

Hanging Radiograph in Idiopathic Scoliosis Patients: Significance as a Preoperative Stress X-Ray

Hiroshi Kuroki^{1,2*}, Takuya Nagai², Etsuo Chosa² and Naoya Tajima³

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National Hospital Organization Miyazaki Higashi Hospital, Miyazaki, Japan

²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Miyazaki Faculty of Medicine, Miyazaki, Japan

³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nozaki Higashi Hospital, Miyazaki, Japan

Abstract

Introduction: Before corrective surgery for idiopathic scoliosis, the stress x-rays are indispensable to collect information regarding the reducibility of deformity, deciding fusion levels, and categorizing curve types and so on. The hanging radiograph has not been conducted preoperatively so far as known. The objective of this study was to investigate retrospectively the significance of the hanging radiograph for prediction of the curve correction with surgical procedure by comparing with the side-bending and the traction radiographs.

Methods: The subjects enrolled in current study were 22 cases of idiopathic scoliosis who performed posterior instrumentation and fusion by ISOLA method between 2008 and 2014. They included 2 males and 20 females, with a mean age of 16 years and 8 months. The type of curves by Lenke classification were type 1 in 20 cases, type 2 in 1 case, and type 3 in 1 case. We investigated the correction rates of main thoracic curves in side-bending, traction, and hanging positions and compared them with that after surgery. In addition, correction indices were also calculated and compared among these stress x-rays.

Results: The correction rate after surgery was 65.9% that was statistically higher than those in side-bending (44.2%), traction (46.6%), and hanging (22.1%) positions. There were statistical correlations between the correction rates after surgery and in side-bending position ($R=0.73$) and those after surgery and in traction position ($R=0.57$). However, there was no statistical correlation between the correction rates after surgery and in hanging position ($R=-0.01$). With regard to the correction indices, that in hanging position (3.67) was statistically higher than those in side-bending (1.51) and traction (1.45) positions.

Conclusion: The correction rates in side-bending and traction positions seem to be useful to estimate the amount of curve correction before surgery whereas, that in hanging position did not have any significance as preoperative evaluation.

Keywords: Idiopathic scoliosis • Hanging radiograph • Spinal flexibility • Surgical treatment • Preoperative evaluation

Introduction

Before corrective surgery for idiopathic scoliosis, stress x-rays are indispensable to collect information regarding the reducibility of deformity, deciding fusion levels, and categorizing curve types and so on. Various types of stress x-rays to evaluate the spinal flexibility in patients with scoliosis have been reported in the past [1-16].

In previous report, the hanging radiograph was utilized in evaluating the spinal flexibility in patients with idiopathic scoliosis scheduled Osaka Medical College (OMC) brace treatment [17]. The side-bending and the traction radiographs are usually performed and seem to be useful to estimate the amount of curve correction before surgery, however, the hanging radiograph has not been conducted preoperatively so far as known.

The objective of this study was to investigate retrospectively the significance of the hanging radiograph for prediction of the curve correction with surgical procedure by comparing with the side-bending and the traction radiographs.

Materials and Methods

The subjects enrolled in this study were 22 cases of idiopathic scoliosis who performed posterior instrumentation and fusion by ISOLA method between 2008 and 2014. They included 2 males and 20 females, ranging in age from 11 years 5 months to 28 years 7 months, with a mean age of 16 years and 8 months. The curve types by Lenke classification were type 1 in 20 cases, type 2 in 1 case, and type 3 in 1 case. All these patients were preoperatively evaluated the flexibility of curves by the side-bending, the traction, and the hanging radiographs under the supervision of the first author.

The side-bending radiograph was completed with the patient voluntarily bending maximally while in a supine position. The traction radiograph was obtained through applying maximally tolerable manual distraction force by the first author to stretch the spine using a standard cervical traction halter with a second individual holding the patient's ankles in a supine position. The hanging radiograph was taken in position that the patient was hanging onto the bar, stretching the back, and touching the toes lightly to the floor not to

*Address for Correspondence: Hiroshi Kuroki, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National Hospital Organization Miyazaki Higashi Hospital, Miyazaki, Japan, Tel: +81-985-56-2311, E-mail: hiroshik@med.miyazaki-u.ac.jp

Received 15 May, 2020; Accepted 23 June, 2020; Published 30 June, 2020

Copyright: ©2020 Kuroki H, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

sway the body after instructed exerting greatly to stretch the back as much as possible (Figure 1) [17].




Figure 1. Position of the taking hanging radiograph. The hanging radiograph was taken in a position that the patient is hanging onto the bar, stretching the back, and touching the toes lightly to the floor not to sway the body. Patients were instructed making a great effort to stretch their back as much as possible.

We investigated the correction rates of main thoracic curves in side-bending, traction, and hanging positions and compared them with the correction rate after surgery. In addition, correction indices were also calculated and compared among these stress x-rays.

Cobb angles were manually measured on main thoracic curves using the standard technique. Correction rates and correction indices were calculated using the following formulas.

$$\text{Correction rate} = \frac{\text{Preoperative Cobb angle} - \text{Postoperative Cobb angle (surgery)}}{\text{Preoperative Cobb angle}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Correction rate} = \frac{\text{Preoperative Cobb angle} - \text{Cobb angle in stress position (stress position)}}{\text{Preoperative Cobb angle}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Correction index} = \frac{\text{Correction rate with surgery}}{\text{Correction rate with stress position}}$$

Statistical analysis was performed using two-tailed paired t-test and Pearson's correlation coefficient. A P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

We obtained written informed consent from the patients and their guardians for publication of this report and any accompanying images. Further, all procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the Helsinki declaration.

This research has been approved by the IRB of the authors' affiliated institution.

Results

The average preoperative Cobb angle of main thoracic curves was 61.1 ± 9.3°. It was corrected with surgery to 21.3 ± 9.5°, and the stress x-rays by way of side-bending, traction, and hanging positions to 34.6 ± 10.4°, 32.7 ± 8.5°, and 47.7 ± 10.6°, respectively (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Mean Cobb angles. The mean preoperative Cobb angle of main thoracic curves was 61.1 ± 9.3°, and it was corrected with surgery to 21.3 ± 9.5°, and the stress x-rays by way of side-bending, traction, and hanging positions to 34.6 ± 10.4°, 32.7 ± 8.5°, and 47.7 ± 10.6°, respectively.

The mean correction rate after surgery was 65.9% that was statistically higher than those in side-bending (44.2%), traction (46.6%), and hanging (22.1%) positions (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Mean correction rates. The mean correction rate after surgery was 65.9% that was statistically higher than those in side-bending position of 44.2%, traction position of 46.6%, and hanging position of 22.1%.

There were statistical correlations between the correction rates after surgery and in side-bending position (R=0.73) and those after surgery and in traction position (R=0.57). However, there was no statistical correlation between the correction rates after surgery and in hanging position (R=-0.01) (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Correlations of correction rates. There were statistical correlations between the correction rates after surgery and in side-bending position (R=0.73) and those after surgery and in traction position (R=0.57). However, there was no statistical correlation between the correction rate after surgery and in hanging position (R=-0.01).

The correction indices of side-bending, traction, and hanging positions were 1.51, 1.45, and 3.67, respectively. The correction index in hanging position was statistically higher than those in side-bending and traction positions (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Correction indices. The correction indices of side-bending, traction, and hanging positions were 1.51, 1.45, and 3.67, respectively. The correction index in hanging position was statistically higher than those in side-bending and traction positions.

Discussion

The assessment of the spine flexibility has been conventionally implemented by use of the side-bending radiograph obtained with the patient in the supine or standing position [1]. In addition, the push-prone radiograph in which the examiner applies manual pressure on the apices of the curve [2,3], the fulcrum-bending radiograph which is made with patient lying on his or her side over a large radiolucent plastic cylinder [4-6], the supine traction radiograph which is obtained by applying traction force using a standard cervical traction halter with a second individual applying countertraction on both lower extremities [7,8], the cervical suspension radiograph after application of a traction force corresponding to 30% of the patient's body weight through a standard cervical traction head halter [9], and the axillary suspension radiograph which was taken in lifting position by a axillary harness to create a spinal traction force resulting from the patient's own weight [10] have been reported as the stress x-rays to estimate the spinal flexibility in patients with idiopathic scoliosis. Further, general anesthesia is employed by some surgeons to take the supine traction radiograph for evaluation of maximum curve flexibility just before surgery [11-13]. After advent of the EOS system, Hirsch et al. [14,15] utilized it to record the cervical suspension and the side-bending images in upright position and demonstrated that they showed similar results in classic supine position with seven and five times less radiation exposure, respectively. Recently, Ceyhan et al. [16] introduced a new piece of equipment; an electric traction table (ETT) that can apply simultaneously longitudinal traction and lateral pushing forces to the patient and predict the balance of the corrected body for choosing selective fusion levels [17]. In a systematic review, Khodaei et al. [18] reported that the supine side-bending technique was the most practically used to assess the spinal flexibility but the fulcrum-bending technique was the most accurate in predicting post-operative correction among different radiographic methods.

It has been previously reported that the hanging radiograph is taken for the idiopathic scoliosis patients to assess if an appropriate correction by OMC brace is attained before prescription [17]. The Cobb angle in hanging position were approximately correlated with the Cobb angle on OMC brace wearing independent of curve patterns, except some curves in multiple curve patterns, and were useful confirming adequate correction by OMC brace [17].

Advantages of the hanging radiograph are as follows: it is easily taken in outpatient clinic without any expensive equipment, extra-time, and extra-workforce, the target angle corrected by OMC bracing can be estimated, and the condition of traction force remains relatively steady because it depends just on the patient's own weight. By contrast, disadvantages of it are as follows: it is insusceptible to take for the patients who cannot hang onto the bar, the force direction applied by this technique is longitudinal which is not same as corrective force of OMC brace that is transverse, this technique is not applicable to some curves in multiple curve patterns [17].

In the current study, we investigated the significance of the hanging radiograph for preoperative evaluation of posterior instrumentation and fusion by ISOLA method. As a result, the correction rates of the side-bending and the traction radiographs seem to be useful anew to predict the amount of curve correction before surgery. Whereas, the hanging radiograph did not have any significance as preoperative evaluation at all.

The spinal flexibility is influenced by various factors, such as age, gender, apex level, number of involved vertebrae besides curve magnitude. Further, the optimal stress x-ray is suspected to be different in each case. Watanabe et al. [19] generally provided that the traction radiographs have a superior corrective ability than the side-bending radiographs in main and proximal thoracic curves, whereas the side-bending radiographs have a superior corrective ability than the traction radiographs in thoracolumbar and lumbar curves from their prospective clinical and radiologic study of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. He et al. [20] reported that curve magnitude and location are two important parameters in selecting appropriate technique for the spinal flexibility assessment and the treatment effect prediction. They advocated that the traction technique should be considered for the patient with severe curves, while the side-bending technique is suggested for the patients with moderate curves, and then the fulcrum-bending technique is recommended to assess thoracic curve flexibility, whereas the side-bending technique is for the assessment of thoracolumbar / lumbar curve flexibility. Accordingly, the adoption of the favorable stress x-rays for each patient must be desirable for the scrupulous preoperative planning to obtain ideal and well-balanced correction of scoliosis.

Conclusion

This study included a couple of limitations. First, this is a retrospective observational study. Second, multiple types of curve pattern were evaluated together. Third, the number of patients was relatively small. Despite these drawbacks, we would emphasize that our study will make a contribution to some advancement for the future management of AIS because accumulation of such minor data based on clinical experiences from a large number of institutions must be imperative to the future solution of issues regarding the outcome of surgery for AIS.

In conclusion, the correction rates in side-bending and traction positions seem to be useful to estimate the amount of curve correction before surgery. In the meanwhile, that in hanging position did not have any significance as preoperative evaluation.

References

1. Vaughan, John J, Robert B Winter, and John E. Lonstein. "Comparison of the use of supine bending and traction radiographs in the selection of the fusion area in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis." *Spine* 21 (1996): 2469-2473.
2. Kleinman, Richard, John Csongradi, Lawrence Rinsky, and Eugene Bleck. "The radiographic assessment of spinal flexibility in scoliosis: a study of the efficacy of the prone push film." *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 162 (1982): 47-53.
3. Vedantam, Ravishankar, Lawrence Lenke, Keith Bridwell, and Douglas Linville. "Comparison of push-prone and lateral-bending radiographs for predicting postoperative coronal alignment in thoracolumbar and lumbar scoliotic curves." *Spine* 25 (2000): 1-76.
4. Cheung, KMC, and KDK Luk. "Prediction of correction of scoliosis with use of the fulcrum bending radiograph." *JBJS* 79 (1997): 1144-50.
5. Luk, Keith DK, Kenneth MC Cheung, DS Lu, and John CY Leong. "Assessment of scoliosis correction in relation to flexibility using the fulcrum bending correction index." *Spine* 23 (1998): 2303-2307.
6. Luk, Keith DK, Angus S Don, Chee S Chong, and Yat W Wong, et al. "Selection of fusion levels in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis using fulcrum bending prediction: a prospective study." *Spine* 33 (2008): 2192-2198.

7. Kirk, Kevin L, Timothy R Kuklo, and DW Polly Jr. "Traction versus side-bending radiographs: is the proximal thoracic curve the stiffer curve in double thoracic curves?." *American Journal of Orthopedics* 32 (2003): 284-288.
8. Polly Jr, David W, and Peter F Sturm. "Traction versus supine side bending: which technique best determines curve flexibility?." *Spine* 23 (1998): 804-808.
9. Buchler, Philippe, Marcelo Elias de Oliveria, Daniel Studer, and Steffen Schumann, et al. "Axial suspension test to assess pre-operative spinal flexibility in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis." *European Spine Journal* 23 (2014): 2619-2625.
10. Lamarre, Marie-Eve, Stefan Parent, Hubert Labelle, and Carl-Eric Aubin, et al. "Assessment of spinal flexibility in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: suspension versus side-bending radiography." *Spine* 34 (2009): 591-597.
11. Davis, Ben J, Anirudh Gadgil, Jayesh Trivedi, and El-Nasri B. Ahmed. "Traction radiography performed under general anesthetic: a new technique for assessing idiopathic scoliosis curves." *Spine* 29 (2004): 2466-2470.
12. Hamzaoglu, Azmi, Ufuk Talu, Mehmet Tezer, and Cuneyt Mirzanli, et al. "Assessment of curve flexibility in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis." *Spine* 30 (2005): 1637-1642.
13. Ibrahim, T, OA Gabbar, K El-Abed, and MJ Hutchinson, et al. "The value of radiographs obtained during forced traction under general anaesthesia in predicting flexibility in idiopathic scoliosis with Cobb angles exceeding 60°." *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* 90 (2008): 1473-1476.
14. Hirsch, Caroline, Brice Ilharreborde, and Keyvan Mazda. "EOS suspension test for the assessment of spinal flexibility in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis." *European Spine Journal* 24 (2015): 1408-1414.
15. Hirsch, C, B Ilharreborde, and K Mazda. "Flexibility analysis in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis on side-bending images using the EOS imaging system." *Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research* 102 (2016): 495-500.
16. Ceyhan, Erman, Onder Murat Delialioglu, Kenan Bayrakci, and Bulent Daglar, et al. "The usefulness of employing an electronic traction table to determine flexibility in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis." *Turkish Neurosurgery* 27 (2017).
17. Kuroki, Hiroshi, Naoki Inomata, Hideaki Hamanaka, and Etsuo Chosa, et al. "Significance of hanging total spine x-ray to estimate the indicative correction angle by brace wearing in idiopathic scoliosis patients." *Scoliosis* 7 (2012): 8.
18. Khodaei, Mahdiah, Camila Pacheco-Pereira, Suzana Trac, and Andrew Chan, et al. "Radiographic methods to estimate surgical outcomes based on spinal flexibility assessment in patients who have adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a systematic review." *The Spine Journal* 18 (2018): 2128-2139.
19. Watanabe, Kota, Noriaki Kawakami, Yuji Nishiwaki, Manabu Goto, Taichi Tsuji, Tetsuya Obara, Shirolmagama, and Morio Matsumoto. "Traction versus supine side-bending radiographs in determining flexibility: what factors influence these techniques?." *Spine* 32 (2007): 2604-2609.
20. He, Chen, and Man-Sang Wong. "Spinal flexibility assessment on the patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a literature review." *Spine* 43 (2018): 250-258.

How to cite this article: Kuroki, Hiroshi, Takuya Nagai, Etsuo Chosa and Naoya Tajima. "Hanging Radiograph in Idiopathic Scoliosis Patients: Significance as a Preoperative Stress X-Ray". *J Spine* 9 (2020) doi: 10.37421/jsp.2020.9.447