Ischemia Reperfusion Injury: Where to Interrupt the Damaging Process
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During the last five decades organ transplantation has developed from an experimental therapeutic approach to the gold standard therapy for irreversible end-stage organ failure. However, despite major advances in surgical techniques, perfusion solutions, immunosuppression and antibiotic prophylaxis, long-term survival does not always mirror the substantial short-term outcome improvements achieved [1]. Ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) is crucially involved in this discrepancy.

The continuous efforts to increase the donor pool because of the chronic shortage of organs, have led to the implementation of transplantation of so called “marginal” or “extended criteria” donors as well as donation after cardiac death (DCD). However, the observation, that these organs are more prone to IRI related injuries, together with growing evidence that IRI influences not only short- but also long-term graft outcomes (contrasting with much better outcomes of kidneys from living donors), all highlight IRI as a crucial factor in influencing the fate of the graft.

On-going experimental basic and translational research describe a plethora of mechanisms participating in this graft injury, involving mitochondria [2], complement factors and Toll-like receptors [3], reactive oxygen [4] as well as reactive nitrogen species [5]. The close relationship between the innate and the adaptive immune system is also being increasingly unravelled [6], thereby explaining the observed higher incidence of acute rejections in organs suffering from IRI [7]. Microcirculatory derangements observed in IRI [8,9] highlight the role of endothelial cells [10,11]. Even though most of the promising results achieved in vitro and in vivo in experimental animal studies have not yet (and may never) reached human investigation, currently registered clinical trials regarding IRI are clearly based on these results.

The timeframe for therapeutic interventions against IRI ranges from donor management prior to organ retrieval to the time following graft reperfusion in the recipient. In fact, encouraging experimental results are achieved with post-conditioning strategies. Even though still not fully understood, previously transplanted organs or organs suffering from an ischemic insult which are subsequently re-exposed to controlled ischemic periods show decreased ischemic injury [12]. Mainly reported for cardiac ischemia, this strategy finds increasing use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Despite higher logistically demands due to different teams involved in recovering several organs, this study identifies another key time point for treatment of IRI related graft damage, i.e. before organ recovery.

There is evidence that transplanted grafts benefit from "aggressive" donor management [23] and pre-treatment of the donor in order to mitigate deleterious mechanisms during brain death and the pre-final phase. In a prospective randomised controlled trial pre-treatment with methylprednisolone has been shown to decrease the initial innate inflammatory response and also acute rejection episodes following liver transplantation [24]. Similarly, pretreatment of the donor with dopamine - known to decrease reactive oxygen species occurrence and increase renal blood perfusion - has been shown to decrease delayed graft function incidence of transplanted kidneys [25]. However, the main drawback of these studies may be represented by the choice of the endpoint, which focused on a single organ only instead of accounting for all organs retrieved. Registered, currently patient-recruiting, clinic
trials address this issue by expanding their inclusion criteria to all the abdominal organs, even including thoracic organs. In this regard the Donor Simvastatin Treatment Trial (clinicaltrials.gov number NCT01160978) is of particular interest, since among their pleiotropic actions statins have been repeatedly shown to protect organs from IRI [26]. Another form of donor pre-treatment consists in ischemic pre-conditioning. This approach is controversially discussed in liver resection, since no evident clinical benefits were shown in patients undergoing repeated short ischemic times before major liver resections and fewer surgeons nowadays use the Pringle manoeuvre for liver resections. Similarly, for liver transplantation there is currently no recommendation for IP. Even though most studies show decreased parenchymal damage, paradoxically, one prospective study resulted in an even higher IRI degree, even though associated with less acute rejection episodes (“ischemic preconditioning paradox”, [27]). Additionally, no clinical benefit regarding recipient and graft survival has so far been demonstrated. However, just as for the ischemic post-conditioning, organs can be remotely pre-conditioned. This approach would avoid subjecting the retrieved organ to direct injury, however, it should benefit from an up-regulation of hypoxia induced factors following ischemia of a remote organ. In children undergoing cardiac surgery for congenital heart defects remote ischemic pre-conditioning of the hind limb prior to cardiopulmonary bypass has already been shown to reduce the infarcted size [28]. A current randomised controlled trial is testing this approach by evaluating the influence of a short period of blood flow occlusion of the lower extremity prior to organ recovery. In this study, initial organ function as well as recipient and graft long-term survival of kidney, liver and pancreas grafts will be analysed (clinicaltrials.gov number NCT00975702).

While on the one hand findings of new pathways in experimental studies is of crucial importance to gain further insights in IRI associated mechanisms, a complete understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms may not be an absolute prerequisite before starting a clinical trial, especially if clear benefits are shown in pre-clinical studies. There is an increasing effort to translate experimentally achieved knowledge into clinical trials addressing IRI following organ transplantation with trials of many different strategies designed to interfere with IRI at different time points of the transplantation process. Which of these strategies will provide the best results is an open question, and the question on synergistic effects of two or more strategies could add further benefits – these complexities remain to be addressed. This plethora of clinical trials, all with the goal to reduce IRI, is a conditio sine qua non to provide a solid foundation to build on for every transplanted organ.
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