

Exoneration: Unveiling the Pursuit of Justice using DNA

Jon Gould*

Department of Genetics, American University, Washington, USA

Introduction

The pursuit of justice is a cornerstone of any society, aiming to safeguard the rights and well-being of its citizens. Yet, the path to justice is not always straightforward. The legal system, while designed to ensure fairness and accountability, can falter, leading to wrongful convictions. Exoneration is the process by which individuals who have been wrongfully convicted are cleared of their charges and released from prison. This profound journey from wrongful imprisonment to freedom sheds light on the strengths and flaws of the justice system. This article explores the complex world of exoneration, delving into its causes, impact, mechanisms, and the challenges that persist in the fight for justice. Exoneration refers to the legal process through which individuals who were wrongfully convicted of crimes are officially declared innocent and freed from imprisonment. It signifies the acknowledgment that an individual has been unjustly deprived of their freedom and rights. Exoneration seeks not only to rectify the miscarriage of justice but also to address the broader implications of wrongful convictions on individuals, families, communities, and the justice system itself [1].

Description

Mistaken identification is a leading cause of wrongful convictions. Witnesses' memories can be influenced by stress, fear, and external factors, leading to inaccurate identifications. In some cases, individuals confess to crimes they did not commit due to coercion, intimidation, or psychological pressure during interrogations. Insufficient or ineffective legal defense can lead to convictions based on incomplete investigations or misrepresentation of evidence. Errors in forensic analysis, such as faulty DNA testing or misinterpretation of scientific findings, can lead to wrongful convictions. When crucial evidence is withheld or not presented during trial, it can prevent a fair assessment of the case. Misconduct, including planting evidence or coercing witnesses, can taint the investigation and lead to wrongful convictions. Some wrongfully convicted individuals lacked solid alibis to prove their innocence, leading to convictions based on circumstantial evidence [2].

Wrongful convictions cause immense personal suffering to those who are unjustly imprisoned. Their lives are disrupted, and they often face physical, emotional, and psychological challenges. Families of the wrongfully convicted also endure hardship. They experience the pain of seeing their loved ones imprisoned for crimes they did not commit. Wrongful convictions erode public trust in the justice system and law enforcement agencies, leading to skepticism and cynicism. Exonerees must navigate a complex legal process to regain their freedom. The emotional toll of lost years and the stigma of imprisonment can be profound. After exoneration, individuals face challenges in rebuilding their lives, finding employment, and reintegrating into society [3].

DNA testing has been instrumental in exonating individuals who were wrongly convicted. DNA evidence can conclusively prove innocence by showing

that the convicted individual's DNA does not match the DNA found at the crime scene. In some cases, investigative journalists have unearthed new evidence or uncovered flaws in the original investigation, leading to reexamination of the case. Organizations known as Innocence Projects work to overturn wrongful convictions by investigating cases, using DNA evidence, and advocating for justice reform. Sometimes, new evidence or advances in forensic techniques prompt legal authorities to reexamine cases, potentially leading to exoneration. DNA profiling has played a pivotal role in exonating wrongfully convicted individuals. DNA evidence has the power to conclusively prove innocence and identify the true perpetrators of crimes. Through DNA analysis, investigators can match DNA profiles found at crime scenes to individuals who were not previously connected to the case. The accuracy and reliability of DNA evidence have been instrumental in overturning convictions and righting the wrongs of the justice system [4].

The legal process of exoneration can be challenging and protracted. Obtaining access to evidence, convincing courts to reexamine cases, and navigating legal procedures can be daunting. After exoneration, wrongfully convicted individuals may face difficulties accessing compensation for their years of unjust imprisonment. Adequate support systems are essential to help them reintegrate into society. Exoneration highlights systemic flaws in the justice system, including inadequate legal representation, biased investigations, and lack of transparency. Addressing these issues is crucial to preventing future wrongful convictions. Exonerations can be emotionally complex for victims and their families, who may have believed in the guilt of the wrongfully convicted individual. Balancing the pursuit of justice with sensitivity to victims' experiences is a challenge. Exoneration is a global issue that transcends borders. Wrongful convictions occur in various legal systems around the world, and the mechanisms of exoneration are similar, involving evidence reexamination and legal proceedings. International cooperation and sharing best practices are crucial to advancing justice reform and preventing future miscarriages of justice [5].

Conclusion

Exoneration is a journey from darkness to light, from imprisonment to freedom, and from injustice to justice. It represents the resilience of the human spirit and the pursuit of truth. While exoneration brings a sense of vindication to the wrongfully convicted, it also exposes the flaws and complexities of the justice system. As societies strive to ensure fairness, accountability, and the protection of individual rights, the stories of exonerees serve as a reminder that the pursuit of justice is an ongoing commitment, requiring vigilance, compassion, and the continuous improvement of legal systems worldwide.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Debus-Sherrill, Sara and Michael B. Field. "Familial DNA searching—an emerging forensic investigative tool." *Sci Justice* 59 (2019): 20-28.
2. Scudder, Nathan, Dennis McNevin, Sally F. Kelty and Simon J. Walsh, et al.

*Address for Correspondence: Jon Gould, Department of Genetics, American University, Washington, USA, E-mail: jonbgould92@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2023 Gould J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 July, 2023, Manuscript No. jgdr-23-111820; Editor assigned: 03 July, 2023, PreQC No. P-111820; Reviewed: 15 July, 2023, QC No. Q-111820; Revised: 22 July, 2023, Manuscript No. R-111820; Published: 31 July, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2684-6039.2023.7.165

- "Forensic DNA phenotyping: Developing a model privacy impact assessment." *Forensic Sci Int Genet* 34 (2018): 222-230.
3. Lynch, Michael. "Science, truth, and forensic cultures: The exceptional legal status of DNA evidence." *Stud Hist Philos Sci C :Stud Hist Philos Biol Biomed Sci* 44 (2013): 60-70.
 4. Wallace, H. M., A. R. Jackson, J. Gruber and A. D. Thibedeau. "Forensic DNA databases—Ethical and legal standards: A global review." *Egypt J Forensic Sci* 4 (2014): 57-63.
 5. Butler, John M. and Sheila Willis. "Interpol review of forensic biology and forensic DNA typing 2016-2019." *Forensic Sci Int: Synergy* 2 (2020): 352-367.

How to cite this article: Gould, Jon. "Exoneration: Unveiling the Pursuit of Justice using DNA." *J Genet DNA Res* 7 (2023): 165.