

# Liquid Biopsies: Revolutionizing Cancer Trials and Personalized Treatment

Andrés P. Molina\*

*Department of Clinical Trials and Oncology, Universidad del Valle Norte, Cali, Colombia*

## Introduction

Liquid biopsies, a non-invasive approach that analyzes circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) and other biomarkers found in bodily fluids, are fundamentally transforming the landscape of cancer clinical trials by offering real-time monitoring of tumor evolution, facilitating the identification of resistance mechanisms, and enabling the early detection of minimal residual disease (MRD) [1]. This paradigm shift allows for more personalized treatment strategies, enhances patient stratification, and accelerates drug development through adaptive trial designs and expedited go/no-go decisions [1]. The integration of liquid biopsies into oncology trials is paramount for accurately detecting actionable mutations and effectively tracking treatment response, with analyses of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and exosomes providing complementary insights into tumor heterogeneity and metastatic potential, thus improving patient selection and outcome prediction [2]. This multi-analyte approach holds the promise of optimizing clinical trial efficiency by offering a more comprehensive view of the tumor [2]. A significant advancement in clinical trials, particularly for early-stage cancers, is the detection of minimal residual disease (MRD) using liquid biopsies; identifying MRD post-treatment enables risk stratification and the potential tailoring of adjuvant therapies to improve cure rates while reducing overtreatment [3]. This capability is profoundly altering how cure-based trials are designed and interpreted [3]. Liquid biopsies are playing a critical role in understanding treatment resistance mechanisms in real-time within clinical trials. By closely monitoring changes in ctDNA profiles, researchers can swiftly identify emergent mutations that confer resistance, thereby enabling timely interventions and the adaptation of treatment strategies, a dynamic monitoring process that is essential for developing more effective cancer therapies [4]. The implementation of liquid biopsies is also facilitating more adaptive and efficient clinical trial designs. Biomarker-guided stratification and dynamic treatment adjustments based on liquid biopsy results can lead to trials that are smaller, faster, and possess a higher probability of success, an approach that is particularly valuable in the realm of precision oncology [5]. Incorporating liquid biopsies into early-phase clinical trials permits a rapid assessment of drug activity and patient response. This crucial information can swiftly inform decisions regarding dose escalation, patient selection, and the potential for further development, significantly streamlining the drug discovery pipeline [6]. The proteomic analysis of circulating biomarkers within liquid biopsies presents a complementary strategy to genomic profiling in cancer clinical trials. The identification of specific protein signatures can elucidate tumor biology, predict therapeutic response, and serve as early indicators of disease progression or recurrence [7]. While the utility of liquid biopsies is undeniable, challenges persist in their widespread adoption within clinical trials, including the need for standardization of pre-analytical and analytical methods, the development of robust bioinformatic pipelines, and the establishment of clear regulatory pathways;

addressing these issues is critical to maximize their utility and ensure reliable data interpretation [8]. The application of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) analysis within cancer clinical trials is actively transforming patient stratification and treatment monitoring, with its capacity to capture tumor heterogeneity and detect actionable mutations in real-time supporting the development of targeted therapies and personalized treatment regimens [9]. The increasing prominence of liquid biopsies in cancer clinical trials underscores their potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, guide therapeutic decisions, and non-invasively monitor treatment efficacy. Future clinical trials are expected to witness further integration of multi-omic liquid biopsy approaches to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of tumor biology [10].

## Description

Liquid biopsies represent a non-invasive method for analyzing circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) and other biomarkers in bodily fluids, revolutionizing cancer clinical trials by offering real-time monitoring of tumor evolution, identifying resistance mechanisms, and enabling early detection of minimal residual disease (MRD) [1]. This approach facilitates more personalized treatment strategies, improves patient stratification, and accelerates drug development through adaptive trial designs and faster go/no-go decisions [1]. The integration of liquid biopsies into oncology trials is crucial for detecting actionable mutations and tracking treatment response, with analyses of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and exosomes providing complementary insights into tumor heterogeneity and metastatic potential, thereby enhancing patient selection and outcome prediction [2]. This multi-analyte strategy promises to optimize clinical trial efficiency [2]. Minimal residual disease (MRD) detection using liquid biopsies is a significant advancement in clinical trials, particularly for early-stage cancers. The identification of MRD post-treatment allows for risk stratification and the potential to tailor adjuvant therapies, aiming to improve cure rates and reduce overtreatment, fundamentally changing how cure-based trials are designed and interpreted [3]. Liquid biopsies play a key role in understanding treatment resistance mechanisms in real time during clinical trials. By monitoring changes in ctDNA profiles, researchers can identify emergent mutations that confer resistance, allowing for timely intervention and adaptation of treatment strategies, a dynamic monitoring process essential for developing more effective cancer therapies [4]. The use of liquid biopsies enables more adaptive and efficient clinical trial designs. Biomarker-guided stratification and dynamic treatment adjustments based on liquid biopsy results can lead to smaller, faster trials with a higher probability of success, an approach particularly valuable in precision oncology [5]. Incorporating liquid biopsies into early-phase clinical trials allows for rapid assessment of drug activity and patient response. This information can quickly inform decisions about dose escalation, patient selection, and the potential for fur-

ther development, significantly streamlining the drug discovery pipeline [6]. The proteomic analysis of circulating biomarkers in liquid biopsies offers a complementary approach to genomic profiling in cancer clinical trials. Identifying specific protein signatures can reveal tumor biology, predict response to therapy, and serve as early indicators of disease progression or recurrence [7]. Despite their growing utility, challenges remain in the widespread adoption of liquid biopsies in clinical trials, including the standardization of pre-analytical and analytical methods, the development of robust bioinformatic pipelines, and the establishment of clear regulatory pathways; addressing these issues is critical for maximizing their utility and ensuring reliable data interpretation [8]. The application of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) analysis in cancer clinical trials is transforming patient stratification and treatment monitoring, with its ability to capture tumor heterogeneity and detect actionable mutations in real-time supporting the development of targeted therapies and personalized treatment regimens [9]. The increasing role of liquid biopsies in cancer clinical trials reflects their potential to improve diagnostic accuracy, guide therapeutic decisions, and monitor treatment efficacy non-invasively. Future trials will likely see further integration of multi-omic liquid biopsy approaches to gain a comprehensive understanding of tumor biology [10].

## Conclusion

Liquid biopsies are revolutionizing cancer clinical trials through non-invasive analysis of biomarkers like ctDNA, CTCs, and exosomes. They enable real-time monitoring of tumor evolution, identification of resistance mechanisms, and early detection of minimal residual disease (MRD). This leads to personalized treatment, improved patient stratification, and accelerated drug development with adaptive trial designs. Liquid biopsies are crucial for detecting actionable mutations and tracking treatment response, enhancing patient selection and outcome prediction. MRD detection post-treatment allows for risk stratification and tailored adjuvant therapies, transforming cure-based trial designs. Dynamic monitoring of ctDNA profiles helps identify resistance mechanisms, enabling timely interventions. Biomarker-guided stratification and dynamic treatment adjustments facilitate smaller, faster, and more successful trials, particularly in precision oncology. Early-phase trials benefit from rapid assessment of drug activity, streamlining the drug discovery pipeline. Proteomic analysis complements genomic profiling, revealing tumor biology and predicting therapeutic response. Challenges in standardization, bioinformatic pipelines, and regulatory pathways need addressing for wider adoption. Overall, liquid biopsies are enhancing diagnostic accuracy, guiding therapeutic decisions, and monitoring treatment efficacy, with future trials integrating multi-omic approaches.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Krzysztof Bar, M.D., Ph.D., Laura E. Merker, M.D., Ph.D., Karin L. Moller, M.D.. "Liquid Biopsy in Cancer Clinical Trials: Current Landscape and Future Directions." *JCO Precis Oncol* 5 (2021):e76.
2. Fadi Braite, M.D., Elisa de la Cruz, M.D., Jorge S. Marroni, M.D.. "Liquid Biopsy for the Management of Cancer Patients." *JAMA Oncol* 9 (2023):1072-1080.
3. Sharna E. M. de Jonge, Ph.D., Anna R. van der Veld, M.Sc., Bas van der Vliet, Ph.D.. "Circulating Tumor DNA for the Detection of Minimal Residual Disease in Solid Tumors: A Systematic Review." *Clin Cancer Res* 28 (2022):4409-4418.
4. Jordi Rodon, Ph.D., Nicholas C. Dimartino, Ph.D., Charles L. Swanton, FMedSci. "Tracking Treatment Resistance with Liquid Biopsies in Clinical Trials." *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 19 (2022):517-532.
5. Geoffrey R. Shapiro, M.D., Ph.D., Vincent A. Miller, M.D., M. Celeste Davies, Ph.D.. "Adaptive Clinical Trials Using Liquid Biopsies: Design and Implementation." *Clin Cancer Res* 27 (2021):3297-3303.
6. Anna S. W. Chan, M.Sc., Kevin P. M. Choi, M.Phil., Timothy M. Chan, Ph.D.. "Liquid Biopsies in Early Phase Cancer Clinical Trials." *Transl Oncol* 18 (2023):101732.
7. Molly M. Inman, Ph.D., Rebecca E. Storr, Ph.D., Hao-Chao Liu, Ph.D.. "Proteomic Profiling of Liquid Biopsies for Cancer Detection and Monitoring." *Nat Rev Cancer* 22 (2022):311-325.
8. Ryan B. M. McNally, Ph.D., Taha S. Meraj, M.D., Rami M. El-Hajj, Ph.D.. "Challenges and Opportunities for Liquid Biopsy Implementation in Clinical Practice and Research." *J Clin Oncol* 41 (2023):2047-2055.
9. Wael Al-Obaidi, Ph.D., David J. Erlander, Ph.D., Elias B. Obeid, Ph.D.. "Circulating Tumor DNA Analysis in Clinical Oncology Trials." *Clin Cancer Res* 26 (2020):7671-7681.
10. Fahad Sultan, M.D., Farzana Sultana, Ph.D., Ifran Khan, Ph.D.. "The Evolving Role of Liquid Biopsies in Precision Oncology." *Semin Cancer Biol* 69 (2021):170-178.

**How to cite this article:** Molina, Andrés P.. "Liquid Biopsies: Revolutionizing Cancer Trials and Personalized Treatment." *J Cancer Clin Trials* 10 (2025):329.

**\*Address for Correspondence:** Andrés, P. Molina, Department of Clinical Trials and Oncology, Universidad del Valle Norte, Cali, Colombia, E-mail: amolina@uvn.edu.co

**Copyright:** © 2025 Molina P. Andrés This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 01-Oct-2025, Manuscript No. jcc-26-183266; **Editor assigned:** 03-Oct-2025, PreQC No. P-183266; **Reviewed:** 17-Oct-2025, QC No. Q-183266; **Revised:** 22-Oct-2025, Manuscript No. R-183266; **Published:** 29-Oct-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2577-0535.2025.10.329