Lymphomatoid Papulosis Misdiagnosed as Anaplastic Lymphoma
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Lymphomatoid papulosis is a very rare skin disorder, defined as a chronic, recurrent, self-healing papulonodular or papulonecrotic skin eruption with suggestive histopathological features of a cutaneous lymphoma. We report a case of a 47-year-old male with an 8 years history of recurrent papulonodular lesions, some ulcerated, located on the face, trunk and extremities. The patient has been diagnosed primary with staphylococcal infection based on the clinical features and then, after a skin biopsy with anaplastic large cell lymphoma with CD30 positive cells and treated with CHOP. When he stopped the therapy, the lesions reappeared. A second skin biopsy was performed. Histological examination revealed an infiltrate of dyscohesive atypical lymphoid cells at the dermal-epidermal junction extending in the deep dermis. These atypical lymphoid cells varied in size and had pale abundant cytoplasm. Mitoses including atypical forms were prominent. Small mature appearing lymphocytes admixed with neutrophils and eosinophils were scattered throughout the tumor. Immunohistochemical studies demonstrated that the atypical lymphoid cells expressed CD30, Bcl-2 and Ki-67 (90%). Considering also the clinicopathological correlation, the morphological and immunohistochemical stains were suggestive for the diagnosis of lymphomatoid papulosis type A.
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Introduction

Lymphomatoid papulosis is a very rare skin disorder that is characterised by crops of self healing skin lesions that look cancerous under the microscope but are actually benign (non-malignant). Lesions contain unusual cells that are similar to those found in some lymphomas (cancers of the lymphatic system).

Lymphomatoid papulosis is a very rare skin disorder, defined as a chronic, recurrent, self-healing papulonodular or papulonecrotic skin eruption [1] with suggestive histopathological features of a cutaneous lymphoma [2]. The incidence is 1.2–1.9 cases per million, occurring sporadically in both sexes from childhood to old age, the average age being 40 [1]. The neoplastic cell is typically a CD4+ lymphocyte also manifesting CD30 expression [3]. Three major histological types were described: A, B and C. The infiltrate in A and C pattern is positive for CD30, whereas lymphomatoid papulosis type B is composed of pleomorphic irregular, enlarged and hyperchromatic lymphocytes, CD30 negative, showing epidermotropism and resembling classic mycosis fungoides [4]. Recently, newly variants were proposed: type D, variant simulating histologically an aggressive epidermotropic CD8–positive T-cell lymphoma, type E with no cutaneous spread and excellent prognosis and a folliculotropic variant, type F. The type E should exclude forms of angiodestructive and angiocentric cytotoxic T cell lymphoma [5,6].

Material and Methods

In this study, we report a case of a 47-year-old male who presented to the Dermatology and Venereology Department of Timisura’s Emergency City Hospital describing an 8 years history of recurrent papulonodular lesions.

Before the presentation in the Dermatology department of our hospital, the patient has been diagnosed primary with staphylococcal infection based on the clinical features and consequently, after a skin biopsy, with anaplastic large cell lymphoma CD30+ and treated with CHOP. Once the patient stopped the therapy, the lesions reappeared.

Because of the clinical appearance of the lesions, we decided to perform a new biopsy.

The specimens for histopathological evaluation were obtained by incisional biopsy, fixed in 4% v/v buffered formalin and sent to the Pathology Service of the same hospital. The fixed tissues fragments were embedded in paraffin and prepared through classically histological technique.

For diagnostic purpose, three micrometers serial sections were initially stained with hematoxylin and eosin. While reading the slides, the pathologists paid attention to the histological type of the cells, cellular and nuclear pleomorphism, and mitotic count, distribution of the cellular infiltrate and presence or absence of epidermotropism.

Additional immunohistochemical stains for different markers were performed (B-cell [CD20, CD79], T-cell [CD3, CD4, CD5, CD7, CD8, UCHL], cell cycle markers [bcl-2, bcl-6], cell proliferation [Ki67]) were
performed using the protocol of the antibodies producer (Novocastra
Reagents and Antibodies: Leica Biosystems, New Castle, UK).

The slides were visualized using a Leica DM750 microscope and the
pictures were captured with a DMShare System from Leica Biosystems,
New Castle, UK.

Results
At the time of presentation in our clinic, the lesions, some ulcerated,
were located on the face (Figure 1), trunk and extremities.

General physical examination did not evidence hepatosplenomegaly, peripheral lymphadenopathy and additional
investigations excluded extracutaneous involvement. A new skin
biopsy was performed.

Histopathological examination on morphological stained slides
revealed an infiltrate of dyscohesive atypical lymphoid cells at the
dermal-epidermal junction extending to the deep dermis and
subcutaneous fat. The overlying epidermis was ulcerated, being
covered with neutrophilic detritus and keratotic crust.

In the dermis, the atypical lymphoid cells were present in large
sheets, predominantly around some small vessels (arterioles) with
lesions of necrotizing vasculitis. They varied in size and had pale
abundant cytoplasm. Nuclei were irregularly shaped, vesicular and
pleomorphic. One or multiple, prominent nucleoli were noted. Mitoses
including atypical forms were prominent. Small mature appearing
lymphocytes admixed with neutrophils, and eosinophils were scattered
throughout the tumor (Figures 2-5).

A diagnosis of lymphomatoid papulosis was made. The patient had
a favorable outcome with totally remission of the lesions without
treatment, shortly after the diagnosis. Even if the patient reported self-
healing of the lesions, unfortunately, after several months, recurrent,
erythematous papules and nodules appeared on the face, trunk, and
extremities, apparently not related to other factors.
Figure 5: Lymphomatoid papulosis: small mature appearing lymphocytes, neutrophils, and eosinophils scattered throughout the lesion (H&E stain, ob. 40x).

Figure 6: Lymphomatoid papulosis: numerous CD30+ cells are present (ob. 40x).

Immunohistochemical studies demonstrated that the atypical lymphoid cells expressed CD30 and bcl-2. The mitotic index, highlighted by Ki67 immunolabeling was 90% (Figure 6).

A diagnosis of lymphomatoid papulosis was made. The patient had a favorable outcome with totally remission of the lesions without treatment, shortly after the diagnosis. Even if the patient reported self-healing of the lesions, unfortunately, after several months, recurrent, erythematous papules and nodules appeared on the face, trunk, and extremities, apparently not related to other factors.

Discussion

Lymphomatoid papulosis is a chronic eruption of papules and nodules with spontaneously regression. Only in 10-20% of cases is associated with another lymphoma, usually mycosis fungoides, anaplastic large cell lymphoma or Hodgkin’s lymphoma, that can precede, be concomitant or follow the disease [7]. Also, these patients are at higher risk to develop a second non-lymphoid malignancies [8].

Clinically, lesions coexist in different developmental stages and can heal in weeks or months, leaving areas of hyperpigmentation, hypopigmentation or scarring. Involvement of mucous membranes is uncommon [9,10].

Histological aspects of lymphomatoid papulosis are variable. There were described six histological types. Classically, there are three types of lymphomatoid papulosis recognized today A, B, and C; other three types (D, E and F) are under discussion to be confirmed as distinct subtypes.

Type A is the most common entity and is characterized by the presence of large atypical cells, scattered or clustered admixed with small lymphocytes, neutrophils, histiocytes and eosinophils.

Variant B histological features are similar with those of mycosis fungoides and the diagnosis of lymphomatoid papulosis is established by clinicopathological correlation. The infiltrate of type B has been reported being CD30 negative [4].

The histological findings in type C resemble with those of cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma.

In type D lesions, atypical lymphoid cells express positivity for CD8 and CD30. Differential diagnosis of type D must rule out primary cutaneous aggressive epidermotropic CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma and

Diagnosis of type E of lymphomatoid papulosis should exclude forms of angiodestructive and angiocentric cytotoxic T cell lymphoma [5,6].

Recently, a folliculotropic variant of lymphomatoid papulosis, which involves the hair follicles, was described. Histological characteristics of this form reveal medium to large atypical lymphoid cells CD30+ with a perifollicular pattern and variable degree of folliculotropism, which can mimic folliculotrophic variant of mycosis fungoides [11,12].

Differential diagnosis of lymphomatoid papulosis includes pityriasis lichenoides et varioliformis acuta (PLEVA), reactions to arthropod bites, nodular scabies, cutaneous viral infection, lymphomatoid drug eruptions [4]. Lymphomatoid papulosis prognosis is excellent and the expected 5-year survival is almost 100% [13]. Some patients may have few recurrences of the disease over the years, and others may have lesions appearing almost continuously. Because 10-20% of cases develop an associated malignancy, regular follow-up is necessary. The patient presented in this study had a favorable outcome, typical for lymphomatoid papulosis, with totally remission of the lesions shortly after our evaluation.

Conclusion

This study highlighted the importance of the clinicopathological correlation in order to pronounces a correct diagnosis for the patients with CD30 positive lymphoproliferative disorders. These atypical lymphoid cells varied in size and had pale abundant cytoplasm. Mitoses including atypical forms were prominent. Small mature appeared lymphocytes admixed with neutrophils and eosinophils were scattered throughout the tumor. Immunohistochemical studies demonstrated that the atypical lymphoid cells expressed CD30, Bcl-2 and Ki-67 (90%). Considering also the clinicopathological correlation, the morphological and immunohistochemical stains were suggestive for the diagnosis of lymphomatoid papulosis type A.
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