First Case of Mesenteric Extraosseous Osteosarcoma in Australia
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Abstract

An Extraosseous Osteosarcoma (EOS) arising in mesentery is exceedingly rare with only six previous cases documented in English literature worldwide. This manuscript describes the clinical, radiological and histological features of the first known case of mesenteric EOS in Australia. The collation of additional cases will help ameliorate our understanding of its clinical course and guide appropriate management, planning and prognostication.
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Introduction

Osteosarcomas are rare aggressive malignant neoplasms of mesenchymal origin that exhibits osteoblastic differentiation. Osteosarcomas are associated with a poor prognosis despite aggressive treatment. An Extraosseous Osteosarcoma (EOS) is a further rare occurrence, whereby the lesion in question arises in soft tissue unattached to bone or periosteum. EOS usually arise in the limbs and an EOS arising in mesentery is exceedingly rare with only six previous cases documented in English literature worldwide. Due to the low number of documented cases, there is a poor understanding of the clinical course of the disease and no recommended management exists.

Clinical Record

A 63-year-old male presented to emergency department with a one-month history of worsening abdominal pain and loose stools. This was on a background of prostatic adenocarcinoma treated six years prior with prostatectomy and adjuvant radiotherapy. Examination revealed left iliac fossa tenderness, guarding, without a palpable mass. A 13×7×11 cm mesenteric mass was demonstrated on computed tomography, with internal derangement and a central hypodense area suggestive of necrosis. In direct continuity was a heavily calcified nidus, measuring 5.5 cm (Figure 1).

Emergency explorative laparotomy was performed, and an en bloc resection of the tumour and three adherent loops of small bowel was performed. Post-operative recovery was uneventful.

The resected tumour measured 15x9x9 cm and was adherent to the three segments of small bowel. The mass was well demarcated with a smooth, nodular external surface which appeared to centre on the mesentery. Sectioning demonstrated a lobulated, white fibrous cut surface with extensive calcification, haemorrhage and necrosis (Figure 2).

Histological sections confirmed a well-circumscribed mesenteric-based tumour which extended focally into the muscularis propria of the small bowel, but did not involve the submucosa or mucosa. The tumour was composed of cellular nodules of plump spindle to oval cells (Figure 3). Mitotic activity was brisk, measuring up to 39/10 high power fields. Cell-rich areas were interspersed with geographical areas of necrosis and extensive lace-work of calcified osteoid. The malignant cells were intimately associated with the osteoid and incorporated into the formed bone. Despite extensive sampling no areas of heterologous or lipomatous differentiation were identified.

By immunohistochemistry the tumour cells stained positively for CDK4 and weakly for MDM2. Fluorescent in-situ hybridisation (FISH) analysis confirmed amplification of both the MDM2 and the CDK4 genes. A diagnosis of dedifferentiated EOS was therefore made.

A post-operative PET scan at one month revealed two intraperitoneal soft tissue masses. A subsequent CT abdomen and pelvis confirmed the presence of these two calcified lesions; one in the mesentery (37×21 mm) and a second in the pelvis (15×18 mm). Chemotherapy with adriamycin was initiated. Follow-up CT at 6 months post operation showed interval increase in size along with the emergence of several new calcific structures. Following further clinical deterioration, palliation was initiated and the patient died from progressive disease 18 months following initial presentation.

Discussion

Extraskelatal Osteosarcomas (EOSs) are exceedingly rare,
epithelioid mesenchymal cells, producing abnormal lace-like osteoid along with nuclei displaying high grade pleomorphism with frequent mitoses including abnormal mitotic figures [6].

In this case the histological appearances were of high-grade osteosarcoma. FISH analysis confirmed amplification of the MDM2 and CDK4 genes. Such amplifications are known to occur in both de-differentiated liposarcoma and de-differentiated osteosarcoma [9]. Of note, these amplifications have been demonstrated in de-differentiated EOS [10]. Although osteosarcomatous differentiation is a described phenomenon in de-differentiated liposarcoma, extensive sampling in our case did not identify a lipomatous component, effectively excluding this diagnosis.

Interestingly, appendicular EOS appear to behave differently to osseous osteosarcoma [11,12]. EOS treatment in limbs is usually wide resection or amputation with adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy [11,12]. Tumour size less than 5 cm appears to be an important prognostic factor for appendicular EOS [1]. Despite aggressive treatment, local recurrence occurs in one third of patients and there is a high risk of metastasis [1-3]. Fan et al. [11] found that tumour size was the strongest predictor of local recurrence. They also found radiation and chemotherapy (doxorubicin/ifosfamide) to be associated with lower recurrence, but not disease-specific survival in multivariate analysis [11]. Ahmad et al. also found multimodal therapy to be preferable with doxorubicin-based systemic therapies having a low response rate [12]. Overall the prognosis with EOS is poor with a 60–70% 5 year mortality despite current treatment [1-3]. Similar to EOS behaving differently to osseous osteosarcoma, it is also possible that mesenteric EOS may behave differently to both these entities and only through comparison of further cases will we be able to better understand its clinical course.

This is the first documented Australian case of mesenteric EOS, with only six previous cases described in English literature worldwide. Given the rarity of mesenteric EOS, its biological behaviour is yet to be fully understood and a promising therapeutic regimen does not exist. However, as with any oncology patient a multidisciplinary team approach including surgical, radiation and medical oncology as well as allied health should be the foundation of care. The collation of additional cases will advance our understanding of its clinical course and guide the rationale for appropriate management, planning and prognostic estimation.
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