Exercise, Science and Designer Doping: Traditional and Emerging Trends
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Abstract

The list of doping agents is enormous, and for the majority, any beneficial sporting effect is contentious. WADA and UK Anti-Doping have difficulty detecting the peptide hormones, Growth Hormone (GH), insulin and Erythropoietin (Epo), because they require blood analysis. Only in the last two years has an athlete been convicted of taking GH, which is still being used as a doping agent because the window for detection is so brief. This positive test was not contested, which suggests that science may be winning the war on drugs. Athletes appear to have ceased taking insulin, because of its life-threatening acute effects, and in recent years no adverse analytical findings have been reported for this drug.

“Older” doping agents, which are known to enhance performance in sport, include testosterone and their derivatives, anabolic steroids.

The pharmaceutical industry continues to manufacture new medicines, pushing back the boundaries in combating wasting disease states and the ageing process, but is inadvertently producing the latest generation of doping agents. This will challenge anti-doping scientists.

WADA’s banned list also includes insulin-like growth factor-1, fibroblast growth factors, hepatocyte growth factor, mechano growth factors, platelet-derived growth factor, vascular-endothelial growth factor which may promote muscle, tendon or ligament development, vascularisation, energy utilisation, regenerative capacity and fibre type. Athletes will use whatever they believe works, but can only use what is available. Internet companies offer these anabolic products that but their veracity cannot be proven.

There are questions that need to be answered? Are these products available to athletes, do they enhance performance, are athletes really taking them and are they so difficult to detect. The internet has made them available to anyone with a credit card and it appears that if they are cycled correctly, unless an athlete is caught in possession of them, the opportunity of proving a case of doping is almost impossible.
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Introduction

The involvement of sport’s scientists in elite sport has led them to develop improved nutrition, physiological and psychological techniques but also to be significantly implicated in the development and use of performance enhancing drugs [1]. East German scientists were involved in the state-sponsored systemic doping of athletes in the former East Germany [2]. American scientists have also been concerned in the dissemination of performance and image enhancing drugs used in international sport. Dr John Ziegler, originally developed the Androgenic Anabolic Steroid (AAS) Methandrostenolone (Dianabol) which was released in the USA in 1958 by the pharmaceutical giant, Ciba. Ziegler pioneered its athletic use as an aid to muscle growth (Dianabol) which was released in the USA in 1958 by the pharmaceutical giant, Ciba. Ziegler pioneered its athletic use as an aid to muscle growth. In 1960, Danish cyclist, Knut Jensen, was the first athlete to die in the Tour de France, Tom Simpson, whose motto was “if it takes ten to kill you, take nine and win....” was the first death caused by doping in the Tour de France, in 1967. Two tubes of amphetamines and a further empty tube were identified the deception that physicians were prepared to be concerned.

In 1967 to combat doping, the International Olympic Committee (IOC) established a Medical Commission which provided three fundamental principles: protection of the health of athletes, respect for medical and sport ethics, and equality for all competing athletes [6].

At that time the list of banned substances included narcotic analgesics, stimulants and alcohol. Although it was suspected that AAS were being used at this time, testing methods were insufficiently developed to warrant their inclusion. The first compulsory doping controls were at the Winter Olympic Games in Grenoble, France in 1968 [7].

In the 1984 Olympics, some team doctors were involved in exploiting the doping regulations. Team doctors had to fill in declarations for all athletes using specific drugs perceived to be performance enhancing. If competitors produced a doctor’s certificate stating that they needed a drug for health reasons, they would not be disqualified, if drug checks proved positive. Following a large number of positive urinalyses some teams provided medical certificates covering the whole team [8]. This identified the deception that physicians were prepared to be concerned.
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in to win at any cost. One of the drugs in this instance was a beta-blocker, which could in fact impede performance, in endurance events.

Convictions of celebrity athletes for doping offences and their subsequent confessions have identified the extent of their subterfuge [9-14].

The authorities are partly to blame. Zero tolerance and a lifetime ban for any offence, would be a far greater deterrent than a two year ban, for the first Adverse Analytical Finding (AAF), a two year to lifetime ban for second or subsequent violations and a four year to lifetime ban for trafficking. WADA have written extensive documents, euphemising the fact that they are prepared to offer “deals” with offending dopers in an attempt to identify the provenance of these doping agents and suppliers [15].

When rewards for athletic success are so great, human nature is such that certain individuals will always succumb to fruit of the forbidden tree. Athletes are more concerned with failure than they are about adverse health effects as a consequence of cheating [16].

Doping could be halted overnight if the sanctions were severe enough. However, where would that leave the billion dollar WADA administrative machine?

Specific questions need to be answered. Do these agents really enhance performance? Are they harmful? Are they detectable? This short review will assess current doping agents being used, whether they have the desired effect, and whether athletes can beat “the test”.

**Anabolic Steroids**

Androgenic Anabolic Steroids (AAS) are a group of synthetic compounds similar in chemical structure to the natural anabolic steroid testosterone (Figure 1) [17]. In 1969, the first application of RadioImmunoAssay (RIA) for the measurement of steroids in biological fluids was published [18].

The IOC Medical Commission acted by the introduction of AAS as a banned class in 1974 following the development of a screen for the 17α-alkylated orally active drugs, in the biological medium of urine. Any presumptive positive samples could then be analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) for confirmatory identification [19]. The advantage that GC/MS screen provided resulted in the replacement of RIA, which is today’s accepted method.

AAS detection has always been problematic. They are abused by athletes during training and are not taken during the actual competitive period, in an attempt to avoid detection. Since oral preparations are cleared from the body between 2-14 days following withdrawal, and water soluble “injectables” after 4 weeks, it is possible to use these agents during periods of intensive training and test negative. In 1982, the IOC test for detection of testosterone administration was based on the GC/MS determination of the urinary ratio of testosterone (T) to its 17α-epimer, epitestosterone (E), following glucuronide hydrolysis, commonly referred to as T/E ratio [20]. In healthy men and women, the T/E ratio is approximately 1. Supraphysiological doses of testosterone cause an increase in the ratio as a result of increased excretion of testosterone. The T/E ratio may be augmented as a consequence of dose-dependent inhibition of testicular steroidogenesis. When supraphysiological doses of testosterone are taken, suppression of luteinising hormone secretion decreases urinary epitestosterone glucuronide. The WADA Medical Code stipulates that if a ratio of T/E is greater than 4, it is mandatory that the relevant medical authority conducts an investigation before the sample is declared positive. Investigations include a review of T/E results from previous tests, subsequent tests and also results of any serum endocrine investigations. The athlete will then be monitored at least monthly for three months. However, an athlete may have a physiological increased ratio being a natural biological outlier [21]. In the case of a T/E ratio ≥4, isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) can determine the exogenous administration [22].

**Do AAS Enhance Performance?**

AAS were proven only as recently as 1996 to increase muscle mass and strength in adult males [23]. Extrapolation of these effects to the sporting arena is not in doubt and is the reason why they are still the most common AAF in anti-dope testing today [24].

The prevalence of AAS use, the risks to an athlete’s health and the methods used to detect them by urinalysis are well documented in the literature [25].

**Accessibility**

The price of genuine AAS and their restriction due to their classification under the misuse of drugs act, 1971, has initiated an enormous counterfeit market. The most popular AAS are presented in 10 ml multi-dose vials, claiming to have very high concentrations of active ingredients. Multiple websites offer AAS, at varying prices [26]. A large majority of these counterfeit products are made in unlicensed laboratories in countries where the law is more permissive than the UK or USA, such as Mexico, China and Thailand. The dangers associated with such products are patent obvious. Table 1 identifies genuine prescription medicines, which are AAS and have been used as doping agents and the cost to the National Health Service in the UK. Table 2 identifies potential counterfeit AAS that are currently used by doping agents and the cost to the National Health Service in the UK. Table 2 identifies potential counterfeit AAS that are currently used by doping agents and the cost to the National Health Service in the UK.

**Growth Hormone (GH)**

The somatotroph cells in the anterior pituitary synthesise and secrete the polypeptide human Growth Hormone (hGH) which appears to have been isolated in 1944 [27] and then manufactured by recombinant DNA technology in the mid 1980’s producing recombinant human Growth Hormone (rhGH) [28]. It is secreted as a 191-amino-acid, 4-helix bundle protein, weighing 22,000 daltons (70-80%) and a less abundant 176-amino-acidic form, weighing 20,000 daltons (20-30%) [29]. See figure 2 for GH three-dimensional configuration.

Athletes have been trying to extrapolate postulated benefits to achieve physical improvement since 1982 [30].
Its powerful effects in GH Deficiency (GHD) were proven in 1989 [31] and these effects were also experienced in elderly men aged 60 years of age in 1990 [32].

Its seizure of rhGH in the possession of Chinese swimmers at the 1998 World Swimming Championships and again discovery of possession by cyclists at the Tour de France cycling event in 1998 have proven its abuse at an elite level [33].

**Does rhGH Enhance Performance?**

Extensive research with rhGH on non-competitive athletes has produced controversial results. Contemporary evidence appears to contradict the proven anabolic effect of rhGH in deficiency, in drug naïve healthy human muscle, in males (mean age of 28 years) [33]. Nor did it appear to improve athletic performance in females (mean age of 25 years) and males (mean age of 27 years) [34].

Administration of rhGH caused no further increase in muscle mass or strength, than that provided by resistance training in experienced male weight lifters (mean age of 22 years) attempting to further increase muscle mass [35].

Difficulties appear to have arisen in targeting an appropriate dose range that would promote muscle protein anabolism and not cause adverse effects, counteracting performance enhancement. In contrast, a study was conducted on experienced male weight lifters, who were former AAS users (mean age of 31 years) that improved endurance performance, power and strength [36]. The beneficial effects were believed to have occurred because of AAS withdrawal inducing a state of catabolism, which was rectified by rhGH administration. Catabolism was identified by low results for insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) the surrogate marker of endogenous GH production [37]. Such research suggests that senescence or pharmaceutically induced catabolism are two conditions that may benefit from rhGH administration, in a sporting context.

**Detection of rhGH**

The current official method employed by WADA cannot detect pituitary hGH by blood or urine. This is no longer derived from cadaver pituitaries by pharmaceutical companies because of the risk of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease transmission. This is an incurable degenerative neurological disorder transmitted by contaminated harvested human brain products that is invariably fatal. It has been shown to result from use of hGH obtained from the pituitary glands of persons who died from it. However unless an athlete can obtain and purify cadaver pituitary, rhGH is the only form of the agent available. Its seizure of rhGH in the possession of Chinese swimmers at the 1998 World Swimming Championships and again discovery of possession by cyclists at the Tour de France cycling event in 1998 have proven its abuse at an elite level [33].

rhGH is currently undetectable by urinalysis. Detection is by blood analysis and relies on the difference between the isoforms of hGH. Endogenous hGH comprises 70% 22 Kilodaltons (KDa) and...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Black-market Pharmaceutical Manufacturer</th>
<th>Black-market Wholesale Price</th>
<th>Black-market Retail Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anabolic Steroid Hormones (IM)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustanon 250 mg (1 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Organon (Pakistan)</td>
<td>£1.75</td>
<td>£2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone enanthate 250 mg (1 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£2</td>
<td>£3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 400 (testosterone propionate, cypionate and enanthate) (10 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£18</td>
<td>£30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nandrolone 300 mg/ml (10 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£19</td>
<td>£30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masteron (Drostanolone Propionate) 100mg/ml (10 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£20</td>
<td>£30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viomone (Testosterone propionate) (100-125mg/ml) (10 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£14</td>
<td>£20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone cypionate 100mg/ml (10 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£17</td>
<td>£25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenbolone acetate; Trenbolone enanthate (150 mg/ml) (10 ml)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£20</td>
<td>£30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parabolan (trenbolone hexahydrobenzylcarbonate) (75-150 mg/ml) (10 ml)</td>
<td>Negma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equitest 400 (testosterone undecanoate 240 mg, boldenone undecanoate 160 mg/ml) (10 ml)</td>
<td>Prochem</td>
<td>£20</td>
<td>£30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super-tren 2000 (methyltrienolone 2000 mcg/ml) (10 ml)</td>
<td>Prochem</td>
<td>£27</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anabolic Steroid Hormones (Oral)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primobol 50 (Methenolone tablets) 50 mg/tablet 30 tabs</td>
<td>British Dragon Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£38</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anavar/Oxanobol (oxandrolone) 10-50mg/tablet 60 tabs</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£38</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winstrol (stanozolol) 10-50mg/tablet 50 tabs</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£28</td>
<td>£35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androli/Anadrol/Oxydrol (Oxymetholone tablets) 50mg/tablet 60 tablets</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£28</td>
<td>£60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianabol/Methanobol/DBol (Methandienone/ Methandrostanolone) 10mg/tablet (500 tablets)</td>
<td>Generic (non-proprietary)/ Apex/Prochem/Dragon/British Dragon, Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>£40</td>
<td>£55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peptide Hormones</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygetropin (rhGH) 100 IU</td>
<td>Hygene Biopharm Co.,Ltd.</td>
<td>£100</td>
<td>£140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GenLei® Jintropin™ (rhGH) 100 IU</td>
<td>Gene Science Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>£100</td>
<td>£140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbovital (rhHGF-1) 1000 mcg</td>
<td>Hygene Biopharm Co.,Ltd.</td>
<td>£200</td>
<td>£285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth Hormone Secretagogues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexarelin/Sermorelin/Ipanorelin (growth hormone releasing hormone) 2mg/amp</td>
<td>ProPeptides Co., Ltd</td>
<td>£24</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myostatin inhibitor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follistatin 344 (5 mcg)</td>
<td>Southern Research Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>£62</td>
<td>£100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product</strong></td>
<td>Black-market Pharmaceutical Manufacturer</td>
<td>Black-market Wholesale Price</td>
<td>Black-market Retail Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peptide Hormones</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygetropin (rhGH) 100 IU</td>
<td>Hygene Biopharm Co.,Ltd.</td>
<td>£100</td>
<td>£140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Whereas GH treatment can cause compensatory hyperinsulinaemia, glucocorticoids. IGF-1 administration improves insulin sensitivity, and have been effective in counteracting the protein wasting effects of both GH-like and insulin-like actions. Both GH and IGF-1 have a net 

IGF-1 mediates some of the metabolic actions of GH and has molecular disulfide bridges. IGF-1 has a molecular weight of 7,649 secreted by the liver and is induced by GH secretion [37]. IGF-1 analogues (table 4). 

Table 2: Growth Hormone Secretagogues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GenLei® Jintropin™ (rhGH)</th>
<th>Gene Science Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.</th>
<th>£100</th>
<th>£140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbovital (rhIGF-1) 1000 mcg</td>
<td>Hygene Biopharm Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>£200</td>
<td>£285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth Hormone Secretagogues

| Hexarelin/Sermorelin/Ipamorelin (growth hormone releasing hormone) 2mg/amp | ProPeptides Co., Ltd | £24 | £50 |
| Myostatin inhibitor | Follistatin 344 (5 mcg) | £62 | £100 |

Table 2 identifies genuine rhGH and table 4 identifies potential counterfeit rhGH from internet companies. 

GHRelin (Growth Hormone Secretagogues)

Growth Hormone Releasing Hormone (GHRH) induces the synthesis and secretion of growth hormone, and somatostatin suppresses the secretion of growth hormone. Growth hormone is also regulated by ghrelin, a growth hormone secretagogue-receptor ligand that is synthesized mainly in the gastrointestinal tract [40]. Twice daily administration of ghrelin improved exercise capacity and left ventricular function in patients with chronic heart failure [41]. This knowledge has initiated the development of companies purporting to sell growth hormone secretagogues, such as sermorelin and its analogues (table 4).

Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 (IGF-1)

The Insulin-like Growth Factors (IGFs) are proteins with high sequence similarity to insulin. They are part of a complex system that cells use to communicate with their environment. IGF-1 is mainly secreted by the liver and is induced by GH secretion [37]. IGF-1 induces cell proliferation and is thought to inhibit apoptosis [42].

It consists of 70 amino acids in a single chain with three intramolecular disulfide bridges. IGF-1 has a molecular weight of 7,649 daltons. It displays homology to proinsulin, the precursor of insulin [43]. IGF-1 mediates some of the metabolic actions of GH and has both GH-like and insulin-like actions. Both GH and IGF-1 have a net anabolic effect enhancing whole body protein synthesis, improving anthropometry in GHD. Both hormones have been used in catabolism and have been effective in counteracting the protein wasting effects of glucocorticoids. IGF-1 administration improves insulin sensitivity, whereas GH therapy can cause compensatory hyperinsulinaemia.

IGF-2 is thought to be a primary growth factor required for early development while IGF-1 expression is required for achieving maximal growth.

Factors that are known to cause variation in the levels of IGF-1 in the circulation, include genetic make-up, diurnal variation, age, sex, exercise status, stress levels, nutrition and disease state.

IGF-1 has an involvement in regulating neurogenesis, myelination, synaptogenesis, and dendritic branching and neuroprotection after neuronal damage. The IGF-1 level reflects the secretory activity of GH and is a marker for identification of normal GH production [44]. Levels of IGF-1 are at their peak during late adolescence and decline throughout adulthood, mirror imaging GH [45].

The stability of the IGF-1 molecule, following administration by injection, has been enhanced by combining it with one of its binding proteins (BP). It is commercially available as rhIGFBP-3 which also limits adverse effects.

No athlete has yet tested positive for rhIGF and published knowledge of its use in sport is limited. Tests for detecting it are currently being processed and consequently athletes have switched to doping with rhIGF as opposed to rhGH.

Its effect on physical exercise and anthropometry is being investigated, based on similar measurement of markers as rhGH action, with the hope of being available in time for the 2012 Olympics [46].

The concomitant administration of rhGH and rhIGF in GH resistant states has been shown to be synergistic and have effects that are far greater than either alone [44]. Athletes believe that the combination is more powerful than double of either alone and lower doses of either will limit detection (personal communications). Such beliefs appear to be supported by contemporary research [39,44].

Table 3 identifies genuine rhIGF and table 4 identifies potential counterfeit rhIGF from internet companies.

Erythropoietin (Epo)

Erythropoietin (Epo) is a glycoprotein hormone (40% carbohydrate) with a Molecular wt of 34 KDa that controls erythropoiesis, in the bone marrow. It is produced by the peritubular capillary endothelial cells in the kidney and liver.

Recombinant human Erythropoietin (rEpo) is a synthetic analogue of Epo and is commercially available for the treatment of anaemia in humans.

It was directly identified by urinalysis in 2000, when a test developed based on immunoelectrophoresis and double blotting (IEF/DB), was endorsed by the IOC and subsequently WADA [47].

The problem in detections is that the duration of the effect on
performance is greater than the duration of any haematological changes associated with rhEpo use. Following discontinuation, red cell mass gradually returns to its original state but can take weeks, leaving an open window where there is no evidence of use but where performance is enhanced [48].

Testing for rhEpo in urine may seem practical at first sight but appears to be a very difficult task because the amount of endogenous Epo in urine is extremely low [49]. The physiological background for testing Epo in urine is complex and the handling of Epo by the renal tubules is poorly understood. Furthermore, exercise-induced renal ischemia and the accompanying post-exercise proteinuria may affect the clearance of this peptide hormone. Also, by injecting microdoses of rhEpo, the window of detection can be reduced to as little as 12-18 hours post-injection [50].

From 2006, Epo tests at the Olympics have been conducted on both blood and urine, in an attempt to identify dopers, but the method officially adopted by WADA for the confirmation of rhEpo is urinalysis, still based on a combination of IEF/DB. However, the adopted monoclonal anti-Epo antibodies are not mono-specific. Therefore, the test can occasionally lead to the false-positive detection of rhEpo (epoetin-beta) in post-exercise, protein-rich urine, and in cases of contamination of the sample with microorganisms. Up to 20% of samples did not show detectable Epo [51].

Research was conducted on subjects by administering rhEpo for four weeks, with two weeks of "boosting", followed by two weeks of "maintenance" and a post period of three weeks. WADA “Laboratory A” determined rhEpo use in all subjects during the boosting period, whereas WADA “Laboratory B” found no use, with one sample to be negative, and the remaining seven to be suspicious. The detection rates decreased throughout the maintenance and post period when total hemoglobin mass and exercise performance were elevated. During this period, “Laboratory A” found only two of 24 samples to be positive and three to be suspicious, and “Laboratory B” found no positive or suspicious samples. This study demonstrates a poor correlation in test results comparing two WADA-accredited laboratories. Moreover, after the initial rhEpo "boosting" period the power to detect rhEpo use during the maintenance and post periods appeared minimal [52].

Any false-positive Epo test concerns by Beullens et al. (2006) [53] have been contested by Catlin et al. (2006) [54] relying on scientific analytical rigour.

Catlin's laboratory has reported positive cases for rhEpo, who have publicly confessed and have accepted penalties, and a physician was indicted for distribution of rhEpo, again proving the intricate involvement between scientists in supplying dopers.

**Does rhEpo Enhance Performance?**

rhEpo can be administered subcutaneously or intravenously and has performance-enhancing effects due to the powerful stimulation of red blood cell production, improving delivery of oxygen to the muscle tissues.

Exogenous Epo has been shown to increase maximal aerobic power, [55] and maximal oxygen uptake ($V_{\text{O}_2 \text{max}}$) [48]. Cyclists have confessed to using it throughout their career demonstrating their belief in its performance enhancing effects and the difficulty in detecting it [56].

However, there are dangers to the exercising athlete using rhEpo. Arterial systolic blood pressure (SBP) at rest remains unaltered before and after rhEpo admin. During submaximal exercise at 200 watts, corresponding to an average of approx 50% of $V_{\text{O}_2 \text{max}}$ SBP increases markedly from 177 to 191 mmHg, increasing stress on the heart during heavy strenuous and prolonged exercise [57]. During competition cycling and running, the average energy turn-over is often in the range of 75-85% of $V_{\text{O}_2 \text{max}}$ for long periods.

Elevated arterial SBP due to rhEpo injections have been linked to unexpected deaths of young cyclists. Within the first four years of rhEpo’s introduction, this synthetic hormone was suggested to have caused over 17 athletes’ deaths [58]. Dr Sandro Donati, an Italian exercise physiologist claims that Italian sport’s physicians were administering rhEpo to professional cyclists for large annual fees [59].

Table 3 identifies genuine rhEpo and table 4 identifies potential counterfeit rhEpo from an internet company.

**MGF (IGF-1 Ec Peptide)**

Muscle development must be under the control of local growth factors because if a specific muscle is mechanically overloaded, as in resistant exercise, it is that muscle and not all the muscles that undergo hypertrophy. Mechano growth factor (MGF) has been identified and appears to be derived from the IGF-1 gene and has a unique C-terminal peptide (IGF-1 Ec peptide). It has a molecular weight of 2868 daltons. After resistance exercise, which may cause disruption and damage to the myofibril cell membranes, the IGF-1 gene predominantly produces the IGF-1 splice variant IGF-1 Ec peptide (MGF) which activates muscle stem (satellite) cells or muscle progenitor cells that provide the extra nuclei required for muscle hypertrophy, repair and maintenance. The appearance of MGF also up-regulates new protein synthesis. After this initial splicing of IGF-1 into MGF, production then switches towards producing a systemic release of IGF-1 Ea from the liver, which also up-regulates protein synthesis. The expression of IGF-1 splice variants, once the course of the regeneration of muscle, following stress, is thought to be the primary anabolic mechanism by which the body repairs injuries or produces new muscle. Sarcopenia and dystrophic muscle appear to have an impaired ability to express MGF or refresh the satellite cell pool [60].

Unlike mature IGF-1, the distinct E domain of MGF inhibits terminal differentiation whilst increasing myoblast proliferation. Blocking the IGF-1 receptor with a specific antibody indicates that the function of MGF E domain is mediated via a different receptor, providing localised tissue adaptation and suggesting why loss of muscle mass occurs in the elderly and in dystrophic muscle in which MGF
production is markedly affected [61]. Such potential has attracted the attention of commercial companies claiming to be able to manufacture such peptide hormones for athletic abuse. MGF is available as an injectable peptide, and it has been anecdotally shown that injecting it will increase local muscle growth [62] Research in humans, with MGF is currently under consideration.

Table 4 identifies a potential counterfeit MGF from an internet company.

### A Myostatin Inhibitor (Follistatin)

Myostatin is a transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) family member that plays an essential role in regulating skeletal muscle growth. It acts as a negative regulator of skeletal muscle mass. Pharmacological agents capable of blocking myostatin activity may have applications for promoting muscle growth in human disease. Follistatin, also known as activin-binding protein is a peptide hormone, in humans, encoded by the FST gene. Follistatin is an autocrine glycoprotein that is expressed in nearly all tissues. It is part of the inhibin-activin-follistatin axis and is produced by folliculostellate (FS) cells of the anterior pituitary. In the tissues activin has a strong role in cellular proliferation, thereby making follistatin the safeguard against uncontrolled cellular proliferation and also allowing it to function as an instrument of cellular differentiation. Both of these roles are vital in tissue rebuilding and repair.

Follistatin has been assessed for its role in regulation of muscle

---

**Table 3:** Prescription only Medicines, Peptide hormones used in hormone replacement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Pharmaceutical Manufacturer</th>
<th>Manufacturers Price</th>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotropin® (somatropin/rhGH) two-compartment cartridge containing powder for reconstitution</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
<td>net price 5.3-mg (16-unit) cartridge = £122.87; 12-mg (36-unit) cartridge = £278.20</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intramuscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humatrope® (somatropin/rhGH) two-compartment cartridge containing powder for reconstitution</td>
<td>Lilly</td>
<td>net price 6-mg (18-unit) cartridge =£108.00; 24-mg (72-unit) cartridge = £432.00</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intramuscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norditropin® Simplexx prefilled solution (somatropin 3.3 mg: 10 units/mL)</td>
<td>Novo Nordisk</td>
<td>net price 1.5-mL (5-mg, 15-unit) cartridge = £106.35; 1.5-mL (15-mg, 45-unit) cartridge = £319.05</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intramuscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increlex® (Mecasermin 10 mg/mL; Recombinant human insulin-like growth factor-1; rhIGF-1)</td>
<td>Ipsen</td>
<td>net price 4-mL vial = £605.00</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intramuscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eprex® (prefilled syringe, epoetin alfa) (Recombinant human erythropoietin; rhEpo)</td>
<td>Janssen-Cilag</td>
<td>net price 1000 units = £5.53; 40 000 units = £265.48</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeoRecombin® (prefilled syringe, epoetin beta) (Recombinant human erythropoietin; rhEpo)</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>net price 500 units = £3.75; 30 000 units = £224.69</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aranesp® Erythropoietin; darbepoetin alfa, 25 micrograms/mL</td>
<td>Amgen</td>
<td>net price 0.4 mL (10 micrograms) = £14.68, 1 mL (50 micrograms) = £734.05</td>
<td>Subcutaneous/Intravenous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Counterfeit Peptide Hormone Doping Agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Black-market Pharmaceutical Manufacturer</th>
<th>Black-market Wholesale Price</th>
<th>Black-market Retail Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hygetropin (rhGH) 100 IU</td>
<td>Hygene Biopharm Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>£100</td>
<td>£140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GenLei® Jintropin™ (rhGH) 100 IU</td>
<td>Gene Science Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>£100</td>
<td>£140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbovital (rhIGF-1) 1000 mcg</td>
<td>Hygene Biopharm Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>£200</td>
<td>£285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexarelin/Sermorelin/Ipamorelin (growth hormone releasing hormones) 2mg/amp</td>
<td>ProPeptides Co., Ltd</td>
<td>£24</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Human Erythropoietin-Alpha</td>
<td>Prospec Protein Specialist</td>
<td>5µg £32; 50µg £82; 1mg £340</td>
<td>5µg £50; 50µg £100; 1mg £1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myostatin inhibitor</td>
<td>Southern Research Co., Ltd</td>
<td>£62</td>
<td>£100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechano Growth Factor</td>
<td>Peptide Labs Research Peptides</td>
<td>£18</td>
<td>£50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Key: amp = ampoule; rhGH = recombinant human growth hormone; rhIGF-1 = recombinant human insulin-like growth factor-1 |

---

growth in mice, as an antagonist to myostatin [63] demonstrated that inhibition of myostatin, either by genetic elimination (knockout mice) or by increasing the amount of follistatin, resulted in greatly increased muscle mass. Mice that lack the gene that makes myostatin have roughly twice the amount of body muscle as normal. But mice without myostatin that also overproduce follistatin have about four times as much muscle as normal mice [64]. In 2009, research with Macaque monkeys demonstrated that regulating follistatin via gene therapy also resulted in muscle growth and increases in strength [65].

Such research paves the way for future control of disease states, but the application for the use of a myostatin inhibitor in sport is all too evident. There is currently no scientific proof that such a drug can benefit sport’s performance in humans, however, multiple internet companies wax lyrical about the benefits of their products.

Table 4 identifies a potential counterfeit myostatin inhibitor (Follistatin 344) from an internet company.

Conclusion

The existence of high rewards from competitive sport will always predispose the more vulnerable athlete to experiment with the latest ergogenic aid or designer doping agent.

The presence of the internet would appear to be an effective market-place for the acquisition of such products and to negate the requirement of a personal physician. However, there are few safeguards to confirm the veracity of these agents and to protect such individuals willing to risk life and limb, in pursuit of gold.

Despite the increased intensity in anti-doping, a level playing field even exists for the cheating dope! Following the “accidental” ingestion of a banned substance by the less discerning athlete, a sport scientist is often required as an expert, to contest any charge of wrong-doing by a prosecuting authority [66].

References

10. http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/sport/more_sport/athletics/article3942201.ece


56. http://news.bbc.co.uk/sport1/hi/other_sports/cycling/8694452.stm


64. Mighty mice made mightier.
