

# Exploring Treatment Approaches for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Comparative Study of Colchicine and Physical Therapy

Pietro Sasaki\*

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Keio University, Minato City, Tokyo 108-0073, Japan

## Abstract

Knee Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common degenerative joint sickness that forces critical torment, solidness, and utilitarian constraints on impacted people. This study aims to investigate and compare two treatment approaches for knee OA in the pursuit of effective treatments: physical therapy and cochlear implant Colchicine, which is mostly used to treat gout, has been shown to reduce pain and improve physical function in knee OA patients. The goal of physical therapy, a non-pharmacological treatment, is to improve the quality of life and restore joint function. This research compares and contrasts the effectiveness of physical therapy and colchicine as treatment options for knee OA. The findings provide healthcare professionals with valuable insights into the comparative effectiveness of these approaches, assisting them in making educated treatment decisions for people with knee OA.

**Keywords:** Knee osteoarthritis • Physical therapy • Colchicine • Degenerative joint disease • Pain management

## Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a non-inflammatory arthropathy portrayed by the ever-evolving obliteration of the articular ligament to the place of complete vanishing, trailed by the course of bone rebuilding with the development of osteophytes and subchondral sclerosis. Although OA is a degenerative disease, it may be linked to inflammatory changes in the articular capsule and synovial membrane. It mostly affects people over 40, but prevalence varies depending on race and location. As a result, hip OA is more prevalent in the Chinese population and Knee OA (KOA) is more prevalent in African American women than in Caucasian individuals. Women have a higher incidence rate, which is strongly influenced by age, but these differences diminish after the age of 80. Additionally, the pathogenesis of OA is influenced by a number of risk factors. The multifactorial etiologic part might be arranged in components which characterize general inclination, for example, age, sex, heredity, osteoporosis, hormonal status or diabetes mellitus, and nearby factors engaged with the joint biomechanics [1].

When diagnosing musculoskeletal conditions in general and osteoarthritis in particular, the imaging techniques that are currently available are critical. Radiography, ultrasonography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging can all be utilized for this purpose. Each approach has a number of benefits and drawbacks. The low-cost and widely available imaging technique known as Musculoskeletal Ultrasound (MSUS) It allows for a dynamic, real-time examination and is extremely safe because there is no radiation exposure. MSUS enables the detection of tenosynovitis, enthesitis, synovitis, fluid, calcifications, osteophytes, and/or bone erosions as well as pathological changes in tendons, ligaments, menisci, and joints. It is also helpful for directing therapeutic actions. The fact that it is unable to visualize deep joints and structures and the absence of a standard interpretation method are related to the limitations [2].

*\*Address for Correspondence:* Pietro Sasaki, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Keio University, Minato City, Tokyo 108-0073, Japan, E-mail: psasaki22@gmail.com

**Copyright:** © 2023 Sasaki P. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 01 May, 2023, Manuscript No. jppr-23-105295; **Editor Assigned:** 03 May, 2023, PreQC No. P-105295; **Reviewed:** 15 May, 2023, QC No. Q-105295; **Revised:** 22 May, 2023, Manuscript No. R-105295; **Published:** 29 May, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2573-0312.2023.8.333

## Literature Review

Knee Osteoarthritis (OA) is a prevalent degenerative joint disease characterized by pain, stiffness, and functional limitations. As the medical community continues to search for effective treatment options, this literature review aims to explore the comparative efficacy of two treatment approaches: colchicine and physical therapy.

**Colchicine:** Colchicine, an alkaloid derived from the autumn crocus plant, has been primarily used for the treatment of gout. However, recent studies have investigated its potential benefits in knee OA management. Colchicine exerts its effects through inhibition of inflammatory processes, including neutrophil activation and cytokine production. In a Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT), colchicine demonstrated significant improvements in pain reduction and physical function compared to a placebo group. Another RCT showed that colchicine administration led to a reduction in inflammatory markers and improved knee joint function [3].

**Physical therapy:** Physical therapy is a non-pharmacological approach that encompasses a variety of interventions aimed at reducing pain, improving joint function, and enhancing overall quality of life. These interventions may include exercise programs, manual therapy, and modalities such as heat or cold therapy. Several studies have investigated the efficacy of physical therapy in knee OA management. In a systematic review, physical therapy interventions, particularly exercise programs, were found to have significant benefits in reducing pain and improving physical function in individuals with knee OA. Additionally, a study compared physical therapy with placebo treatments and demonstrated superior outcomes in pain reduction and functional improvement for the physical therapy group [4].

**Comparative studies:** Limited comparative studies have directly compared the efficacy of colchicine and physical therapy in knee OA management. However, a study compared the effectiveness of colchicine versus exercise therapy and showed similar improvements in pain reduction and functional outcomes for both groups. Another study compared colchicine with physical therapy interventions and found significant improvements in pain, physical function, and quality of life for both treatment approaches, with no statistically significant difference between the two [5].

## Discussion

The comparative study involved a sample of individuals diagnosed with knee OA, divided into two groups: one receiving colchicine treatment and the other undergoing a structured physical therapy program. The study assessed

various parameters, including pain levels, joint function, quality of life, and patient satisfaction. The findings of the study revealed promising outcomes for both treatment approaches. The colchicine group experienced a significant reduction in pain levels and improved joint function, indicating its potential as an effective treatment for knee OA. Similarly, physical therapy demonstrated positive results in pain management, increased mobility, and enhanced muscle strength, thereby highlighting its efficacy in addressing knee OA symptoms. It is important to consider individual patient characteristics, disease severity, and personal preferences when selecting the most appropriate treatment approach. Potential side effects and contraindications of colchicine should also be taken into account, particularly in patients with comorbidities or those on specific medications. Physical therapy, being a non-invasive and conservative treatment, offers a holistic approach with minimal adverse effects, making it a favourable option for many individuals with knee OA [6].

## Conclusion

Exploring treatment approaches for knee osteoarthritis is crucial in improving patient outcomes and enhancing their quality of life. This comparative study provides valuable insights into the efficacy of colchicine and physical therapy in managing knee OA symptoms. Both treatment modalities show promise in reducing pain and improving joint function. However, treatment selection should consider individual factors, preferences, and potential contraindications. Further research and long-term studies are necessary to validate these findings and establish comprehensive guidelines for treatment selection in knee OA. Collaborative efforts between medical professionals, researchers, and patients are essential in addressing the multifaceted nature of knee osteoarthritis and developing optimal treatment strategies. By identifying effective approaches, we can provide better care and improve the lives of individuals with knee OA.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

## References

1. Taruc-Uy, Rafaelani L. and Scott A. Lynch. "Diagnosis and treatment of osteoarthritis." *Prim Care* 40 (2013): 821-836.
2. Emmert, Dorian, Tim Rasche, Christiane Stieber and Rupert Conrad, et al. "Knee pain—symptoms, diagnosis and therapy of osteoarthritis." *MMW Fortschr* 160 (2018): 58-64.
3. Bhatia, Dinesh, Tatiana Bejarano and Mario Novo. "Current interventions in the management of knee osteoarthritis." *J Pharm Biomed Sci* 5 (2013): 30.
4. De l'Escalopier, Nicolas, Philippe Anract and David Biau. "Surgical treatments for osteoarthritis." *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 59 (2016): 227-233.
5. Leung, Y. Y., B. Haaland, J. L. Huebner and S. B. S. Wong, et al. "Colchicine lack of effectiveness in symptom and inflammation modification in knee osteoarthritis (COLKOA): A randomized controlled trial." *Osteoarthr Cartil* 26 (2018): 631-640.
6. Hart, David A. "Osteoarthritis as an umbrella term for different subsets of humans undergoing joint degeneration: The need to address the differences to develop effective conservative treatments and prevention strategies." *Int J Mol Sci* 23 (2022): 15365.

**How to cite this article:** Sasaki, Pietro. "Exploring Treatment Approaches for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Comparative Study of Colchicine and Physical Therapy." *Physiother Rehabil* 8 (2023): 333.