Commentary
Volume 11: 06, 2025

Oral Health Case Reports

ISSN: 2471-8726 Open Access

Growth Patterns and Their Impact on Adult Oral Aesthetics

Santander Grippaudo*

Department of Dentistry, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

Introduction

Facial growth patterns play a pivotal role in determining oral aesthetics, particularly in adulthood when skeletal development has stabilized. The alignment, symmetry, and proportion of the jawbones, along with the position of teeth, are all directly influenced by the craniofacial growth trajectory established during childhood and adolescence. These growth patterns, often classified as vertical, horizontal, or balanced, shape the framework within which the dental arches and soft tissues reside. An imbalance in growth direction or magnitude can result in discrepancies that persist into adulthood, affecting the harmony of the smile and facial profile. As cosmetic dentistry becomes increasingly focused on personalized and natural-looking outcomes, understanding individual growth patterns is essential for planning interventions. Treatments must account for these underlying skeletal dynamics to achieve stable, functional, and visually pleasing results [1].

Adult patients seeking cosmetic dental enhancement often present with aesthetic concerns rooted in skeletal growth patterns established long before. These patterns influence not only the position and angulation of teeth but also the prominence of the jaws, lip support, and smile line visibility. Vertical growers may exhibit elongated lower facial height and gummy smiles, while horizontal growers often have strong jawlines but flat midfaces. A comprehensive evaluation of these growth tendencies allows clinicians to identify structural contributors to disharmony and select appropriate corrective strategies. Unlike pediatric cases, adult skeletal discrepancies typically require multidisciplinary approaches combining orthodontics, restorative dentistry, and sometimes surgical intervention. By addressing the skeletal basis of aesthetic concerns rather than only the superficial symptoms, clinicians can achieve outcomes that are both cosmetically refined and structurally stable over time [2].

Description

Craniofacial growth patterns significantly influence dental alignment and facial esthetics, continuing to affect oral structures even after growth completion. These patterns are generally classified into three types: vertical (dolichofacial), horizontal (brachyfacial), and balanced (mesofacial). Vertical growth patterns are characterized by increased lower facial height, often leading to open bites, gummy smiles, and narrow dental arches. These features tend to elongate the face, creating aesthetic challenges such as lip incompetence and excessive gingival display. In contrast, horizontal growth patterns typically result in a shorter, wider facial appearance with strong chins and deep bites. These individuals may present fewer aesthetic challenges initially but can experience crowding and limited vertical space for restorations. Balanced growth patterns offer the most harmonious facial proportions and generally exhibit ideal dental alignment and soft tissue support. In adult cosmetic dentistry, recognizing these classifications is crucial because they dictate the treatment boundaries and opportunities. For instance, restorations that increase vertical dimension in a vertical grower can worsen aesthetic disproportions, while ignoring underlying skeletal discrepancies may compromise the longevity of cosmetic work. Growth patterns influence everything from smile arc to incisal display and must be thoroughly evaluated during diagnosis. Understanding these dynamics enables more precise, individualized treatment planning that respects both facial esthetics and occlusal function [3].

Adult oral aesthetics are deeply intertwined with residual effects of earlier growth patterns, often manifesting as malocclusion, facial asymmetry, or altered smile lines. While cosmetic interventions aim to improve appearance, they must also accommodate the anatomical constraints imposed by a person's growth trajectory. For example, a high-angle (vertical) growth pattern may limit the esthetic success of veneers or crowns if gingival exposure remains unresolved. Similarly, a low-angle (horizontal) pattern may restrict the ability to correct deep bites without orthodontic or surgical measures. In some cases, adults with retrognathic or prognathic profiles seek cosmetic enhancement unaware of the skeletal contribution to their concerns. In such scenarios, isolated cosmetic solutions can lead to suboptimal outcomes or even functional issues over time. Orthognathic surgery, orthodontics, and maxillofacial planning may be necessary adjuncts to achieve facial balance. Digital smile design and facial analysis tools have become essential for mapping the impact of skeletal features on soft tissue aesthetics. These technologies aid in determining whether non-invasive procedures like bonding, contouring, or whitening will suffice, or if more comprehensive skeletal intervention is warranted. Integrating aesthetic goals with growth pattern analysis ensures that enhancements are not only visually appealing but also biomechanically sound and sustainable in the long term [4].

Clinicians today are increasingly aware that achieving optimal adult oral aesthetics involves more than aligning teeth or brightening enamel it requires a foundational understanding of craniofacial development. When treating adult patients, comprehensive assessments including cephalometric analysis, smile evaluations, and soft tissue profiling help identify the influence of past growth patterns. For example, asymmetrical growth can cause midline shifts and occlusal plane canting, both of which are major aesthetic concerns. These issues, if not rooted in dental misalignment alone, often require skeletal correction for complete resolution. Additionally, facial musculature adapts to growth-induced skeletal structures, meaning that even lip posture and smile dynamics are subtly governed by underlying bone. In cases of significant vertical maxillary excess or mandibular deficiency, cosmetic solutions may involve orthognathic surgery combined with prosthetic or orthodontic therapy. While not all aesthetic discrepancies demand invasive procedures, misdiagnosis or oversimplification can compromise results. Advanced imaging and digital planning tools help bridge this diagnostic gap by visualizing both hard and soft tissue relationships in three dimensions. This allows for a more accurate prediction of how aesthetic treatments will interact with facial morphology. Ultimately, a multidisciplinary approach that respects individual growth history leads to enhanced outcomes. The interplay between form and function becomes a central guide in achieving aesthetically enduring solutions for adult patients [5].

***Address for Correspondence:** Santander Grippaudo, Department of Dentistry, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China; E-mail: santagrip@dent.cn*

Received: 03 November, 2025, Manuscript No. OHCR-25-167871; **Editor Assigned:** 05 November, 2025, PreQC No. P-167871; **Reviewed:** 17 November, 2025, QC No. Q-167871; **Revised:** 22 November, 2025, Manuscript No. R-167871; **Published:** 29 November, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2471-8726.2025.11.212

Conclusion

Understanding the impact of growth patterns on adult oral aesthetics is essential for delivering treatments that are both visually satisfying and functionally appropriate. While cosmetic concerns such as tooth misalignment, gummy smiles, or facial asymmetry may appear superficial, they are often rooted in complex skeletal relationships developed during earlier life stages. Ignoring these foundational factors can lead to compromised outcomes, premature failure of restorations, or dissatisfaction despite treatment. By thoroughly evaluating a patient's growth history-through clinical assessment, imaging, and digital modelling clinicians can design personalized plans that harmonize aesthetic goals with anatomical realities. This approach often necessitates interdisciplinary collaboration, combining cosmetic dentistry with orthodontic and surgical expertise to address structural limitations. As technology advances, the precision with which practitioners can predict and manage the interplay between growth patterns and cosmetic outcomes continues to improve. Digital tools now allow for more accurate visualization of treatment impact, supporting better-informed decisions. Ultimately, successful cosmetic oral rehabilitation in adults must go beyond surface enhancements to consider the enduring influence of skeletal morphology. Doing so not only enhances visual appeal but also supports oral health, stability, and patient confidence in the long term.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Kim, Ki-Jun, Jae Hyun Park, R Curtis Bay and Mi-Young Lee, et al. "Mandibular condyle bone density in adolescents with varying skeletal patterns evaluated using cone-beam computed tomography: A potential predictive tool." *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop* 154 (2018): 382-389.

2. Kalabalik, F, H Akçay and B Tatar. "Morphometric analysis of mandibular corpus relevance to sagittal split osteotomy: Comparison of dentoskeletal Class I and Class III patients." *J Stomatol Oral Maxi* 121 (2020): 615-620.

3. Görgülü, Serkan, Deniz Sağdıç, Erol Akin and Şeniz Karaçay, et al. "Tongue movements in patients with skeletal Class III malocclusions evaluated with real-time balanced turbo field echo cine magnetic resonance imaging." *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop* 139 (2011): e405-e414.

4. Jung, W S, H Kim, D M Jeon and S J Mah, et al. "Magnetic resonance imaging-verified temporomandibular joint disk displacement in relation to sagittal and vertical jaw deformities." *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 42 (2013): 1108-1115.

5. Gökalp, Hatice. "Disc position in clinically asymptomatic, pretreatment adolescents with Class I, II or III malocclusion." *J Orofac Orthop* 77 (2016).

How to cite this article: Grippaudo, Santander. "Growth Patterns and Their Impact on Adult Oral Aesthetics." *Oral Health Case Rep* 11 (2025): 212.