the container were changed to ischemia simulation. It has been pointed out several times that trends are almost as important as absolute values when monitoring for the detection of ischemia [19].
For the L/G-ratio, a significant increase was seen in all three catheters after changes in molecular composition. As the reduction in RR with increasing perfusion rate was more pronounced for glucose than for lactate, there were consequently higher L/G ratios with increasing perfusion rate. Ratios have, like trends, been shown to be important when monitoring for detection of ischemia, and as such an increase in flow-rate to 1.0 µl/min resulted in an RR of only 30% in brain tissue [22,23]. As these RRs are much lower than the RR found in this laboratory study, it is obvious that our results cannot be transferred directly to clinical situations. In metabolic monitoring, there is a tradition of using the registered concentrations without adjustment according to RR [24]. This is partly due to the difficulties in determination of the RR in every case and partly due to the fact that the concentrations imply important information without adjustment. Furthermore it has been argued that the reduced RR slack the same undesirable effect on ratios, as the reduction has impact on the numerator as well as the denominator [24].

A dramatic reduction in the delay of the detection of ischemia by use of MD has been described, but so far only in studies where custom-made tools have been used [25]. Whether a reduction can be obtained by using new systems and new equipment or by changing the standard use of existing tools does not matter. As time matters in case of postoperative ischemia in gastrointestinal surgery, reconstructive surgery, and transplantsations a reduction in diagnostic delay is important. The goal is to reduce the delay without reducing the reliability. It is therefore important to investigate how the concentrations, the trends, and the ratios found in this laboratory experiment will change in situations more closely resembling clinical situations. In case the delay in detection of ischemia in a clinical setting can be reduced like in this laboratory study, it will mean a strong improvement of microdialysis as clinical monitoring method.

It is a weakness of this study that the shift in molecular composition happens over seconds rather than within several minutes to hours as in a clinical situation. Furthermore, it is a limitation that the sampling of vials in all eight experiments was uniformly synchronised with the shift in molecular composition (T=90 min). Random displacement of sampling in relation to the shift in composition might give different results regarding delay in detection, but should not have an impact on the RR.

Further research must address whether an increase in microdialysis perfusion rate can reduce the delay in the detection of ischemia in living tissue without reducing the specificity and sensitivity of the method. The next step should be an experimental study, evaluating the reduction in diagnostic delay to be obtained when monitoring by use of microdialysis with different perfusion rate after introduction of ischemia in isolated transfers under standardized conditions.

Conclusion

The present laboratory study demonstrates that through the use of microdialysis, it is possible to significantly reduce the delay in detection of a shift in the concentration of glucose and lactate when the perfusion rate is increased.
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