

Large Eddy Simulation: Capturing Turbulent Flows With Precision

Matteo Rossi*

Department of Energy Engineering (Fluid Flow Systems), Politecnico di Milano, Milan 20133, Italy

Introduction

The simulation of turbulent flows remains a cornerstone of modern engineering and scientific research, offering profound insights into complex fluid dynamics phenomena that are often intractable through experimental means alone. Among the various computational fluid dynamics (CFD) methodologies, Large Eddy Simulation (LES) has emerged as a powerful tool, bridging the gap between the computational expense of Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) and the inherent approximations of Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) methods. LES explicitly resolves large turbulent scales while modeling the effect of smaller, sub-grid scales on the resolved flow field. This approach is particularly adept at capturing transient flow features and providing detailed spatial and temporal information, making it invaluable for applications where instantaneous flow structures and their evolution are critical [1].

The fundamental principles of LES involve filtering the Navier-Stokes equations to separate the large, energy-carrying eddies from the smaller scales. This filtering process introduces a sub-grid-scale (SGS) stress tensor, which must be modeled to close the system of equations. The development and validation of accurate SGS models constitute a significant area of research within LES, as the choice of model can profoundly influence the simulation's accuracy and computational cost. Different approaches, ranging from the classic Smagorinsky model to more advanced dynamic and one-equation models, have been proposed and evaluated for various flow configurations, including canonical flows like channel flow, where detailed experimental data is available for comparison [2].

Beyond fundamental fluid mechanics, LES has found widespread application in diverse engineering domains. In aerospace, it is employed to study complex aerodynamic phenomena such as flow separation, stall, and buffeting. For instance, simulating unsteady flow around bluff bodies like square cylinders highlights LES's capability to capture vortex shedding mechanisms and their impact on aerodynamic forces, providing insights that are crucial for structural design and performance optimization [3]. The ability to resolve transient phenomena and coherent structures is a key advantage in such applications.

Combustion processes represent another area where LES has made significant contributions. Turbulent combustion involves intricate interactions between fluid motion, chemical kinetics, and heat transfer. LES provides a framework to resolve the large-scale turbulent eddies that stir and mix reactants, while modeling the smaller scales that are often responsible for flame wrinkling and scalar dissipation. This has led to advancements in understanding flame-turbulence interactions, pollutant formation, and combustion efficiency in various practical systems [5].

In the realm of environmental flows, LES is increasingly utilized for simulating at-

mospheric boundary layer (ABL) dynamics and pollutant dispersion. Its ability to resolve turbulence at high Reynolds numbers and capture meso-scale atmospheric phenomena makes it suitable for studying weather patterns, air quality, and the impact of urban environments on airflow. High-resolution LES models tailored for meteorological applications are essential for predicting turbulent kinetic energy, scalar transport, and atmospheric mixing with greater fidelity [6].

The simulation of flows with complex geometries and moving boundaries presents unique challenges for traditional CFD methods. LES, when coupled with techniques like immersed boundary methods (IBM), offers a promising avenue for tackling such problems. This hybrid approach allows for the efficient simulation of turbulent flows around intricate moving geometries, such as oscillating airfoils, by accurately resolving boundary layer physics and maintaining conservation properties, thus enabling precise prediction of aerodynamic performance [4].

High-speed turbulent flows, prevalent in aerospace propulsion and hypersonic applications, introduce additional complexities due to compressibility effects, shock waves, and large density variations. LES for these regimes requires specialized numerical schemes and SGS models capable of accurately capturing these phenomena. Research in this area focuses on developing robust and efficient LES techniques to handle the demanding computational requirements and ensure predictive accuracy for high-Mach number flows [9].

Turbulent mixing is a fundamental process in many engineering applications, including chemical reactors, combustion chambers, and environmental systems. LES has proven effective in unraveling the intricate dynamics of turbulent mixing, particularly in complex flow configurations such as confined swirling flows. By resolving the transient vortex breakdown and entrainment processes, LES provides detailed insights into mixing efficiency and the underlying flow structures [8].

The limitations of pure RANS and LES have also spurred the development of hybrid RANS-LES methods. These approaches aim to combine the strengths of both methodologies, using RANS in attached boundary layers where turbulence is relatively isotropic and LES in regions with flow separation, recirculation, or significant unsteadiness. Techniques like Detached Eddy Simulation (DES) and Scale-Adaptive Simulation (SAS) are prominent examples, offering a balance between computational cost and accuracy for complex engineering flows [7].

Urban environments represent a highly complex setting for turbulent flow and dispersion simulations. The intricate geometry of buildings and street canyons creates localized flow patterns, turbulence, and pollutant transport characteristics. LES, particularly when validated against experimental and field data, demonstrates its efficacy in capturing these flow variabilities and sheltering effects, providing essential data for urban planning and air quality assessments [10].

Description

Large Eddy Simulation (LES) has become an indispensable methodology for simulating turbulent flows, offering a superior alternative to Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) methods for scenarios involving high Reynolds numbers and intricate geometries. Its fundamental strength lies in its ability to explicitly resolve the larger turbulent eddies, which are responsible for most of the turbulent kinetic energy, while modeling the effects of the smaller, sub-grid scales. This judicious approach provides a more detailed and accurate representation of transient flow phenomena compared to RANS, which relies on time-averaged statistics. The core of LES involves filtering the governing Navier-Stokes equations, leading to a requirement for subgrid-scale (SGS) models to account for the impact of unresolved scales on the resolved flow field. The ongoing development and refinement of these SGS models remain a critical area of research, directly influencing the fidelity and predictive capability of LES simulations across diverse applications [1].

The effectiveness of LES is intrinsically linked to the accuracy of its subgrid-scale modeling. Various SGS models have been proposed and evaluated, each with its own strengths and weaknesses. For instance, in the simulation of channel flow at high Reynolds numbers, studies have compared the performance of dynamic Smagorinsky models with algebraic and one-equation models. These comparative analyses aim to assess the models' ability to accurately predict turbulence statistics, spectral content, and near-wall flow features. Findings consistently indicate that the choice of SGS model significantly impacts the simulation's accuracy, particularly in proximity to walls, and that more sophisticated dynamic models often yield superior results, albeit with potentially higher computational overhead [2].

In the field of aerospace engineering, LES is extensively employed to investigate phenomena characterized by unsteady flow dynamics and complex flow structures. The simulation of flow around bluff bodies, such as square cylinders, exemplifies this utility. LES can adeptly capture the intermittent vortex shedding, the resulting fluctuating aerodynamic forces (drag and lift), and the spectral characteristics of the flow field. This capability is crucial for understanding phenomena like flutter, acoustic resonance, and aerodynamic noise, and for designing structures that can withstand such dynamic loading [3].

The intricate coupling between turbulence and chemistry in combustion processes makes LES a valuable tool for this domain. Turbulent combustion involves phenomena like flame wrinkling, turbulent entrainment, and scalar dissipation, all of which are influenced by the turbulent flow field. LES enables researchers to resolve the large eddies that govern mixing and transport, while employing subgrid models to represent the smaller scales that affect reaction rates and flame propagation. This has led to improved understanding and prediction of flame behavior in practical combustors [5].

For environmental applications, particularly those involving atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) flows, LES offers a high-fidelity approach to study turbulence, transport, and mixing. The resolution of turbulent eddies at relevant scales allows for accurate prediction of parameters such as turbulent kinetic energy, scalar dispersion, and atmospheric mixing processes. This is crucial for applications ranging from weather forecasting to urban air quality assessment, where understanding the dynamics of the atmospheric surface layer is paramount [6].

Simulating turbulent flows interacting with complex geometries, especially those involving movement, poses significant computational challenges. The integration of LES with immersed boundary methods (IBM) has emerged as a powerful solution. This hybrid approach, as applied to problems like flow around oscillating airfoils, allows for the accurate representation of boundary layers and the enforcement of boundary conditions on complex, moving surfaces. The validation of such

coupled methods against experimental data and higher-fidelity simulations underscores their potential for accurately predicting aerodynamic performance and flow structures in dynamic scenarios [4].

High-speed turbulent flows, characteristic of advanced aerospace propulsion systems and hypersonic vehicles, present a unique set of challenges for LES. The presence of compressibility effects, shock waves, and significant density variations necessitates specialized numerical schemes and SGS models. Research in this area is focused on developing LES methodologies that can robustly and efficiently handle these extreme conditions, aiming to improve the accuracy and predictive capabilities for these demanding applications [9].

Turbulent mixing, a fundamental process in numerous industrial and natural systems, is effectively studied using LES. In confined swirling flows, for instance, LES can resolve complex vortical structures, including vortex breakdown and the entrainment mechanisms that drive mixing. By comparing simulation results with experimental measurements of velocity and concentration, LES provides critical insights into the transient and multi-scale nature of turbulent mixing, aiding in the design of more efficient mixing devices and processes [8].

The inherent trade-offs between accuracy and computational cost in pure RANS and LES have driven the development of hybrid RANS-LES methods. These approaches judiciously combine the efficiency of RANS in well-behaved boundary layers with the accuracy of LES in regions of flow separation, recirculation, or significant unsteadiness. Methods such as Detached Eddy Simulation (DES) and Scale-Adaptive Simulation (SAS) offer a practical compromise, enabling the simulation of turbulent flows in complex engineering configurations with improved computational efficiency compared to full LES [7].

The urban environment, with its dense and intricate arrangement of buildings, creates highly complex turbulent flow patterns and dispersion characteristics. LES has been validated as a capable tool for simulating wind flow, pollutant dispersion, and turbulence within urban canyons. By accurately capturing the flow variability, sheltering effects, and micro-meteorological phenomena, LES provides essential data for urban planning, architectural design, and air quality management strategies [10].

Conclusion

Large Eddy Simulation (LES) is a computational fluid dynamics technique that resolves large-scale turbulent eddies while modeling smaller scales. It offers a balance between the accuracy of Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) and the efficiency of Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) methods. LES is crucial for capturing transient flow phenomena and detailed spatial-temporal flow field information. Key research areas include subgrid-scale (SGS) modeling, with various approaches compared for accuracy and computational cost. LES finds applications in aerospace for complex aerodynamic flows, in combustion for understanding turbulence-chemistry interactions, in environmental studies for atmospheric boundary layer simulations, and in analyzing turbulent mixing in swirling flows. Hybrid RANS-LES methods are developed to leverage the strengths of both approaches for complex geometries. Additionally, LES is adapted for high-speed turbulent flows and for simulating urban environments, showcasing its versatility in addressing diverse and challenging fluid dynamics problems.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Davide Modonesi, Marco De Battista, Andrea P. Vassallo. "Simulation of turbulent flow using Large Eddy Simulation methods." *Fluid Mechanics: Open Access* 7 (2023):1-10.
2. Liang Pan, Xin-Rui Chen, Jian-Jia Chen. "A comparative study of subgrid-scale models for large eddy simulation of turbulent channel flow." *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 934 (2022):155-178.
3. Younes Al-Juaied, Mahmoud Darwish, Ali Alsulami. "Large eddy simulation of unsteady flow around a square cylinder." *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow* 89 (2021):123-135.
4. Chao Zhang, Zhi-Guo Hu, Wen-Liang Zhou. "An immersed boundary-large eddy simulation for turbulent flows with complex moving geometries." *Computers & Fluids* 270 (2024):106179.
5. Xiang-Rong Chen, Qing-An Huang, Ying-Chong Zhou. "Large Eddy Simulation of Turbulent Combustion: A Review." *Combustion Science and Technology* 194 (2022):3001-3035.
6. Wei Zhang, Jian-Wen Deng, Hong-Liang Wang. "Large eddy simulation of the atmospheric boundary layer: A review of methods and applications." *Atmospheric Research* 280 (2023):106531.
7. Jiarui Shi, Gang Wang, Jianfeng Wu. "Hybrid RANS-LES methods for turbulent flows: A critical review." *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* 127 (2021):1-32.
8. Yao Li, Bo Huang, Xing-Liang Li. "Large Eddy Simulation of turbulent mixing in a confined swirling flow." *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 156 (2022):104224.
9. Dong-Hao Wang, Zhen-Yu Wang, Jian-Guo Yuan. "Large Eddy Simulation for High-Speed Turbulent Flows: Challenges and Opportunities." *Aerospace Science and Technology* 142 (2023):108552.
10. Xiao-Peng Li, Bing-Jie Gao, Xian-Feng Song. "Large Eddy Simulation of turbulent flow and dispersion in urban environments: A validation study." *Building and Environment* 203 (2021):108186.

How to cite this article: Rossi, Matteo. "Large Eddy Simulation: Capturing Turbulent Flows With Precision." *Fluid Mech Open Acc* 12 (2025):333.

***Address for Correspondence:** Matteo, Rossi, Department of Energy Engineering (Fluid Flow Systems), Politecnico di Milano, Milan 20133, Italy, E-mail: matteo.rossi@polimi.it

Copyright: © 2025 Rossi M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. fmoa-26-187907; **Editor assigned:** 04-Jun-2025, PreQC No. P-187907; **Reviewed:** 18-Jun-2025, QC No. Q-187907; **Revised:** 23-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. R-187907; **Published:** 30-Jun-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2476-2296.2025.12.333