Estimates of Repeatability and Heritability of Egg Number in Sasso Hens in a Tropical Environment
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to derive variance components, and hence, estimate repeatability and heritability of weekly egg production in Sasso hens. The birds, each with an identification number, were randomly selected and kept in individual battery cages which permitted open ventilation. Egg production records of thirty eight (out of the initial fifty four stocked) Sasso birds with consistency in lay from week 31 to week 38 of age were utilized for subsequent analyses. The effect of age (week) on egg production was determined using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure. Means were separated using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 95% confidence interval. The components of variance were estimated using the PROC VARCOMP option of restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method of the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure to generate repeatability and heritability coefficients. The mean egg number per week was 3.51 with coefficient of variation of 19.0-23.4%. Egg production appeared to increase with age which was significant (P<0.05) from week 37-38. The variance component based on combined ages of the birds was 0.049 while that of the residual was 0.551. Repeatability estimate (R ± S.E.) was low (0.082 ± 0.011). However, the estimate of heritability (h2 ± S.E.) for egg number in the current population was moderate (0.326 ± 0.011). Based on the heritability coefficient, elite birds with the best average performance for week 31-38 of age could be selected as parents to produce offspring with optimal egg production.
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Introduction

Total world egg production is said to be 78% (by weight) of that of poultry meat production and importance is being attached to its continuous production in a greater magnitude globally [1] to meet the demand of the ever growing population. To guarantee sustainable egg production therefore, there is a need for systematic genetic improvement through the selection of elite birds. The most important trait in layer selection programs remains egg production [2]. In Nigeria, genetic parameters such as repeatability and heritability are given prominence in determining appropriate animal evaluation [3,4]. Repeatability has been described as a term to estimate the extent of the consistency of the performance or behaviour of an individual over time [5]. On the other hand, the heritability of a trait, in the narrow sense, is the proportion of the total phenotypic variation that is due to additive genetic effect, which can be passed from the parents to the progeny.

There have been previous attempts at determining the repeatability and heritability estimates of egg production traits in layers in Nigeria [6-8]. However, there is dearth of reports on the genetic parameter estimates of the newly introduced Sasso chickens in the country. This study, therefore, aimed at estimating repeatability and heritability based on weekly egg production of Sasso birds in a tropical environment of Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

The guidelines on research ethics by International Council for Laboratory Animal Science and NC3Rs ARRIVE (Animals in Research: Reporting in Vivo Experiments) were strictly followed in the conduct of this experiment.

Experimental site

The research work was carried out at the Livestock Section of the Teaching and Research Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Shabu-Lafia Campus, Lafia. It is located within the guinea savannah zone of North Central Nigeria and lies on latitude 08° 35N and longitude 08° 33E, respectively.

Experimental birds and their management

A total of Fifty four (54) Sasso hens were each kept in a battery cage. The birds, which were 30 weeks old, were arranged randomly with eighteen birds per replicate. Each bird was tagged with an identification number. The birds were fed commercial layer mash (Vital Feed) from week 30 to week 38 of rearing and supplied clean water ad libitum. There was strict adherence to routine vaccination and medication. Other management practices were in accordance with the description of Yakubu et al. [9].

Data collection

The egg production records of a total of thirty eight (38) birds with consistency in lay from week 31 to week 38 were used for further
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analysis. Eggs were collected twice (morning and evening) on a daily basis through the duration of the experiment.

Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure was used to determine the effect of week on egg production. Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to separate the means at 95% confidence interval. Variance components for repeatability and heritability estimates were estimated using the PROC VARCOMP of restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method of the General Linear Model procedure of SPSS [10]. The linear additive model used was:

\[
y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}
\]

where,

\(Y_{ij}\) = Observation on the jth egg number of the ith age of birds;

\(\mu\) = Overall population;

\(\alpha_i\) = effect of age variance of birds (31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 and 38 weeks of age);

\(e_{ij}\) = error term.

Repeatability indicates the ratio between individual components to the total phenotypic variance which measures the correlation between repeated measurements of the same individual as indicated below [11]:

\[
R = \frac{V_G + V_E}{V_P}
\]

where,

\(R\) = repeatability;

\(V_G\) = genotypic variance;

\(V_E\) = general environmental variance;

\(V_P\) = phenotypic variance.

Computationally, \(R\) was derived using the method of Becker [12] as follows:

\[
R = \frac{\sigma^2 B}{\sigma^2 B + \sigma^2 W}
\]

where,

\(\sigma^2 B\) = variance component of the bird;

\(\sigma^2 W\) = variance component of error.

The standard error (S.E.) of repeatability \((R)\) was calculated as:

\[
S.E. = \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - t)^2[1 + (K - 1)t]}{K(K - 1)(N - 1)}}
\]

where,

\(t\) = intraclass correlation \((t=1/4 h^2 S)\);

\(K\) = number of records per age of bird;

\(N\) = total number of observations.

The estimate of the narrow-sense heritability \((h^2 S)\) for egg production was calculated as indicated below following the method of Becker [12]:

\[
h^2 S = \frac{4\sigma^2 S}{\sigma^2 S + \sigma^2 W}
\]

where,

\(h^2 S\) = narrow sense heritability based on paternal half-sib correlation;

\(\sigma^2 S\) = sire (bird) variance component;

\(\sigma^2 W\) = error variance component.

The standard error (S.E.) of heritability \((h^2 S)\) was calculated as:

\[
S.E. = \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - t)^2[1 + (K - 1)t]}{K(K - 1)(N - 1)}}
\]

Results and Discussion

The mean egg number (3.51) with minimum and maximum values of 2 and 6 per week is shown in Table 1. The effect of age on egg number was found to be significant \((P<0.05)\). Egg production tended to increase with age which was significant from week 37 to week 38. Although the coefficient of variation did not follow a definite pattern, its values (19.0-23.4%) are high enough; an indication that there is room for the improvement of egg production trait in the birds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week of production</th>
<th>Population size</th>
<th>Minimum value</th>
<th>Maximum value</th>
<th>Mean production</th>
<th>egg Standard deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.29c</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.32c</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.24c</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.47bc</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.53bc</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.53bc</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Descriptive statistics of weekly egg production showing the effect of age. \( ^{ab} \) Means along column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>K-value</th>
<th>Variance component</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of birds</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>91.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Variance components of egg production trait. \( h^2 \)S=Narrow Sense Heritability Estimate; R=Repeatability Estimate; S.E.=Standard Error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>K-value</th>
<th>Variance component</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of birds</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>91.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The present heritability estimate is similar to the 0.30 ± 0.02-0.36 ± 0.04 reported by Biscarini et al. [16] but higher than the range of 0.17 ± 0.02-0.28 ± 0.11 reported by Oloforuh-Okoleh [6]. Nurgiartiningsih et al. [15] reported a range between 0.01 and 0.45 for egg production of hen-housed and survivor production while it varied from 0.15 to 0.51 in the submission of Ferreira et al. [17]. However, Unver et al. [18] gave estimates between 0.24 ± 0.12 and 0.54 ± 0.11 for the sire component of heritability (\( h^2_p \)) with the highest recorded in birds that were 31-40 weeks of age. This phase of egg production is close to the 31-38 weeks of age of the current study; an indication that it could be prioritized when taking some breeding and management decisions.

**Figure 1:** Repeatability and heritability coefficients for egg production.

The additive genetic variance is the contribution of the birds to the total variance component. Its present value is higher than the 2.3 and 4.5% recorded by El-Labban et al. [19]. Additive gene action seems to be important for egg number in the present study. Since egg production is a quantitative trait influenced by both genotypic and environmental variance and their interaction, the implication of the present finding is that there is room for early selection of Sasso birds in terms of egg production. Birds with the best average performance for week 31-38 of age stand a better chance of being selected; as selection on the parents will likely produce inherited changes in the offspring. This early selection, according to Ferreira et al. [17], may lead to a reduction in the range of subsequent generations, decrease in cost of production with concomitant increase in annual profit. This is in tandem with the report of a study carried out on a related species by Ribeiro et al. [20]. However, the variations in the repeatability and heritability estimates of our own study and those earlier carried out might be attributed to the genetic potential of the birds, age differential, sample size and other non-genetic components such as the environment including the methods of estimation.

**Conclusion**

The moderate heritability estimate obtained in the present study indicates that selection of birds could be done at this phase (31-38 week of age) of production to improve egg production. Low estimate was however observed in the case of repeatability. However, both genetic parameters could be improved upon by incorporating more egg production records and increasing the sample size in addition to better rearing environment.
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