

Fixed Point Theorem of Iterated Function System

Songil R*

Department of Mathematics, University of Science, Pyongyang, D. P. R. of Korea

Abstract

In 2012, Bessem, Calogero and Pasquale proved some fixed point theorem which improved the Banach contraction theorem. Iterated function systems (IFS) were introduced by Hutchinson in 1981 as a natural generalization of the well-known Banach contraction principle. The purpose of this paper is to study the iterated function system using Bessem, Calogero and Pasquale mappings.

Keywords: Fixed points; α - ϕ -contraction mapping; Iterated function systems; α -admissible

Mathematical Subject Classification: 37C45; 28A80.

Introduction

The most well-known result in the theory of fixed points is Banach's contraction mapping principle. Bessem, Calogero and Pasquale [1] proved the theorem of existence of fixed point of $\alpha - \phi$ - contraction mapping in complete metric space. They discussed the Banach contraction principle with some generalized contraction conditions and weakened the usual contraction condition.

Many researches [1-4] have studied the fixed point theorem on the complete metric space (X, d) , however, there are few results for the existence of fixed point on the complete metric space $(H(X), h)$ with the use of fixed point theorem on (X, d) [5-14].

The aim of this paper is to obtain the fixed point theorems of the some generalized contractions in complete metric space $(H(X), h)$. Before we establish the fixed point theorems in metric space $(H(X), h)$, we discuss some basic results. In [1], Bessem Samet, Calogero Vetro, Pasquale Vetro proved the following results in the complete metric space.

Denote with Φ the family of non-decreasing functions $\phi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \phi^n(t) < +\infty$ for each $t > 0$, where ϕ^n the n -th iterate of ϕ .

Definition 3.1

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping.

We say that f is an $\alpha - \phi$ - contraction mapping if there exist two functions $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha(x, y)d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y))$, for all $x, y \in X$. If $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\phi(t) = kt$ for all $t \geq 0$ and some $k \in [0, 1]$, then $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the Banach contraction principle. There is example involving a function f that is not continuous [1].

If $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$ (not necessarily $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \phi^n(t) < +\infty$ for each $t > 0$), then $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies a condition of the Matkowski's contraction theorem [14].

Definition 3.2

Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. We say that f is α -admissible if $x, y \in X$, $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(f(x), f(y)) \geq 1$ [1].

Theorem 3.1

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha - \phi$ - contraction mapping satisfying the following conditions [1]:

- (1) f is α - admissible;
- (2) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \geq 1$;
- (3) f is continuous.

Then, f has a fixed point, that is, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $f(x^*) = x^*$.

This fixed point theorem extended the results of Banach's contraction principle and Matkowski's fixed point theorem.

Main Results

We now give the theorems of fixed point of the some generalized contractions in the complete metric space $(H(X), h)$. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $H(X)$ the class of all nonempty compact subsets of X . That is, $H(X)$ denotes the space whose points are the compact subsets of X , other than the empty set.

Define

$$d(A, B) = \max\{d(x, B) : x \in A\}.$$

$d(A, B)$ is the distance from the set $A \in H(X)$ to the set $B \in H(X)$.

Define $h: H(X) \times H(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $h(A, B) := \max\{\max_{x \in A} \min_{y \in B} d(x, y), \max_{y \in B} \min_{x \in A} d(x, y)\}$, for all $A, B \in H(X)$. The metric space $(H(X), h)$ is complete provided that (X, d) is complete [6-8]. The classical iterated function system (IFS) consists of a finite family of Banach contractions on X to itself. Then there is a unique nonempty compact invariant subset of X with respect to these contractions. In what follows, we extend these IFS by considering a family of $\alpha - \phi$ - contractions.

We assume that (X, d) is a complete metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping on the metric space (X, d) such that

*Corresponding author: Songil R, Department of Mathematics, University of Science, Pyongyang, D. P. R. of Korea, Tel: +1 (312) 8058646; E-mail: SongilRi@163.com

Received May 13, 2015; Accepted June 02, 2015; Published June 10, 2015

Citation: Songil R (2015) Fixed Point Theorem of Iterated Function System. J Appl Computat Math 4: 229. doi:10.4172/2168-9679.1000229

Copyright: © 2015 Songil R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

$h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*) \Leftrightarrow h(f(A), f(B)) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*))$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

Because $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping on the metric space (X, d) , F_f maps $H(X)$ into itself.

Define $F_f : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ by $F_f(A) := f(A)$ for all $A \in H(X)$.

Example 4.1

Shows that there are continuous functions such that $h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*) \Leftrightarrow h(f(A), f(B)) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*))$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

Example 4.2

Let $X = (-\infty, +\infty)$ and Let $f(x) := \frac{1}{3}x, x \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Then $h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*) \Leftrightarrow h(f(A), f(B)) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*))$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

In the following example, we show that there are continuous functions on a complete metric space which are not continuous functions such that $h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*) \Leftrightarrow h(f(A), f(B)) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*))$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

Example 4.3

Let $X = (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Let $f(x) := 4x(1-x), A := [0, \frac{1}{8}]$ and $B := [\frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}]$. Then $h(A, B) = \frac{3}{4} = d(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{7}{8})$ and

$$h(f(A), f(B)) = \frac{3}{4} = d(\frac{7}{16}, \frac{15}{16}) \neq d(\frac{7}{16}, \frac{7}{16}) = d(f(\frac{1}{8}), f(\frac{7}{8})).$$

That is, for some $A, B \in H(X)$,

$$\{(x^*, y^*) | h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*)\} \neq \{(x^*, y^*) | h(f(A), f(B)) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*))\}.$$

Let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function.

We define $\alpha_\alpha : H(X) \times H(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha_\alpha(A, B) := \inf\{\alpha(x^*, y^*) | d(x^*, y^*) = h(A, B), x^* \in X, y^* \in Y\}.$$

If $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ then $\alpha_\alpha(A, B) = 1$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

Lemma 4.1

If f is α -admissible then F_f is α_α -admissible.

Proof: Let $\alpha_\alpha(A, B) \geq 1$.

Since $\alpha_\alpha(A, B) = \inf\{\alpha(x^*, y^*) | d(x^*, y^*) = h(A, B), x^* \in X, y^* \in Y\} \geq 1$, for all $x^* \in A, y^* \in B$ such that $h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*), \alpha(x^*, y^*) \geq 1$.

Because f is α -admissible, $\alpha(f(x^*), f(y^*)) \geq 1$.

By definition of f , if $h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*)$ then $h(f(A), f(B)) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\alpha(F_f(A), F_f(B)) &= \alpha_\alpha(f(A), f(B)) \\ &= \inf\{\alpha(f(x^*), f(y^*)) | d(f(x^*), f(y^*)) = h(f(A), f(B)), f(x^*) \in f(A), f(y^*) \in f(B)\} \\ &= \inf\{\alpha(f(x^*), f(y^*)) | d(x^*, y^*) = h(A, B), x^* \in X, y^* \in Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $x^* \in A, y^* \in B$ such that $h(A, B) = d(x^*, y^*), \alpha(x^*, f(y^*)) \geq 1$.

So $\inf\{\alpha(f(x^*), f(y^*)) | d(x^*, y^*) = h(A, B), x^* \in X, y^* \in Y\} = \alpha_\alpha(F_f(A), F_f(B)) \geq 1$.

Therefore, if $A, B \in H(X); \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \geq 1$ then $\alpha_\alpha(F_f(A), F_f(B)) \geq 1$.

That is, F_f is α_α -admissible.

Lemma 4.2

If there exists $X_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \geq 1$, then there exists $A_0 \in H(X)$ such that

$$\alpha_\alpha(A_0, F_f(A_0)) \geq 1.$$

Proof: Let $A_0 := \{x_0\} \in H(X)$. $\alpha_\alpha(A_0, F_f(A_0)) = \alpha_\alpha(A_0, f(A_0))$

$$= \inf\{\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) | d(x_0, f(x_0)) = h(A_0, f(A_0)), x_0 \in A_0, f(x_0) \in f(A_0)\}$$

$$= \alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \geq 1.$$

The system $\{X, f_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ consisting of a family of continuous maps $f_i : X \rightarrow X$, will be called iterated function system, shortly IFS on X . We define $F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ by

$$F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(A) := \bigcup_{i=1}^N f_i(A)$$

for all $A \in H(X)$. The image of $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$ under f_i is given by

$$f_i(A) := \bigcup_{x \in A} f_i(x).$$

We consider continuities that are meant in the Hausdorff sense.

Map $F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is

(1) Contraction, if there exists a Lipschitz constant $0 \leq L < 1$ such that $h(F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(A), F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(B)) \leq L \cdot h(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

(2) Weak contraction, if there exists a comparison function $\phi \in \Phi$ such that $h(F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(A), F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(B)) \leq \phi(h(A, B))$ for all $A, B \in H(X)$.

(3) Continuous at $H(X)$, if

$$\forall A \in H(X), \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta(A, \varepsilon) > 0, \forall B \in H(X) (h(A, B) < \delta(A, \varepsilon));$$

$$h(F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(A), F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(B)) < \varepsilon.$$

Remark 4.1

If f_i for all $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ is Banach contraction then F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} is Banach contraction too [12].

If f_i for all $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ is weak contraction then F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} is weak contraction too [14].

Let $\{X, f_i; i=1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be a IFS consisting of continuous functions. Now, we give a counter-example involving a map $F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ that is not continuous.

Counter-Example 4.1

Consider the functions

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ -2x, & x > 0 \end{cases} \text{ And } f_2(x) = \begin{cases} -2x, & x \leq 0 \\ 0, & x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then, $f_1^n(x) = f_2^n(x) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$ and for all $x \in R$.

Moreover, since $f_{1,2}(x) := \bigcup_{i=1}^2 f_i(x)$, $f_{1,2}(\{x\}) := \bigcup_{x \in \{x\}} f_{1,2}(x)$ and $F_{f_1, f_2}(\{x\}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^2 f_i(\{x\}) = f_{1,2}(\{x\})$,

$F_{f_1, f_2}(\{x\}) = \{0, -2x\}$, $F_{f_1, f_2}^2(\{x\}) = \{0, 4x\}$ and $F_{f_1, f_2}^n(\{x\}) = \{0, (-2)^n x\}$ for all $x \neq 0$.

$$F_{f_1, f_2}(\{0\}) = \{0\}, F_{f_1, f_2}^2(\{0\}) = \{0\} \text{ and } F_{f_1, f_2}^n(\{0\}) = \{0\}.$$

Since for all fixed $x > 0$, $h(F_{f_1, f_2}^{2n}(\{x\}), F_{f_1, f_2}^{2n}(\{0\})) = (-2)^{2n}x \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$h(F_{f_1, f_2}^{2n-1}(\{x\}), F_{f_1, f_2}^{2n-1}(\{0\})) = (-2)^{2n-1}x \rightarrow -\infty \text{ As } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$h(F_{f_1, f_2}^n(\{x\}), F_{f_1, f_2}^n(\{0\}))$ is not convergence. It is clear that F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} is not continuous at $\{0\}$. This demonstrates the discontinuity of F_{f_1, f_2} .

So we assume that for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

$f_i : X \rightarrow X$ are continuous mappings such that $F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a continuous mapping.

Lemma 4.3

$f : X \rightarrow X$ is a $\alpha - \phi$ - contraction mapping. Then

$$\alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(F_f(A), F_f(B)) \leq \phi(h(A, B)).$$

That is, $F_f : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a $\alpha_\alpha - \phi$ -contraction (with the same function ϕ).

Proof New let $A, B \in H(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot d(F_f(A), F_f(B)) &= \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot d(f(A), f(B)) \\ &= \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot \max_{f(x) \in f(A)} \min_{f(y) \in f(B)} d(f(x), f(y)) = \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot \max_{x \in A} \min_{y \in B} d(f(x), f(y)) \\ &= \inf\{\alpha(x^*, y^*) \mid d(x^*, y^*) = h(A, B), x^* \in X, y^* \in Y\} \cdot \max_{x \in A} \min_{y \in B} d(f(x), f(y)) \\ &\leq \inf\{\alpha(x^*, y^*) \mid d(x^*, y^*) = h(A, B), x^* \in X, y^* \in Y\} \cdot d(f(x^*), f(y^*)) \\ &\leq \alpha(x^*, y^*) \cdot d(f(x^*), f(y^*)) \leq \phi(d(x^*, y^*)) \leq \phi(h(A, B)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot d(F_f(B), F_f(A)) \leq \phi(h(A, B)).$$

Hence

$$\alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(F_f(A), F_f(B))$$

$$= \max\{\alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot d(F_f(A), F_f(B)), \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \cdot d(F_f(B), F_f(A))\} \leq \phi(h(A, B)).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.1

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha - \phi$ - contraction mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) f is α -admissible;
- (2) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \geq 1$;

Then the mapping $F_f : A \rightarrow f(A)$ ($A \in H(X)$) is an $\alpha_\alpha - \phi$ -contraction (with the same function ϕ) too from $H(X)$, into itself. That is, F_f has fixed point and there exists $k \in H(X)$ such that $F_f(k) = k$.

Proof: $(H(X), h)$ is a complete metric space [6,7] and by Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, $F_f : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is an $\alpha_\alpha - \phi$ -contraction mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) F_f is α_α - admissible;
- (2) There exists $A_0 \in H(X)$ such that $\alpha(A_0, F_f(A_0)) \geq 1$;
- (3) F_f is continuous.

Hence by Theorem 1.1, F_f has a fixed point $F_f(K) = K \in H(X)$.

The next Theorem provides an important method for combining

contraction mappings on $(H(X), h)$ to produce new contraction mappings on $(H(X), h)$.

Theorem 4.2

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$, $f_i : X \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha - \phi$ - contraction mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) f_i is α -admissible for all $i=1, 2, \dots, N$;
- (2) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, f_i(x_0)) \geq 1$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, N$.

Then the mapping F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} is an $\alpha_\alpha - \phi$ - contraction too from $H(X)$ into itself. That is, F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} has fixed point $K \in H(X)$ such that $F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(K) = K$.

Proof: We demonstrate the claim for $N = 2$. An inductive argument then completes the proof. Let $A, B \in H(X)$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(F_{f_1}(A), F_{f_1}(B)) \leq \phi(h(A, B)) \text{ And } \alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(F_{f_2}(A), F_{f_2}(B)) \leq \phi(h(A, B)).$$

Because for A, B, C and D , in $H(X)$ $h(A \cup B, C \cup D) \leq \max\{h(A, C), h(B, D)\}$ [8],

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(F_{f_1, f_2}(A), F_{f_1, f_2}(B)) &= \alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(f_1(A) \cup f_2(A), f_1(B) \cup f_2(B)) \\ &\leq \alpha_\alpha(A, B) \max\{h(f_1(A), f_1(B)), h(f_2(A) \cup f_2(B))\} \\ &\leq \max\{\alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(f_1(A), f_1(B)), \alpha_\alpha(A, B)h(f_2(A) \cup f_2(B))\} \leq \phi(h(A, B)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F_{f_1, f_2} : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a $\alpha_\alpha - \phi$ -contraction mapping.

Let $A_0 := \{x_0\} \in H(X)$. Since $\alpha_\alpha(A_0, F_{f_1, f_2}(A_0)) = \alpha_\alpha(A_0, f_1(A_0) \cup f_2(A_0))$, $\alpha(x_0, f_1(x_0)) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(x_0, f_2(x_0)) \geq 1$, $\alpha_\alpha(A_0, F_{f_1, f_2}(A_0)) \geq 1$.

So F_{f_1, f_2} is α_α -admissible (cf. proof of the Lemma 2.1).

Hence the result of theorem follows from the Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof.

Corollary 4.1

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $f_i : X \rightarrow X$ be an $\alpha_i - \phi_i$ - contraction mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) f_i is α_i -admissible for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$;
- (2) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha_i(x_0, f_i(x_0)) \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Then the mapping F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} is an $\alpha_\alpha - \phi$ -contraction too from $H(X)$ into itself. That is, F_{f_1, \dots, f_N} has fixed point $K \in H(X)$ such that

$$F_{f_1, \dots, f_N}(K) = K, \text{ where } \phi(t) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \phi_i(t) \text{ and } \alpha_\alpha(A, B) := \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \alpha_\alpha(A, B).$$

Proof: Since $\phi(t) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \phi_i(t)$ is non-decreasing continuous functions, it is obvious.

References

1. Samet B, Vetro C, Vetro P (2012) Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings. Nonlinear Anal 75: 2154-2165.
2. Jachymski J (1997) Equivalence of some contractivity properties over metrical structures. Proc Amer Math Soc 125: 2327-2335.
3. Matkowski J (1977) Fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point. Proc Amer Math Soc 62: 344-348.

4. Meir A, Keeler E (1969) A theorem of contractions mappings. J Math Anal Appl 28: 326-329.
5. Andres J, Fiser J, Gabor G, Lesniak K (2005) Multivalued fractals. Chaos Solitons Fractals 24: 665-700.
6. Crovisier S, Rams M (2006) IFS attractors and Cantor sets. Topology Appl 153: 1849-1859.
7. Gwozdz-Lukawska G, Jachymski J (2005) The Hutchinson–Barnsley theory for infinite iterated function systems. Bull Aust Math Soc 72: 441-454.
8. Hata M (1985) On the structure of self-similar sets. Japan J Appl Math 2: 381-414.
9. Hutchinson J (1981) Fractals and self-similarity. Indiana Univ Math J 30: 713-747.
10. Jachymski J, Gajek L, Pokarowski P (2000) The Tarski-Kantorovitch principle and the theory of iterated function systems. Bull Austral Math Soc 61: 247-261.
11. Llorens-Fuster E, Petrusel A, Yao JC (2009) Iterated function systems and well-posedness. Chaos Solitons Fractals 41: 1561-1568.
12. Secelean N (2014) Generalized iterated function systems on the space $l^\infty(X)$. J Math Anal Appl 410: 847-858.
13. Strobin F, Attractors of generalized ifss that are not attractors of ifss. J Math Anal Appl 422: 99-108.
14. Strobin F, Swaczyna J (2013) On a certain generalization of the iterated function system. Bull Aust Math Soc 87: 37-54.