**Brief Report**
Volume 11: 01, 2025

Oral Health Case Reports

ISSN: 2471-8726 Open Access

# Exploring the Influence of Clear Aligners and Fixed Appliances on Periodontal Tissues

**Laudisio Eyerich***

*Department of Interdisciplinary Medicine, University of Salento, 73100 Lecce, Italy*

## Introduction

The field of orthodontics has evolved significantly over the past few decades, with advances in both the materials and methods used to align and correct dental malocclusions. Among the most significant innovations in recent years are clear aligners and fixed appliances, two of the most popular orthodontic treatment options available today. Clear aligners, such as Invisalign, are known for their aesthetic appeal and comfort, while traditional fixed appliances, including braces, have long been the gold standard for treating complex malocclusions. However, both these treatment options come with unique effects on the periodontal tissues, which play a crucial role in maintaining the health and stability of the teeth. The periodontal tissues, consisting of the gums, Periodontal Ligament (PDL), cementum, and alveolar bone, are essential for maintaining the structural integrity of the teeth. Changes to these tissues can have significant implications for long-term oral health, influencing both the outcome of orthodontic treatment and the stability of teeth after treatment has been completed. This article explores the influence of clear aligners and fixed appliances on periodontal tissues, examining their effects on gingival health, bone remodeling, tooth movement, and the potential risks and benefits of each treatment modality [1].

Orthodontic treatment, whether with clear aligners or fixed appliances, works by applying forces to the teeth, causing them to move within the alveolar bone. The force applied by orthodontic appliances induces a cascade of biological processes within the periodontal tissues, particularly the PDL and the alveolar bone. When a force is applied to a tooth, the PDL compresses on one side, causing ischemia and a release of chemical signals that trigger the resorption of bone. On the opposite side of the tooth, the PDL is stretched, stimulating bone formation. This process of bone remodeling allows the tooth to move through the jaw, with the PDL acting as a cushion that absorbs and distributes the forces. However, the rate and extent of tooth movement can vary depending on the appliance used and the specific conditions of the patient's periodontal tissues. The two main types of orthodontic appliances – clear aligners and fixed appliances – have distinct effects on these processes [2].

## Description

The main advantage of clear aligners lies in their ability to apply a more evenly distributed force compared to fixed appliances. This is because the aligners are custom-made to fit snugly around each tooth, ensuring that the forces are directed more uniformly. As a result, clear aligners may cause less localized stress on the periodontal tissues, potentially reducing the risk of injury to the PDL or the gingiva. However, the removable nature of clear aligners also presents challenges. Since the aligners are typically worn for 20 to 22 hours a day, patient compliance is critical to the success of the treatment. If aligners are not worn as prescribed, the forces may not be applied consistently, leading to less predictable tooth movement. Furthermore, the process of removing and reinserting the aligners can sometimes cause trauma to the gums or PDL if done improperly or too frequently. In terms of gingival health, clear aligners may be less likely to cause gingival irritation or inflammation compared to fixed appliances. This is because the aligners do not have brackets and wires that can come into direct contact with the soft tissues, reducing the risk of abrasion or ulcerations. However, patients must be diligent about maintaining oral hygiene, as food particles and plaque can accumulate on the aligners, leading to the risk of gingivitis or periodontal disease [3].

Clear aligners may also have a more gradual effect on bone remodeling compared to fixed appliances. The controlled and uniform forces applied by clear aligners allow for a more predictable and less aggressive movement of teeth. This may be advantageous for patients with delicate periodontal tissues or those at risk for bone resorption. However, the forces applied by clear aligners may not be sufficient for more complex tooth movements or severe malocclusions, which may require the more robust forces provided by fixed appliances. Fixed appliances, commonly known as braces, have been used in orthodontics for decades and remain the gold standard for many complex or severe malocclusions. These appliances consist of metal brackets attached to the teeth, connected by a thin archwire. The archwire is adjusted over time to apply constant pressure on the teeth, gradually moving them into alignment. Fixed appliances exert more concentrated and localized forces on the teeth compared to clear aligners. The brackets are bonded to the teeth, and the archwire applies continuous force, which may result in increased pressure on the periodontal ligament. This can lead to both the resorption of bone on one side of the tooth and the formation of new bone on the other side. While this process is essential for tooth movement, it can also increase the risk of complications such as root resorption, particularly if excessive forces are applied or if treatment is not properly managed [4].

When comparing clear aligners and fixed appliances in terms of their effects on periodontal tissues, several factors need to be considered. Clear aligners tend to be less invasive and cause less localized stress on the periodontal tissues, which may reduce the risk of gingival inflammation and bone resorption. However, their efficacy in treating more complex cases is limited, and patient compliance is critical to achieving optimal results. Fixed appliances, on the other hand, offer more robust forces for tooth movement and can address a wider range of orthodontic issues. However, they can also increase the risk of periodontal complications, such as root resorption, gingival irritation, and difficulty maintaining oral hygiene. Despite these challenges, fixed appliances remain the preferred choice for many orthodontists when dealing with complex or severe cases [5].

## Conclusion

Both clear aligners and fixed appliances have unique advantages and challenges when it comes to their impact on the periodontal tissues. Clear aligners are a more comfortable and aesthetically pleasing option, offering a lower risk of gingival irritation and better compliance for patients. However, their ability to achieve significant tooth movement is limited, and they may not be suitable for all cases. Fixed appliances remain the gold standard for treating complex malocclusions, but they come with a higher risk of periodontal complications such as root resorption and gingival inflammation. Ultimately, the choice between clear aligners and fixed appliances depends on the patient's specific needs, the severity of their malocclusion, and the expertise of the orthodontist. Regardless of the treatment modality, maintaining healthy periodontal tissues through good oral hygiene practices and regular check-ups is essential to the long-term success of orthodontic treatment.

***Address for Correspondence:** *Laudisio Eyerich, Department of Interdisciplinary Medicine, University of Salento, 73100 Lecce, Italy; E-mail: laudisioeyerich@ice.it*



**Received:** 02 January, 2025, Manuscript No. OHCR-25-162918; **Editor Assigned:** 04 January, 2025, PreQC No. P-162918; **Reviewed:** 16 January, 2025, QC No. Q-162918; **Revised:** 21 January, 2025, Manuscript No. R-162918; **Published:** 28 January, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2471-8726.2025.11.179

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Abbate, G. M., M. P. Caria, Paola Montanari and Carla Mannu, et al. "Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances." *J Orofac Orthop* (2015): 1-10.
2. Abdelhafez, Reem S., Ahmad A. Talib, and Dafi S. Al-Taani. "The effect of orthodontic treatment on the periodontium and soft tissue esthetics in adult patients." *Clin Exp Dent Res* 8 (2022): 410-420.
3. Azaripour, A., J. Weusmann, B. Mahmoodi and D. Peppas, et al. "Braces *vs.* Invisalign®: Gingival parameters and patients' satisfaction during treatment: A cross-sectional study." *BMC Oral Health* 15 (2015): 1-5.
4. Sim, Hye-Young, Hee-Sun Kim, Da-Un Jung and Ho Lee, et al. "Association between orthodontic treatment and periodontal diseases: Results from a national survey." *Angle Orthod* 87 (2017): 651-657.
5. Kumar, Vasu, Purushottam Singh, Vishal Kumar Arora and Sukhpreet Kaur, et al. "Assessment of effect of fixed orthodontic treatment on gingival health: An observational study." *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 13 (2021): S425-S428.

**How to cite this article:** Eyerich, Laudisio. "Exploring the Influence of Clear Aligners and Fixed Appliances on Periodontal Tissues." *Oral Health Case Rep* 11 (2025): 179.