Evidence-based Medicine: Alternative Medicine [Non-drug Medicine, CAM] versus Pharmacological Medicine
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Good scientific medicine has to major components: It has good theory and it has good clinical documentation.

Happiness is a mystery in itself; there is no really good science about happiness, so to include happiness in the scientific theory of medicine closely related to quality of life and happiness; you can say that medicine, we have even bigger problems, for what is a good test of the method into effective medicine with exactly the specific action the patient needs? We know by now that the randomized clinical trial [RCT], which everybody 30 years ago believed to be the final solution of the RCT-test as it is designed today turns all poisonous drugs tested by the Cochrane Collaboration millions of patients are getting poisoned with severe consequences for their health every year; and thousands of these patients are even dying from the poisoning [5]. Especially the psychiatric patients are burdened by the toxic effects of the drugs [6].

In evidence-based medicine you need to look at the quality of the scientific evidence. The best scientific evidence, like the meta analyses made by independent researchers i.e. the Cochrane reviews from the 3000 fairly independent physicians and researchers in the international Cochrane collaboration, has systematically shown that drugs are of little help for patients and almost always very harmful. Even the best of pharmacological medicines has surprisingly little effect; if you look at how many patients you need to treat for one being helped [the NNT number] it is normally 20 patients or more [7] [and often 100 or more for serious diseases like cancer and schizophrenia].

When it comes to scientific documentation of the clinical effect of medicine, we have even bigger problems, for what is a good test of clinical effect? We know by now that the randomized clinical trial [RCT], which everybody 30 years ago believed to be the final solution to the problem of how to test pharmacological medicine is so faulty and flawed that it cannot be taken as scientific documentation [3].

One problem is that the active placebo effect of poisonous drugs in the RCT-test as it is designed today turns all poisonous drugs tested by the method into effective medicine with exactly the specific action the test aims to explore [3,4]. This is a hopeless situation; the blinding is always broken by the toxic effects of the drugs and the pharmaceutical companies are producing "medicines" which only have toxic effects. A number of Cochrane studies have recently documented that many of the drug-groups we are using are without significant beneficial effects but only poisonous [5,6]. Yet 100 million people or so are taking these drugs every day in the belief that they are helpful. And because of the active placebo they are helpful for a short while. But this is like peeing your pants to get heat: It only helps for a very short time; then it gets really annoying.

The consequence of the lack of a valid test method for pharmacological drugs has been devastating; According to leading experts in the Cochrane Collaboration millions of patients are getting poisoned with severe consequences for their health every year; and thousands of these patients are even dying from the poisoning [5]. Especially the psychiatric patients are burdened by the toxic effects of the drugs [6].

In evidence-based medicine you need to look at the quality of the scientific evidence. The best scientific evidence, like the meta analyses made by independent researchers i.e. the Cochrane reviews from the 3000 fairly independent physicians and researchers in the international Cochrane collaboration, has systematically shown that drugs are of little help for patients and almost always very harmful. Even the best of pharmacological medicines has surprisingly little effect; if you look at how many patients you need to treat for one being helped [the NNT number] it is normally 20 patients or more [7] [and often 100 or more for serious diseases like cancer and schizophrenia].

An NNT of 20 means that if a doctor gives such a drug to a patient, the likelihood for the patient to be helped is 0.05, or only 5%! If NNT=100 only 1% of the patients are helped. And the people who are helped are most often NOT cured. They only have little less symptoms of the disease. So this is the situation of the pharmacological medicine we have today. At the same time, adverse effects are so normal that in average every patient will have a harmful effect from taking a drug [8]. Honestly it is not worth being a doctor with such poor results. Therefore many doctors burn out and loose the joy of work while they year after year see that their patients systematically are not improving.

So how is it with evidence-based CAM – alternative medicine, non-drug medicine – call it what you like? Today hundreds of metaanalyses and Cochrane reviews have shown that there are no significant side effects of non-medication [9,10] – with high-energy manipulations [chiropractic] as a rare exception. So you can safely go to any psychotherapist or bodyworker. Talk and touch therapy are just safe [10]. That is good to know.

But is CAM effective? Well, in general alternative medicine is not effective. Sorry. If you look at all that we do to help and cure, this broad spectrum of activities we call CAM are mostly NOT helpful. A number of scientific studies of prayers and positive thinking, diets, exercises, breathing exercises, yoga, meditation, art therapy, herbal medicine etc. have proven these types of CAM to be without significant positive effect for the patient [9]. Therefore, in general, CAM cures are not working.

With this said there are some types of talk and touch therapy that has been proven extremely effective. That is the methods that at the
same time focus on 1) feelings and emotions including sexuality, 2) understanding and self-exploration including almost all types of self-inquiry, and 3) letting go of negative beliefs, attitudes, thoughts, philosophies, concepts etc – i.e. mind-work that emptied your mind from all its mental contents and structures, and all our identifications [11-16].

Psychodynamic psychotherapy – i.e. talk therapy with focus on emotions and sexuality – have been proven extremely effective; 95% have been helped and the help is often a cure [17-19]. Holistic medicine has recently in the USA been found extremely effective for cancer and coronary heart disease, with 80% of the patients or so helped within 3-6 month [20-22]. These are amazing results.

Similar results have been found for a number of existentially oriented talk-and touch therapies [23,24]. Methods that combine talk and touch therapy to help the patient FEEL, UNDERSTAND and LET GO of negative beliefs have in general been found very effective, with amazing NNT numbers [1 or 2] and totally harmless. And the wonderful thing is that these methods seem to help a wide range of clinical conditions – almost all types of patients can be helped [25]. And these results are also found in meta analyses made by independent researchers.

See, this is good, evidence-based medicine. So we have after all come a long way in medicine.

The true problem of therapy is that some people just seem to have what it takes to become a therapist; they are good from the beginning of their practice even without education and training and they become only a little better as years go by Goleman [26]. And then there are the other group of therapist; the hardworking people with good intentions and little talent who will do much but accomplish little [27].

As we have not been able to teach these therapists how to become good therapist we obviously do not understand what it is that makes a good therapist.

In my experience it is about love. Some people simply love other people. These people can help almost everybody – because of their love and serve you. Find a therapist with whom you have a good chemistry, a person you can feel you can trust and love yourself. If you can find such a person this is your best chance for getting the help you need to change your life.

Everybody can heal, everybody can become happy. But to heal from a serious disease we need to change from a very deep place within ourselves. Facilitating this inner change that in the end will transform our whole being and experience of life is what all effective medicine is about [31].
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