Journal of Molecular Biomarkers & Diagnosis

Berezin, J Mol Biomark Diagn 2016, 7:4 DOI: 10.4172/2155-9929.1000288

Opinion Open Access

Impaired Pattern of Endothelial Cell-Derived Microparticles in Heart Failure Patients with Preserved and Reduced Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction

Alexander E Berezin*

Internal Medicine Department, State Medical University of Zaporozhye, 26, Mayakovsky av., Zaporozhye, UA-69035, Ukraine

Abstract

The prevalence of heart failure with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (HFpEF) rises consequently within past decades. Both phenotypes of HF, i.e. HFpEF and HF with reduced EF (HFrEF), have exhibited similar cardiovascular mortality rates and admission rates, while development and progression of HFpEF and HFrEF associate with different co-morbidities. Understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms of the two phenotypes of HF is essential for discovery of future new treatments. Biomarkers reflecting several stages of failing heart evolution and different pathophysiological faces of HF (N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, high-sensitivity troponin T, and galectin-3) have been implemented into routine clinical practice to increase diagnostic and predictive capabilities of clinical-based stratification models. Recent clinical studies have shown that development of endothelial dysfunction in HF could relate to activation and/or apoptosis of endothelial cells. Worsening endothelium integrity and function relate to release of newly detectable circulating biomarkers called endothelial cell derived microparticles (EMPs). The commentary is discussed the role of impaired immune pattern of circulating EMPs associated with elevated number of apoptotic endothelial cell-derived microparticles in prediction of HFpEF development.

Keywords: Heart failure; HFpEF; HFrEF; Endothelial dysfunction; Microparticles

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (HFpEF) exhibits dramatically increase worldwide especially in the developed countries remaining one of leading cause of cardiovascular (CV) mortality and morbidity [1,2]. The increased prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, atrial fibrillation in various aging patients, as well as improve longevity of the subjects with known CV disease relates to HFpEF epidemic [3]. Unless HFpEF, HF with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (HFrEF) has been developed rather due to ischemia / inflammatory cardiomyopathies, myocardial infarction than in result of hypertension and cardiac hypertrophy. Understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms of the two phenotypes of HF is essential for discovery of future treatments.

Within past decade contemporary medical strategy of HFpEF treatment has been exhibited serious benefit in prevention CV events and HF-related outcomes [4,5]. Obviously, effective prevention of co-morbidities evolution in HFpEF might be a clue of improving survival and decrease disability [6]. In this context, early stratification of asymptomatic patients at risk for HFpEF development and patients with known cardiac dysfunction at risk of HF advance is essential for decrease of CV events and HF-related morbidity and mortality [7].

Biomarkers reflecting several stages of failing heart evolution and different pathophysiological faces of HF (N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, higher-sensitivity troponin T, and galectin-3) have been implemented into routine clinical practice to improve of diagnostic and predictive capabilities of clinical-based stratification models [8-12]. Probably similar approach might merge of contemporary medical care efficacy [13,14]. However, several comorbidities seem to influence the response to biomarker-guided therapy and might explain the lower efficacy of care in HFpEF patients [15]. Therefore, there is no evidence regarding possibilities to use of several biomarkers for predicting HFpEF development in general population with higher statistical power.

Endothelial dysfunction (ED) is common risk factor for HF

development beyond etiology [16]. Recent studies have revealed closely association between development of ED and activation/apoptosis of endothelial cells [17-19]. Worsening endothelium integrity and function relate to release of newly detectable circulating biomarkers called endothelial cell-derived microparticles (EMPs) [20].

Microparticles are defined as heterogeneous in size (0.1-1 µm) small membrane vesicles (0.1-1 µm) that are produced as result in activation, injury or apoptosis of endothelial cells. They are considered a source of important information on the status of endothelial cells and vascular function. It is well known that EMPs are up-regulated through several inflammatory stimuli, including tumor necrosis factor- α , interleukins, chemokines, chemo-attractants, and components of oxidative stress and mediated by activation of nuclear factor- κB in a time-dependent manner. EMPs play a sufficient role in vascular function by altering the processes of inflammation, coagulation, cell activation/apoptosis, and angiogenesis [21]. Furthermore, EMPs could provide valuable information regarding not only damage of endothelium, but also about endothelial repair system activation [22]. Indeed, circulating levels of EMPs are thought to reflect a balance between cell stimulation, proliferation, apoptosis, and cell death.

It has found that HF development has closely associated with decreased number of EMPs that released from activated endothelial cells and increased EMP number related to apoptosis of endothelial cells [23]. To improve our knowledge the predictive role of classic phenotype of EMPs (CD31+/CD41-, CD62e+, CD144+) in HFpEF

*Corresponding author: Alexander E Berezin, Consultant of Therapeutic Unit, Internal Medicine Department, State Medical University of Zaporozhye, 26, Mayakovsky av., Zaporozhye, UA-69035, Ukraine, Tel: +380612894585; E-mail: dr_berezin@mail.ru, aeberezin@gmail.com

Received February 27, 2016; Accepted May 24, 2016; Published May 26, 2016

Citation: Berezin AE (2016) Impaired Pattern of Endothelial Cell-Derived Microparticles in Heart Failure Patients with Preserved and Reduced Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. J Mol Biomark Diagn 7: 288. doi:10.4172/2155-9929.1000288

Copyright: © 2016 Berezin AE. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

has not yet obviously defined [24-26], whereas in HFrEF the increased number of circulating apoptotic EMPs has associated with merged 3-year HF-related death rate, all-cause mortality rate, and a risk of recurrent admission to the hospital [27,28]. In contrast, apoptosis-related Annexin V-binding EMPs have elevated at early stage of HFpEF associated with co-morbidities, such as insulin resistance, obesity, diabetes, and merited to clinical outcomes [29-30].

Although detailed interrelationship between the pattern of circulating EMPs (i.e. numerous of annexin V microparticles), features of cardiac remodeling and HF-related outcomes were not defined, it is needed to establish the predictive role of apoptotic EMPs in HFpEF subjects in large prospective study in the future. Such information might be essential to understanding whether the tested pathways could produce clinically relevant therapeutic targets.

In conclusion, impaired immune pattern of circulating EMPs associated with elevated number of apoptotic endothelial cell-derived particles might be useful for predicting HFpEF in patients at higher risk of HF development. More clinical studies are needed to explain the decrimental role of EMPs signature among HFpEF versus HFrEF.

References

- Engelfriet PM, Hoogenveen RT, Boshuizen HC, van Baal PH (2011) To die with or from heart failure: a difference that counts: is heart failure underrepresented in national mortality statistics? Eur J Heart Fail 13: 377-383.
- Dunlay SM, Manemann SM, Chamberlain AM, Cheville AL, Jiang R, et al. (2015) Activities of daily living and outcomes in heart failure. Circ Heart Fail 8: 261-267.
- Young JB (2004) The global epidemiology of heart failure. Medical Clinics of North America. 88: 1135-1143.
- 4. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Bohm M, et al. (2012) ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology, Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC, Eur J Heart Fail 14: 803-869.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, et al. (2013) ACCF/ AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 62: e147–e239
- Chamberlain AM, St Sauver JL, Gerber Y, Manemann SM, Boyd CM, et al. (2015) Multimorbidity in heart failure: a community perspective. Am J Med 128: 38-45.
- Komajda M, Lam CS (2014) Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a clinical dilemma. Eur Heart J 35: 1022-1032.
- Sanders-van Wijk S, van Empel V, Davarzani N, Maeder MT, Handschin R, et al. (2015) Circulating biomarkers of distinct pathophysiological pathways in heart failure with preserved vs. reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail 17: 1006-1014.
- Santhanakrishnan R, Chong JP, Ng TP, Ling LH, Sim D, et al. (2012) Growth differentiation factor 15, ST2, high-sensitivity troponin T, and N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide in heart failure with preserved vs. reduced ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail 14: 1338-1347.
- 10. Maeder MT, Rickenbacher P, Rickli H, Abbühl H, Gutmann M, et al. (2013) N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide-guided management in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: findings from the Trial of Intensified versus standard medical therapy in elderly patients with congestive heart failure (TIME-CHF). Eur J Heart Fail 15: 1148-1156.
- 11. Zile MR, Jhund PS, Baicu CF, Claggett BL, Pieske B, et al. (2016) Plasma Biomarkers Reflecting Profibrotic Processes in Heart Failure With a Preserved

- Ejection Fraction: Data From the Prospective Comparison of ARNI With ARB on Management of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction Study. Circ Heart Fail.
- Chen YS, Gi WT, Liao TY, Lee MG, Lee SH, et al. (2016) Using the galectin-3 test to predict mortality in heart failure patients: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Biomark Med 10: 329-342.
- Schuetz P, Aujesky D, Müller C, Müller B (2015) Biomarker-guided personalised emergency medicine for all - hope for another hype?. Swiss Med Wkly.
- D'Elia E, Vaduganathan M, Gori M, Gavazzi A, Butler J, et al. (2015) Role of biomarkers in cardiac structure phenotyping in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: critical appraisal and practical use. Eur J Heart Fail 17: 1231-1239.
- Pruett AE, Lee AK, Patterson JH, Schwartz TA, Glotzer JM, et al. (2015) Evolution of biomarker guided therapy for heart failure: current concepts and trial evidence. Curr Cardiol Rev 11: 80-89.
- Fujisue K, Sugiyama S, Matsuzawa Y, Akiyama E, Sugamura K, et al. (2015) Prognostic Significance of Peripheral Microvascular Endothelial Dysfunction in Heart Failure With Reduced Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. Circ J 79: 2623-2631.
- Burger D, Touyz RM (2012) Cellular biomarkers of endothelial health: microparticles, endothelial progenitor cells and circulating endothelial cells. J Am Soc Hypertens 6: 85-99.
- Berezin AE (2015) Endothelial Derived Micro Particles: Biomarkers for Heart Failure Diagnosis and Management. J Clin Trial Cardiol 2: 1-3.
- Berezin AE, Kremzer AA (2015) Impaired phenotype of circulating endothelial microparticles in chronic heart failure patients: Relevance to body mass index. Diabetes Metab Syndr 9: 230-236.
- Berezin A, Zulli A, Kerrigan S, Petrovic D, Kruzliak P (2015) Predictive role of circulating endothelial-derived microparticles in cardiovascular diseases. Clin Biochem 48: 562-568.
- Markiewicz M, Richard E, Marks N, Ludwicka-Bradley A (2013) Impact of endothelial microparticles on coagulation, inflammation, and angiogenesis in age-related vascular diseases. J Aging Res.
- 22. Ivak P, Pitha J, Wohlfahrt P, Kralova Lesna I, et al. (2014) Endothelial dysfunction expressed as endothelial microparticles in patients with end-stage heart failure. Physiol Res 3: S369-373.
- Montoro-García S, Shantsila E, Wrigley BJ, Tapp LD, Abellán Alemán J, et al. (2015) Small-size Microparticles as Indicators of Acute Decompensated State in Ischemic Heart Failure. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 68: 951-958.
- Walenta K, Schwarz V, Schirmer SH, Kindermann I, Friedrich EB, et al. (2012) Circulating microparticles as indicators of peripartum cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 33: 1469-1479.
- Bueno Jiménez C (2012) Circulating microparticles from patients with coronary artery disease cause endothelial dysfunction. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 65: 389.
- Sansone R, Stanske B, Keymel S, Schuler D, Horn P, Saeed D, et al. (2015) Macrovascular and microvascular function after implantation of left ventricular assist devices in end-stage heart failure: Role of microparticles. J Heart Lung Transplant 34: 921-932.
- Berezin AE, Kremzer AA, Samura TA, Martovitskaya YV (2014) Circulating endothelial-derived apoptotic microparticles in the patients with ischemic symptomatic chronic heart failure: relevance of pro-inflammatory activation and outcomes. Int Cardiovasc Res J 8: 116-123.
- Berezin AE, Kremzer AA, Samura TA, Martovitskaya YV, Malinovskiy YV, et al. (2015) Predictive value of apoptotic microparticles to mononuclear progenitor cells ratio in advanced chronic heart failure patients. J Cardiol 65: 403-411.
- 29. Berezin AE, Kremzer AA, Cammarota G, Zulli A, Petrovic D, et al. (2015) Circulating endothelial-derived apoptotic microparticles and insulin resistance in non-diabetic patients with chronic heart failure. Clin Chem Lab Med.
- Berezin AE, Kremzer AA, Martovitskaya YV, Berezina TA, Gromenko E (2016)
 Pattern of endothelial progenitor cells and apoptotic endothelial cell-derived microparticles in chronic heart failure patients with preserved and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. Ebiomedicine4: 86-90.