Lipoprotein (a) Status and Effect of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy on it in Bangladeshi Patients with Cholelithiasis
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Abstract

Objective: Although it was reported that cholecystectomy had complex impact on lipid profile in cholelithiasis, lipoprotein (a) [Lp(a)] was not studied. The present study was therefore conducted on serum Lp(a) status in Bangladeshi patients with cholelithiasis and effect of cholecystectomy on it.

Patients and Methods: Adult patients (n=44) with cholelithiasis and 30 normal controls (NC) were included in the study. The blood sample was taken from fasting patients before cholecystectomy (Serum-I⁰) and gall bladder bile sample during cholecystectomy (Bile-I⁰) and blood sample again after 2-3 months at follow-up (Serum-II⁰) and from fasting NC subjects. Lp(a) level was quantitated in serum and bile by immunoturbidimetric method using commercially available research kit. The results were compared statistically by ANOVA, Student’s t-test and Chi-squared test using SPSS programme.

Results: The Lp(a) status (mg/dl, Mean ± SD) in controls and patients and their statistical analysis revealed that Lp(a) was much higher in patients compared to controls (NC: 29.07 ± 14.1, Patients Serum-I⁰: 290.84 ± 110.93, Patients Bile-I⁰ : 37.12 ± 28.61, Patients Serum-II⁰: 203.70 ± 90.13) (P<0.001). Lp(a) was lowered after cholecystectomy, but remained elevated in patients Serum-II⁰ compared to NC significantly (P<0.001). No significant difference was observed for Lp(a) levels between NC and patients Bile-I⁰ (P=0.173). The proportions of patients for Serum-I⁰, Bile-I⁰ and Serum-II⁰ with Lp(a) levels above and within normal limits and their statistical analyses showed significant associations (P<0.001).

Conclusions: Cholelithiasis had complex impact on Lp(a) status indicating a special function of gall bladder relevant to its metabolism. Further studies are warranted.
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Introduction

One of the common gastrointestinal disorders prevalent in about 10-15% of adults in the developing countries is Cholelithiasis (gallstone disease) [1,2]. Surgical removal of the gallbladder and gallstones, i.e. cholecystectomy is the treatment of choice currently [3,4]. Studies over 30 years ago showed that more than 50% of patients with gallstone would have lipid disorder [1,5].

The pathogenesis of cholesterol gallstone is widely accepted as an altered lipid metabolism, because of which there is a relative increase in the cholesterol levels compared to other lipids secreted by the liver into the bile [1,4,5]. Many factors including nucleation of cholesterol crystals, binding together of these crystals with mucin and hypomotility of the gallbladder play an important role in gallstone formation [6-8]. The molecular events that underlie these processes have not been understood completely, although association between gallstones and altered lipid profile has been shown in some studies [4,9,10].

Lipoprotein (a) [Lp(a)] has been implicated as a probable cause for atherosclerosis [3,4]. Since its identification by Norwegian geneticist Kare Berg in 1963, Lp(a) has become a focus of research interest owing to the results of case-control and prospective studies linking elevated plasma levels of this lipoprotein with the development of coronary artery disease (CAD) [5,6]. Based on the similarity of Lp(a) to both low density lipoprotein (LDL) and plasminogen, it has been hypothesized that the function of this lipoprotein may represent a link between the fields of atherosclerosis and thrombosis [6-8].

Apolipoprotein A1 (Apo A1), ApoE, CETP and Mucin have been implicated with cholelithiasis In some studies [4,11-13]. HDL-C, VLDL and Lp(a) were implicated with coronary artery disease(CAD), diabetes mellitus, polycystic ovarian syndrome (POS) [3,14-17]. Higher levels of Lp(a), Leptin, ApoB and malondialdehyde (MDA) and lower levels of HDL-C and paraoxonase activity were reported to be associated in cholelithiasis [18,19].

The fact that plasma Lp(a) levels are largely genetically determined and vary widely among different ethnic groups adds scientific interest to the ongoing research on this enigmatic molecule. Only limited studies have been reported on serum levels of Lp(a) in some populations including Indian subcontinent [20,21]. Although determination of the function of Lp(a) in vivo remains elusive, serum Lp(a) levels were reported to be elevated in DM and an independent risk factor for CAD in DM, particularly non-insulin dependent DM
(NIDDM) patients [22-24]. However, these results were variable and need confirmation by further studies in cholelithiasis patients.

Literature review indicated that no study had been done or reported involving cholelithiasis patients from Bangladesh, although two studies reported not relevant to lipid metabolism were on day care laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) and intra-operative flexible cholecystoscopy (IFC) in Bangladeshi patients [25,26]. We have therefore decided to investigate in phases the various aspects of lipid profile and their metabolism in cholelithiasis patients followed by cholecystectomy at Medical Research Unit (MRU), MHWT, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Previously, we reported the results on lipid profile i.e. triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels in serum and bile of cholelithiasis patients before cholecystectomy (P0) and after cholecystectomy (P10) and in normal control subjects [27]. In the present article, we have reported results of the study on Lp(a) status in serum and bile of cholelithiasis patients preoperatively and postoperatively at MRU, MHWT Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Patients and Methods

Adult patients with cholelithiasis (Number: 44, Gender: 8 males, 36 females; Age range: 25-65 years, Mean age ± SD: 45.5 ± 12.2 years) with cholelithiasis (gall stone disease) and healthy adults as normal controls (Number: 30, Gender: 12 males, 18 females; Age range: 28-60 years; Mean age ± SD: 42.5 ± 10.5 years) were included in the present case-control prospective interventional study.

The patients with gallstone disease (cholelithiasis) were diagnosed as having cholelithiasis according to standard clinical and laboratory criteria as practiced in hospital and patients not fulfilling the criteria for our study on cholelithiasis were excluded [27-29]. The diagnostic algorithm for cholelithiasis were taking medical history, clinical examination, ultrasonogram (USG) of hepatobiliary system and pancreas and routine laboratory investigations including liver function tests (LFTs). After obtaining consent, patient's demographic details and clinical findings such as pain (severity, duration, location), Murphy's sign, USG, etc were recorded as per 'PROFORMA' at diagnosis.

The fasting blood samples were taken at diagnosis before laparoscopic cholecystectomy, and conducted routine laboratory tests. The serum separated was aliquoted and stored frozen at -300°C to -80°C as first degree serum sample (P0). At the time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, gallbladder bile was also collected from the same patient, centrifuged, aliquoted and stored frozen at -300°C to -80°C as first degree bile sample (P10).

After Cholecystectomy, treatments/medications were given as required for the patients. After 2-3 months at follow-up, fasting blood samples were taken again from the same patient, serum separated, aliquoted and stored frozen at -300°C to -80°C as second degree serum samples (P10) until analyzed for the lipid profile (i.e. TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C and Lp(a)). All quantitative estimations in serum and bile were made by standard medical laboratory methods for lipid profile and Lp(a) using standard diagnostics kits from internationally reputed companies and LDL-C calculated by Friedwald formula [27,30].

The results of laboratory analyses in biological specimens of patients (P0, P10) and controls (NC) for Lp(a) were compared statistically by ANOVA, Student's t-test and Chi-squared test using SPSS programme in computer [31]. The results of our study on the other lipid profile, i.e. TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C were reported previously [27]. In the present article, the results on Lp(a) status and effect of laparoscopic cholecystectomy on it in Bangladeshi Patients with Cholelithiasis are reported.

Results

The Lp(a) status in our study subjects and their statistical analyses are stated in Table 1. Lp(a) was much elevated in patients Serum-I0 compared to NC (P<0.001). This was lowered after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, but remained elevated in patients Serum-I10 compared to NC significantly (P<0.001).

No significant difference was observed for Lp(a) levels between NC and patients Bile-I0 (P=0.173). The proportion of patients for Serum-I0, Bile-I0 and Serum-I10 with Lp(a) levels above and within normal limits and their statistical analyses are stated in Tables 2 and 3 respectively.

Discussion

Our findings in Bangladeshi patients with cholelithiasis that serum Lp(a) level was significantly elevated and that significantly larger proportion of patients had higher serum Lp(a) levels were consistent with some reports in the literature from other countries [2,11]. However, it should be noted that cut off value of 30.0 mg/dl for the higher end of the 95% (normal) range reported in the literature is not absolute as it varied from study to study.

The probable factors responsible for variations in plasma/serum Lp(a) level could be that different studies used different plasma/serum storage temperatures (-200°C, -300°C, -800°C) for various time periods (up to 1 year, 7 years, 15-18 years) prior to analysis by assay methods as varied as radioimmunoassay, enzyme immunoassay, radial immunodiffusion, immunoturbidimetry, etc. [17-20]. Secondly, plasma/serum Lp(a) level is genetically determined and it varies according to populations, ethnic groups and geographical regions of the world [9,10].

The incidence of cholesterol gallstones, although less in our male population, was probably related to sedentary lifestyle and consumption of diet particularly rich in animal fats, refined sugars and poor in vegetable fats and fibers, all of which are significant risk factors for gallstone formation [32-34]. The consumption of a high calorie diet in the west is more common and is clearly an important factor in the formation of cholesterol gallstones. This trend has gradually spread to the East Asian countries, with dietary habits becoming unhealthier [34-36].

Elevated plasma/serum level of Lp(a) has been linked with CAD [5,6,17,18]. Another important aspect is that baseline Lp(a) levels were not measured in cases and controls in many follow-up studies with cholesterol lowering therapy. However, some studies showed that cholestyramine treatment was not effective in lowering Lp(a) levels, although cholesterol level was reported to be reduced [15,18,24].

In recent overviews on the management of primary hyperlipidemia by statins, serum Lp(a) level and its reduction were not mentioned and considered in the discussion [25-27]. Even the updated National Cholesterol Education Programme (NCEP) report, USA published in July 2004 discussed and debated LDL-C only and no consideration for Lp(a) level was suggested in the NCEP report [27,28].
**Table 1:** Lp(a) levels in Serum and bile before cholecystectomy (Serum-I\(^0\), Bile-I\(^0\)) and after cholecystectomy (Serum-II\(^0\), Bile-II\(^0\)) and their statistical analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects and Biological Specimens</th>
<th>Serum and Bile Lp(a) Level (mg/dl)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Controls (NC)</td>
<td>Patients (Serum-I(^0))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (SE)</td>
<td>9.51±58.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%CIM</td>
<td>23.78-34.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (Bile-I(^0))</td>
<td>119.01-582.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (SE)</td>
<td>29.07±14.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%CIM</td>
<td>257.12-324.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (Serum-II(^0))</td>
<td>12.01-125.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (SE)</td>
<td>16.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%CIM</td>
<td>26.43-47.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (Bile-II(^0))</td>
<td>37.12±28.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (SE)</td>
<td>(5.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%CIM</td>
<td>(15.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD (SE)</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%CIM</td>
<td>17.25-23.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analysis* (Groups Compared)

- **ANOVA (NC, Serum-I\(^0\), Bile-I\(^0\), Serum-II\(^0\))**
  - df=3,134, F=96.41, p<0.001*
- **Student’s t-test**
  - NC vs Serum-I\(^0\)
    - df=72, t=-1.83, p=0.001*
  - NC vs Serum-II\(^0\)
    - df=62, t=-10.49, p<0.001*
  - NC vs Bile-I\(^0\)
    - df=58, t=-1.381, p=0.173 (NS)
  - Bile-I\(^0\) vs Serum-I\(^0\)
    - df=72, t=-12.23, p<0.001*
  - Bile-I\(^0\) vs Serum-II\(^0\)
    - df=62, t=-9.69, p<0.001*
  - Serum-I\(^0\) vs Serum-II\(^0\)
    - df=76, t=3.73, p<0.001*

* Lp(a): Lipoprotein (a); SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error; 95%CIM: 95% Confidence Interval of Mean; NC: Normal Controls; Serum-I\(^0\): Patients (Serum-I\(^0\)); Serum-II\(^0\): Patients (Serum-II\(^0\)); Bile-I\(^0\): Patients (Bile-I\(^0\)); Bile-II\(^0\): Patients (Bile-II\(^0\)); df: Degree of Freedom; F: F-ratio; p ≤ 0.05: Significant; p>0.05: Not significant (NS).

Lp(a) may compete with plasminogen, because of its sequence homology, for binding to fibrin and impair fibrinolysis. High levels of Lp(a) in serum may, therefore represent a potential source of antifibrinolytic activity [11,29]. In addition to this antifibrinolytic activity, high concentration of Lp(a) also suppresses the activity of plasminogen activator resulting in the progression of atherosclerosis and CADs.

This probably causes increased proliferation of the vascular endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells resulting in the progression of atherosclerosis [11,30]. So, treatment of hypercholesterolemia with cholestyramine/statins, serum Lp(a) levels should be followed up as well. In addition, recent reports suggested that TGF-β is implicated with cholelithiasis in some studies [4,11-13]. In a recent study, it was reported that cholelithiasis patients have higher leptin levels and altered lipoprotein profile, with increased Lp(a) and Apo(B)levels and decreased ApoA-1 levels [19].

Another recent study showed that symptomatic cholelithiasis patients have increased malondialdehyde (MDA) levels indicating lipid peroxidation and decreased antioxidant capacity [18]. These changes in plasma lipids are, therefore, likely to have significant effect in the induction of gallstone disease and subsequently CAD postoperatively in patients with cholecystectomy. Abnormalities in lipids and apolipoproteins metabolism may, however, arise from a combination of various factors such as excess dietary cholesterol/fat, obesity, diabetes and genetic factors [4,39].

Some prominent facts known about Lp(a) are that it is a genetically determined particle containing a ApoB-100 linked to Apo(a), cholestyramine treatment is not effective in lowering serum Lp(a) level and Lp(a) has structural homology with plasminogen implicating in atherosclerosis and CADs.

In conclusion, however, it was evident from our results that changes in Lp(a) in cholelithiasis were significant and interesting, but a complex one and laparoscopic cholecystectomy did have significant impact on them.

These changes in Lp(a) is of crucial importance and the gallbladder may have a definitive role in it leading to development of gallstone disease i.e. cholelithiasis. Thus, incorporation of Lp(a) routinely in lipid profile analysis would be useful in identifying high risk patients and follow-up. Further studies are therefore warranted investigating several aspects of lipids, Lp(a), and apolipoproteins metabolism in cholelithiasis patients followed by cholecystectomy.
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Table 2: Proportion of cholelithiasis patients with Lp(a) levels above and within normal limit and their statistical analysis by Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lp(a) level (mg/L)</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCs</td>
<td>Serum-II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤57.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;57.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCs: Normal control subjects; Serum-II: Patients Serum-II

Table 3: Proportion of cholelithiasis patients with Serum-I, Bile-I and Serum-II Lp(a) levels above and within normal limit and their statistical analysis by Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lp(a) level (mg/L)</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum-I</td>
<td>Bile-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤57.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;57.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Serum-I: Patients Serum-I; Bile-I: Patients Bile-I; Serum-II: Patients Serum-II

Table 4: Proportion of cholelithiasis patients with Serum-I, Bile-I and Serum-II Lp(a) levels above and within normal limit and their statistical analysis by Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lp(a) level (mg/L)</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCs</td>
<td>Serum-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤57.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;57.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCs: Normal control subjects; Serum-I: Patients Serum-I
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