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Abstract

A homeomorphic biomechanical model of the eye based on the Incremental Retinal-Defocus Theory (IRDT) was developed to simulate myopic and hyperopic growth under different optical defocus conditions. The IRDT states that the time-integrated reduction in retinal-image defocus magnitude decreases the rate of retinal neuromodulator release, which in turn decreases the rate of proteoglycan synthesis and reduces scleral structural integrity, thus resulting in axial elongation and myopia development. A homeomorphic biomechanical model of the eye was constructed to test the IRDT based only on optical defocus and its resultant contribution to mechanical forces acting on the components of the eye. The Matlab simulation results showed a more flattened oblate shape for myopic defocus, and a more elongated prolate shape with hyperopic defocus. Thus, the homeomorphic biomechanical model of the eye was able to simulate the emmetropization mechanism using only the forces acting on the masses at the nodes. In addition, neurochemicals injected at local retinal regions modified the spring constants to result in predicted changes in ocular deformation. The findings have clinical implications with respect to basic theories of myopia development, as well as future therapeutic use of direct ocular drug delivery to control human myopia.
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Introduction

As one of our primary sensory modalities, clear vision is a crucial prerequisite for our ability to observe and interact with the environment. In the United States, 42% of the adult population is myopic (Vitale et al., 2009) and up to 75% of the adult population in Taiwan is similarly affected (Lin et al., 1996). While one’s refractive error can be corrected by lenses or surgery, both have drawbacks (Javitt and Chiang, 1994). Surgery is expensive and may lead to long-term physiological side effects such as dry eyes, while spectacles can limit vocational pursuits such as being a pilot or policeman (Grosvener and Goss, 1999). For decades, scientists have been attempting to understand the biological basis for the development of refractive error. It has long been postulated that excessive nearwork, such as reading or computer viewing, is a significant contributory factor to refractive error development (Goldschmidt, 1968; Ong and Ciuffreda, 1997). In addition, there is a genetic contribution (Pacella et al., 1999) that compounds the influence of nearwork.

Because of the observed relationship between nearwork and the development of myopia, the scientific community has devoted significant resources towards understanding and explaining this link (Goldschmidt, 1968; Ong and Ciuffreda, 1997). With a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms involved, it is hoped that a means can be developed to mitigate the effects of nearwork in our technology and information-driven society, and thus improve ocular health.

As a step towards an integrated understanding of myopia development, a new homeomorphic, biomechanically-based Matlab model was developed based on a theory developed by two of the authors (Hung and Ciuffreda, 2001a; Hung and Ciuffreda, 2001c). The new model has served as a unifying platform for testing and incorporating the various experimental findings, and furthermore to provide a common dialogue among various disciplines towards achieving the goal of a deeper and broader understanding of the underlying mechanisms of myopia development.

Background

Basic anatomy of the eye

The tunic of the eye is formed by three principle layers: the cornea and sclera (which forms an interconnected outer tunic), the choroid, and the retina (Figure 1). The sclera is a collagenous layer with elastic fibers and proteoglycans that provides the structural integrity of the eye (Rada et al., 1997; Moses et al., 1978). Experimental studies have shown that the composition and biomechanical properties of the sclera depend greatly on the visual environment (Rada et al., 2000; McBrien et al., 2009). For example, there is a decrease in the level of proteoglycans

Figure 1: Basic anatomy of the eye. Adapted from public archives of the National Eye Institute.
in the sclera after either optically-induced myopia (Rada et al., 2000) or form-deprived vision (Troilo et al., 1987), which structurally weakens the sclera and results in vitreous chamber elongation.

Beneath the sclera lies the pigmented choroid. The choroid has extensive vascularization and provides nourishment to the retina. Although it consists primarily of blood vessels, there are non-vascular layers at its junction with the retina. The choroid also plays a role in the maintenance of intraocular pressure and temperature regulation (Kilgaard and Jensen, 2006).

Beneath the choroid lies the inner-most layer of the eye, the light-sensitive retina. It is comprised of three layers of nerve cells: the photoreceptor, bipolar cell, and ganglion cell layers. Interposed between the photoreceptor and the bipolar cell layers are the horizontal cells, and interposed between the bipolar and ganglion cell layers are the amacrine cells. The photoreceptors respond to light input and transmit signals to the bipolar cells, which then relay the signal to the ganglion cells. The ganglion cells produce action potentials, which are sent to higher visual centers via the optic nerve. The horizontal and amacrine cells modulate the signal through a center-surround mechanism to process contrast levels and their variations. These signals provide information directly related to retinal defocus that is critical to the regulation of ocular growth (Normann and Guillory, 2002).

Light rays entering the eye pass through the two primary refractive regions, the cornea and crystalline lens, to reach the retina. If the light rays are focused beyond on the retina, the eye of a young animal or human is able to adjust the power of the optical system through the accommodative reflex by changing the shape of the crystalline lens. It accomplishes this by contracting the ciliary muscles, which reduces the tension on the zonular fibers attached to the lens. This causes the lens to assume a more spherical shape, thus increasing the optical power of the eye to focus on the nearby object. However, a persistent difference between the focal distance and the axial length, due to either genetics or environmental experience, may cause more permanent changes in the shape of the eyeball that result in permanent myopia.

The development of refractive error

There are two main types of refractive error: hyperopia and myopia (Borish, 1970). Hyperopia, or farsightedness, occurs when the overall optical power of the eye in its most relaxed state is less than that required to match the axial length of eye, so that the light rays entering the eye are divergent. Consequently, the image is focused beyond the retina. Conversely, myopia, or nearsightedness, occurs when the overall optical power of the eye exceeds that required for the axial length of the eye. Light rays entering the eye are over-convergent, and the retinal image is focused in front of the retina.

During normal ocular development in children and young adults, the axial length of eye must closely match its optical power to ensure that the retinal image is properly focused (Bennett and Rabbetts, 1989; Grosvenor and Goss, 1998). If the eye grows too rapidly, the image will be focused in front of the retina, and the subsequent eye growth will tend to be slower. If the eye grows too slowly, however, the retinal image will be focused behind the retina, and the subsequent eye growth will tend to be faster. This continuous biological process that attempts to balance optical power and axial growth of the eye is called emmetropization (Tackle and Fitzgerald, 1999). Under repeated exposure to severe optical situations, such as excessive near-work, form deprivation, or optical defocus, the axial growth rate may exceed the capability of the system to attain an emmetropized state (Gottlieb et al., 1987; Wilson et al., 1987; Bradley et al., 1995; Hung et al., 1995; Napper et al., 1995; Kee et al., 2007). This will result in the development of a refractive error.

Many different theories have been proposed to explain the emmetropization process. An early notion was that higher cortical brain centers in the visual system were responsible for controlling emmetropization, but experimental results showed that the process is peripheral and localized to the eye (Wilsoet and Pettigrew, 1988; Wallman, 1997; Smith et al., 2009). Thus, since emmetropization is a local process, the challenge for biomedical modelers in the past has been to understand how the growth rates of different regions across the retina can synchronize eye growth and shape. Modelers have long believed that the size of the blur circle on any given point in the retina provides the necessary information to determine ocular growth. This is based on research demonstrating that changing the amount of retinal-image contrast, for example, with diffuse occluders or defocusing lenses at a local retinal area, affects the growth of the eye only in that region (Wildsoet and Pettigrew, 1988; Smith et al., 2009). However, the size of the blur circle alone does not provide sufficient information on directionality of the refractive error (i.e., both an over- and under-focused image could have the same blur circle size), as it is an even-error signal (Stark, 1968). Additionally, because no higher-level cortical process is involved, there must be a mechanism that detects both magnitude and directionality of retinal defocus to control eye growth and refractive development.

The incremental retinal-defocus theory

While experimentalists have published numerous studies on the range of visual stimuli that may induce refractive error development (Troilo et al., 1987; Smith and Hung, 1999; Crewther, 2000; Smith and Hung, 2000; Wildsoet and Collins, 2000), theorists have long sought to develop a unifying theory that explains the laboratory findings. One such theory is the Incremental Retinal-Defocus Theory (IRDT) developed by (Hung and Ciuffreda, 2000a; Hung and Ciuffreda, 2000b; Hung and Ciuffreda, 2000c).

The IRDT is based on two key insights. First, local retinal-defocus magnitude is critical in the development of environmentally-induced refractive error. Second, development of refractive error occurs primarily during the growth and maturation period up to age 15-18 years (Goss and Winkler, 1983). These two insights led to the main thrust of the IRDT, namely, that during the genetically-preprogrammed growth period, this naturally-occurring change in axial length provides the information to distinguish between hyperopic and myopic defocus, and in turn provides the directionality control necessary for emmetropization to occur.

A factor common to both natural eye growth and environmentally-induced eye growth is the neuromodulator (Dowling, 1996). It is a neurochemical, such as dopamine, serotonin, and neuropeptides, that acts over long periods of time.
to modulate cellular processes. In the retina, neuromodulators are continually being released from specific retinal cells to serve as the baseline control of scleral matrix formation. Environmental factors such a change in retinal defocus provides a signal for modulation of neuromodulator release, with the direction of change in retinal-defocus magnitude modulating neuromodulator release rate.

Research has also demonstrated that changes in neuromodulator release cause predicted alterations in the structure of the sclera via modulation of proteoglycan synthesis (Troilo et al., 2006). An increase in proteoglycan synthesis results in greater structural integrity of the sclera. This reduces the sclera’s growth rate in that region, since greater structural integrity is able to resist the outward forces of the internal ocular pressure.

Implementation of the IRDT can be illustrated by the following schematic example. Under normal growth rate conditions, there is no change in retinal-defocus magnitude, and hence no change in neuromodulator release rate, no change in proteoglycan synthesis rate, and no change in scleral structural integrity. This results in normal axial growth (Figure 2a). However, if a high-powered minus (or concave) lens is imposed in front of the eye during an increment of genetically-predetermined growth, there is a decrease in retinal-defocus magnitude, and hence a decrease in neuromodulator release rate, a decrease in proteoglycan synthesis rate, and a decrease in scleral structural integrity. This results in a relative increase in axial growth rate (Figure 2b). And, if a high-powered plus (or convex) lens is imposed in front of the eye during an increment of genetically-predetermined growth, there is now an increase in retinal-defocus magnitude, and hence an increase in neuromodulator release rate, an increase in proteoglycan synthesis rate, and an increase in scleral structural integrity. This results in a relative decrease in axial growth rate (Figure 2c).

**Material and Methods**

A homeomorphic biomechanical Matlab model of the Incremental Retinal-Defocus Theory

In an attempt to analyze further the implications and feasibility of the Incremental Retinal Defocus Theory, we have developed a homeomorphic, biomechanical model of the mechanics involved in the growth of the eye using MATLAB. The motivation behind this model is to understand how the IRDT-proposed local properties of the retina affect the gross morphology of the eye throughout its development. While other models of refractive error development have focused on the broad, ocular system-wide interactions, in this model we focus on the fundamental fibers of the scleral matrix and consider how changes in retinal defocus levels can alter their local properties.

By focusing on these local properties of the scleral matrix that affect growth, we sought to eliminate the presumption of any “top-down” control over the emmetropization process from higher neural processes, as dictated by the IRDT. We also have demonstrated in this model that both the magnitude and directionality of the retinal defocus can be determined through local processes occurring within the retina itself. Additionally, we have demonstrated how local properties acting in concert produced growth patterns like those observed in experimental situations.

**Basic assumptions and simplifications**

The scleral matrix is a deformable, elastic structure that is supported by the outward force of the eye’s intraocular pressure. The matrix provides surface tension by the adhesion of interlocking filaments, thereby counterbalancing the pressure force acting outwards with an elastic, resistive force acting...
inwards. Histological studies of the eye have revealed that the sclera is comprised of a dense layer of fibers, primarily parallel to the surface and crossing relatively randomly with respect to direction. In the posterior pole of the eye, these fibers are woven more loosely than those in the anterior (Curtin, 1969) and thus can expand more readily with increased intraocular pressure (Wolff, 1968; Gilmartin et al., 2000; Siegwart and Norton, 1999). In support of this, the regional stress-strain relationships of the sclera show that the posterior pole is only about 60% as stiff as the anterior sclera (Friberg and Lace, 1988).

To model this system, we represent the scleral matrix as a ring of springs in two dimensions. Each spring has an internal spring force proportional to its length that acts on its two neighboring springs. This corresponds to the scleral matrix of the eye where filaments produce a tensile force as they are stretched by the internal pressure forces in the eye. The internal pressure force is modeled as a force vector acting normal to and outwards from the ring of springs. We add this force vector into the modeling at each spring-to-spring connection, which we call a “node” (see Figure 3).

We have, however, neglected visco-elastic properties of the actual scleral matrix, as the time course of ocular growth is very long (i.e., months to years), with changes in the axial length of the vitreous chamber possible, even into adulthood (McBrien and Adams, 1997). Additionally, in ocular growth, there are typically no rapid dynamics. This would leave us to believe that the viscoelasticity of the scleral matrix plays a relatively small role in long-term ocular growth. We have also made the simplification of configuring in two dimensions to reduce the complexity in the model simulation and for ease of visualization, while in actuality there are complex three-dimensional mechanics at work which may be an area of future research and modeling.

Fundamental equations and constraints

This is a long-term time-course model, where we use the internal forces in the system along with the previous locations of the components to calculate the location and shape of the system in the subsequent time periods. The motion and shape of the model eyeball is determined by displacing the individual nodes based on the sum of forces acting on each node. Each node has the following forces as shown in Figure 3:

1. The force from each adjacent spring, with the net spring force acting inward
2. The intraocular pressure force acting outward

In the x-direction, the sum of forces on a node (see Figure 3) can be written as Eq. 1:

\[ \sum F_x = F_x - F_{x_1} - F_{x_2} \]  

(1)

The net force acting on the node is determined by summing all of these forces. This net force vector changes the direction and magnitude of the node’s acceleration, and in turn the location of the node in the next time period. We used a simple, two-step Euler’s integration algorithm to predict the subsequent positions of the nodes based on its previous position and speed. For each dimension (x and y), there are two integration steps to determine the new position. Using the x-dimension as an example, the total force in the x-direction is calculated. Then, we use this force with an assumed node mass of \( m = 1 \) to find the node’s acceleration at time \( t \), \( \ddot{x} \), as shown in Eq. 2. Using the acceleration and the node’s previous velocity in the x-direction, we approximate the new velocity by Eq. 3, where \( \Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n \) is a small increment in time.

\[ \ddot{x} = \frac{1}{m} \sum F_x \]  

(2)

\[ x = \dot{x}_{n+1} + \dot{x} \Delta t \]  

(3)

The next integration step uses the newly calculated node velocity (i.e., the movement of the node’s corresponding mass), \( \dot{x} \), with the previous node position to determine the new position. While in reality, the time course of ocular growth is relatively long and the instantaneous movement of any given point on the sclera matrix is minute, the node’s velocity here can be thought of as the current baseline rate of axial elongation or flattening. Thus, this baseline rate of axial growth multiplied by an increment of time gives a change in position of a point on the sclera, as shown in Eq. 4:

\[ x = x_{n+1} + \dot{x} \Delta t \]  

(4)

In addition to the integration method above, we also subject the nodes to constraints in their motion, so that the system remains well-formed. As the nodes move, we check to insure that they remain in their relative positions, and furthermore that none of the springs intersect. Also, we constrain the motion of the nodes so that they do not create indentations (i.e., deflect backwards towards the center of the eyeball). This can occur if, for example, the surface is nearly flat in some portion of the scleral matrix. Both of these constraints were needed to maintain the consistency within the model, so as not to introduce errors that would propagate through the subsequent steps of the numerical integration.

Model control panel

The model control panel (Figure 4) allows for change in the various simulation parameters. These include: the angle of light relative to the horizontal (i.e., viewing straight ahead while seated upright), the type of defocus, the angular range of the deformable region, the intraocular pressure, the nominal spring stiffness for the deformable and non-deformable regions, and...
Because infants are born hyperopic, we set the focal length of the overall optical power so that light entering the eye is focused beyond the posterior sclera of the eyeball, which is represented by the connected springs. The normal, genetically preset growth pattern for the eyeball is to increase in axial length as the child matures (from approximately 16 to 25 mm; Sorsby et al., 1961). We model this as an initial intraocular pressure force acting-outswards that is greater than the spring forces (scleral tension) acting-inwards. As the axial length of the eye increases due to the large outward force of the intraocular pressure, individual nodes will move outwards, reducing the initial hyperopia as they approach the focal plane. This will create a decreasing level of retinal defocus, which is measured as the size of the blur circle where the light cone crosses the retina, as shown in Figure 5. We can also adjust the model by artificially decreasing the focal length of the eye’s total optical power, so that the incoming light is focused in front of the nodes in the retinal region of interest. In this case, an increment in outward movement of the nodes (caused by the relatively high

the type of neurochemical or drug and its dosage. For injection of a virtual neurochemical, each of nine posterior regional locations spanning +/− 90 deg can be selected for injection with a virtual neurochemical, while a selection of “oral”, or systemic intake, is represented by the selection of all nine posterior locations simultaneously. Also included are plotting options, an option to make a video of the simulation sequence, and an option to display the simulation in a repeated loop. In addition, there is a “Description of Terms” section (Table 1).
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intraocular pressure forces) will result in an increase in the size of the blur circle, as shown in Figure 5.

To modulate the strength of the scleral matrix, the simulation program monitors the change in blur circle size produced by incremental movements of the nodes. The biological analog to this process is the baseline level of neurotransmitters released by the amacrine cells of the retina. This baseline is determined by the retinal-image contrast at a specific region. As the contrast level changes, the neurotransmitter release rate is modulated relative to its baseline level. This change in neurotransmitter levels alters the stiffness of the scleral matrix either by increasing or decreasing the proteoglycan synthesis rate. In our model, a change in blur circle size at an individual node causes a proportional change in the spring constants of the two springs attached to that node. This, in turn, affects the spring forces acting on the node, thereby resulting in a net increase in spring force for an increase in blur circle size or a net decrease in spring force for a decrease in blur circle size.

In effect, the change in blur circle size during an increment of genetically pre-programmed growth is providing information on the directionality of retinal defocus. An increase in blur circle size will occur if the focal point lies in front of the retina, thus signaling that the light cone is diverging and that axial length of the eye ball is too long for accurate focus. The local retinal response to this is an increase in proteoglycan synthesis, which leads to a stiffening of the retina and relatively reduced axial growth. Conversely, a decrease in blur circle size signals an excessively short axial length, and results in the opposite local retinal response causing a relative increase in the axial growth.

Results

Eye growth was simulated for three cases of retinal defocus: normal growth, where the image is focused on the retina; myopic (or excessive) growth, where the image is focused behind the retina; and hyperopic (or reduced) growth, where the image is focused in front of the retina. Here the distinction must be made between hyperopic growth and hyperopic defocus (and likewise myopic growth versus myopic defocus). Hyperopic defocus refers to the optical condition in which the image is focused behind the retina, thus producing axial elongation and myopia. Myopic defocus refers to the optical condition in which the image is focused in front of the retina, thus producing reduced axial growth and hyperopia. By adjusting the level of retinal defocus, the model responds with different growth patterns similar to those observed in laboratory experiments.

For these simulations, all other variables remained constant. A few simplifications were made for plotting clarity, including the use of dashed lines to indicate relative weakening and thickened lines to indicate relative strengthening of the sclera. Also, the boundaries of the overall light-cone are plotted to help visualize the blur circle and location of the focal points. Figure 6 shows the freeze-frame simulation results taken during the growth of the eye.

For hyperopic growth (left), light entering the eye is focused in front of the retina, with increments in growth producing an increase in blur circle size, and in turn a relative strengthening of the posterior sclera. The stiffer posterior sclera creates a stronger force offsetting the internal ocular pressure, thus resulting in relatively reduced axial elongation and a more oblate spheroid shape (Singh et al., 2006). In the case of myopic
growth (right), the situation is reversed, where light entering the eye is focused far behind the retina, with increments in growth producing a decrease in blur circle size. This, in turn, weakens the posterior sclera, thereby resulting in less resistance to internal ocular pressure and an increase in axial length, and thus a more prolate spheroid shape (Singh et al., 2006). This is consistent with previous experimental results (Smith and Hung, 1999).

An additional set of simulations was performed, where a virtual neurochemical was injected locally to counteract the effect of the retinal defocus. For example, if dopamine, a

Figure 7a: Simulation for dopamine (strongly anti-myopigenic) injected at the upper right quadrant with concurrent optical hyperopic defocus across the posterior globe (myopigenic). Color coded for spring constant: blue (large), red (intermediate), and yellow (small).

Figure 7b: Simulation for insulin (strongly myopigenic) is injected at the upper right quadrant with concurrent optical myopic defocus across the posterior globe (antimyopigenic). Same color code as in 7a above.
strongly anti-myopigenic neurochemical (Stone et al., 1989), is injected at the upper right quadrant of the eye, it will oppose the myopigenic effect of the hyperopic defocus (Figure 7a). This will result in relatively reduced axial growth in that region. Similarly, if retinoic acid, a strongly myopigenic neurochemical (McFadden and Wallman, 2006), is injected at the upper right quadrant of the eye, it will oppose the anti-myopigenic effect of myopic defocus (Figure 7b). This will result in relatively increased axial growth in that region. Thus, both simulation responses showed the expected distorted shapes based on the effects of change in retinal defocus and neurochemicals on the spring constant of the springs near the affected nodes.

Discussion

The Matlab Model of Myopia Development simulation results support the fundamental concepts underlying the IRDT. The model configuration of interconnected springs only required information related to the change in retinal defocus at the local nodes to modify the stiffness of the attached springs, and in turn the local deformations of the globe. No higher brain center signals were involved. Moreover, the model simulations were free running (i.e., without investigator intervention), depending only on retinal defocus information and the simulated intraocular pressure to drive the resulting shape of the globe. The resulting changes in ocular deformation are consistent with the previous experimental finding (Gottlieb et al., 1987).

The local injection of neurochemicals provides additional signals modulating ocular growth. These neurochemicals have been shown experimentally to affect ocular growth in very specific ways. In the model simulations, this provides a means to either reduce or accentuate the rate of ocular growth at different points of the globe, which can be done quite precisely. Thus, the advantage of the model is to be able to perform rapid simulations to test hypotheses dealing with retinal defocus and ocular growth effects without the use of animals.

It should be noted that there is still much that is not known about the precise mechanisms by which the neurochemicals eventually lead to the change in ocular growth. It may involve cascades of neuro-chemical actions, or even parallel or local feedback processes. Nevertheless, what is common among them is their eventual effects on scleral stiffness. Thus, the model bypasses the details of the neuro-chemical processes, and makes a direct link from the introduction of the neurochemical to the change in scleral stiffness, which corresponds to the stiffness of the springs in the model. In future versions of the model, the effects of these neurochemical processes can be incorporated as intermediate steps linking the injection to its final effect on scleral stiffness.

Over the past several decades, there have been numerous clinical approaches to the treatment of myopia (Ong and Ciuflreda, 1997; Rosenfield and Gilmartin, 1998). These have included eye drops to reduce axial elongation by direct biochemical action in the scleral matrix (e.g., with atropine or pirenzepine), corneal refractive surgery and corneal molding with contact lenses to alter the overall power of the eye to match it more closely to its axial length, ergonomically-applied nearwork modifications such as more frequent rest periods combined with far gaze to prevent the occurrence of nearwork-induced transient myopia (NTM) which has been linked to permanent myopia via the mechanism of chronic retinal defocus, and vision therapy (Ciuflreda, 2002) and/or nearpoint spectacle lenses (Jiang et al., 2008) to improve accommodative accuracy to again reduce the amount of chronic retinal defocus which is believed to be myopigenic. Although all of these approaches have appeared to work to some extent, there still remains a desire to understand the process of myopia development more fully and eventually to fine the ‘panacea’, a lofty goal.

The present MATLAB model allows one to “perform” a range of experiments incorporating a full array of options without having to spend months or even years studying either animals or humans in the laboratory to assess the effect of either retinal defocus, a key myopigenic factor, or anti-myopic drug agents, with both being critical to the present and future treatment of human myopia. Based on these model findings, drug treatment for human myopia could be initiated. Such novel clinical treatment notions date back at least 25 years (see Ong and Ciuflreda, 1997, for a review), and only now begin to approach reality. While either direct injections into the posterior sclera of the eye would have obvious problems especially in young children, such as causing pain and fright, the use of a nightly applied topical agent in the form of an eye drop or cream, or a more diffuse oral dosage, would be more acceptable, assuming it can reach the targeted scleral region without too much diffusion. This would be accompanied with frequent vision examinations, which would include A-scan ultrasound to assess axial length of the eye, objective measurement of refractive error and accommodative responsivity, and perhaps even a blood sample to quantify the level of the treatment drug and the biochemical status of the marker collagen in the body and sclera.

Summary

Over the past two decades, researchers have developed a more comprehensive and detailed understanding of the importance of retinal defocus in the emmetropization process (Hung et al., 1995; Smith and Hung, 2000), as well as its localized nature (Wilsoet and Pettigrew, 1988). Expanded research into the biomechanical properties and constituency of the sclera, including modulators of proteoglycan synthesis (Rada et al., 2000) will lead to further improvements in theories and models of ocular growth.

Emmetropization now appears to be governed by a relatively simple mechanism, which has been described by our newly proposed Incremental Retinal-Defocus Theory (Hung and Ciuflreda, 2000a; Hung and Ciuflreda, 2000b; Hung and Ciuflreda, 2000c). Thus, this unifying theory provides an understanding of the basic underlying retinal mechanisms for detecting blur magnitude, and furthermore explains how the neurochemical signal is processed to modulate the rate of eye growth, and in turn the resultant development of axial myopia.

A homeomorphic biomechanical Matlab model was developed to simulate these processes. The simulation results show a more oblate shape for myopic defocus, and a more prolate shape with hyperopic defocus, which is consistent with human laboratory imaging findings (Singh et al., 2006). In addition, the introduction of specific neurochemicals could be demonstrated to modulate the genetically-driven aspect of ocular growth. This has far reaching clinical implications in human myopia control.
Thus, the model is able to provide responses to a variety of stimulus conditions, some of which have not yet been performed experimentally. This provides a basis for experimental tests to verify and further refine the model. Overall, this Matlab model can serve as a unified foundation for continued exploration into the underlying mechanism of human myopia development.
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