

Balanced Scorecard Improvement

Jackson Watson*

Department of Accounting and Finance, Oxford University, U.S.A

Abstract

Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is one of the most popular performance measurement tools of the past two decades. However, many current and potential adopters of the BSC are not convinced that the technique is able to present a comprehensive picture of organisations' performance. This commentary paper is aiming to address some of the shortcomings of the BSC in practice and provide some suggestions for its improvement. The BSC links non-financial measures with financial measures in four areas of performance concerned with financials, internal process, customers and innovation and learning

Keywords: Scorecard • Technology • Optimization

Description

Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is one of the most well known presentation estimation devices of the previous two decades. In any case, numerous current furthermore, expected adopters of the BSC are not persuaded that the procedure can introduce an exhaustive image of associations' execution. Discourse paper is meaning to address a portion of the inadequacies from the BSC by and give a few recommendations to its improvement. The BSC joins non-financial measures with financial measures in four regions of execution worried about financial, inside procedure, clients and advancement and learning [1,2]. This writing on the BSC has perceptibly featured its effectiveness and its value as execution estimation frameworks for the organizations [3-13]. They generally evaluation is that the BSC can add to associations' exhibition if completely executed. It empowers associations to explain their dreams and systems and interpret them into activities. It gives criticism around both the inward business procedures and outer results to (ceaselessly) improve key execution and results. When completely sent, the BSC changes key arranging from a scholarly exercise into the operational hub of an endeavor [1,14,15]. In any case, regardless of its proposed merits, research on the diffusion of the BSC demonstrates that its selection rate is lower than those of other administrative devices such ABC [16,17]. Along these lines, it is muddled if the BSC any deficiency that may have added to its moderate selection in the current ever-evolving condition of the 21st century. To become familiar with the issues related to the reception and the execution of BSC practically speaking, we have reviewed progressively 1000 specialists in different concentrates in Australia, New Zealand and the UK in the course of recent years. In these examinations, we have researched the effect of an assortment of relevant factors, for example, qualities of the BSC, attributes of adopters, qualities of society, and so forth on the reception of the BSC. We have likewise investigated experts' perspectives on the weaknesses of the BSC. While we have discovered numerous relevant components are administrators' choices as to appropriation of the BSC practically speaking, as indicated by our find, disregarding the dangers, natural and maintainability factors as well as dismissing the worries/privileges of other pertinent partners other than clients) are the key inadequacies of the BSC, which could sabotage its practically speaking. Further investigations

are suggested to investigate how we can build up a thorough BSC to fuse extra boundaries, for example, maintainability, hazard and condition.

References

1. Chiprianov, Michail. "A Proprietary Application on a Balancer System from Indicators for Efficiency." *J Bus Adm Anag Res* 18 (2008): 43-57.
2. Rhodes, Adrienne. "The Relation Between Earnings-Based Measures in Firm Debt Contracts and CEO Pay Sensitivity to Earnings." *J Account Econ* 61 (2016): 1-22.
3. Askarany, Davood, and Malcom Smith. "A Critical Evaluation of the Diffusion of Cost and Management Accounting Innovations." *J Account Econ* 14 (2014): 59-64.
4. Askarany D. "An Investigation into the Diffusion of Management Accounting Innovations." *J Account Econ* 14 (2013): 25-65.
5. Askarany D. "An Overview of the Diffusion of Advanced Techniques." *J Account Econ* 15 (2014): 25-85.
6. Askarany D. "Diffusion of Innovations in Organizations." *J Account Econ* 16 (2015): 54-85.
7. Askarany D. "Characteristics of Adopters and Organizational Changes." *J Account Econ* 17 (2016): 54-85.
8. Banker, Rajiv D, Hsihui Chang, and Mina Pizzini. "The Judgmental Effects of Strategy Maps in Balanced Scorecard Performance Evaluations." *Int J Account Inf Syst* 12 (2011): 259-279.
9. Creamer, Germán, and Yoav Freund. "Learning a Board Balanced Scorecard to Improve Corporate Performance." *Int J Account Inf Syst* 49 (2010): 365-385.
10. Dilla, William N, and Paul John Steinbart. "The Effects of Alternative Supplementary Display Formats on Balanced Scorecard Judgments." *Int J Account Inf Syst* 6 (2005): 159-176.
11. Martinsons, Maris, Robert Davison, and Dennis Tse. "The Balanced Scorecard: a Foundation for the Strategic Management of Information Systems." *Decis Support Syst* 25 (1999): 71-88.

*Address for Correspondence: Jackson Watson, Department of Accounting and Finance, Oxford University, U.S.A, E-mail: Watson12@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2020 Watson J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 06 July, 2020; **Accepted:** 13 July, 2020; **Published:** 28 July, 2020

12. Wu, Long, and Ching-Hui Chang. "Using the Balanced Scorecard in Assessing the Performance of e-SCM Diffusion: A Multi-Stage Perspective." *Decis Support Syst* 52 (2012): 474-485.
13. Yigitbasioglu, Ogan M, and Oana Velcu. "A Review of Dashboards in Performance Management: Implications for Design and Research." *Int J Account Inf Syst* 13 (2012): 41-59.
14. Kaplan, Robert S, Robert E Kaplan, David P Norton, and Thomas H Davenport. "Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets Into Tangible Outcomes." *Int J Account Inf Syst* 5 (2004): 54-52.
15. Moon, Taewon. "Organizational Cultural Intelligence: Dynamic Capability Perspective." *Decis Support Syst* 35 (2010): 456-493.
16. Chung, Lai Hong, and Patrick T Gibbons. "Corporate Entrepreneurship: The Roles of Ideology and Social Capital." *Group Organ Manag* 22 (1997): 10-30.
17. Yazdifar H, Askarany D. "A Comparative Investigation into the Diffusion of Management Accounting Innovations" *Group Organ Manag* 5 (2002): 1-11.

How to cite this article: Watson Jackson. "Balanced Scorecard Improvement". *Global J Technol Optim* 11 (2020):241. doi: 10.37421/gjto.2020.11.241