Effectiveness of a Commercially Available Orthotic Insert
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Abstract

Background: Foot pain is a non-specific complaint among individuals who stand for extended periods of time. Prefabricated inserts have been effective for increasing balance and managing pain. One manufacturer has developed a line of general inserts for that purpose. The purpose of this study was to examine the effectiveness of a commercially available orthotic insert on balance, pain, and plantar foot pressures as well as to determine if enhancing balance may decrease pain.

Methods: Twenty-three subjects with at least 6 months non-specific foot pain participated and were issued a commercially available orthotic insert after screening. The Sensory Organization Test composite score was used to assess balance on the NeuroCom® Balance Manager SMART EquiTest. Foot Function Index (FFI) and Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) were used to assess pain. GAITRite was utilized to assess plantar foot pressures.

Results: Foot pain was decreased after one week with use of the commercially available orthotic insert. Increased balance scores were noted between day 1 without inserts and after day 7 of insert wear and also between day 1 with inserts and day 7. Decreases in pain were noted between pre balance testing without inserts (day 1) and after day 7 as well as immediately after balance testing with inserts (day 1) and day 7. Among the females, right lateromedial foot pressures increased from day 1 without inserts and immediately after the addition of inserts. An inverse relationship was observed between the NPRS and the composite balance scores after 1 week of insert wear.

Conclusions: Results indicate that a commercially available orthotic insert may be effective in managing foot pain and increasing balance after one week. Additionally, inserts appear to shift the right foot pressures from the predominately medial aspect of the foot to the lateral aspect of the foot for females but not males.
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Introduction

Non-specific foot pain is a common complaint among individuals who stand for extended periods of time [1]. Inserts are often prescribed to reduce non-specific foot pain in daily activities [2]. The use of well-fitting orthotic inserts can help redistribute pressure on the foot and improve balance, and therefore may decrease pain [3]. However, there is no clear information to help determine the effectiveness of an insert. One manufacturer has developed a line of general inserts designed to properly fit each individual based on arch height and body mass index (BMI). There are two types of orthotic inserts. In this study, prefabricated inserts refer to those that can be purchased over-the-counter at a local retail store. Custom-made orthotic inserts are defined as those that have been molded to an individual’s foot by a certified clinician. Research has found no difference in plantar pressures when comparing contoured prefabricated and custom-made inserts [4]. Stolwijk et al. [3] concluded that although custom made insoles were effective in reducing peak pressure and average pressure, there was not a greater difference in plantar pressure redistribution between insole designs. As such, they advised having a general insole design that can adapt according to foot complaints and arch height [3].

Arch height can also be an important factor in distribution of plantar pressures. Higher arch height is associated with greater lateral forefoot pressure when walking [5] and standing [6]. Lower arch individuals may have increased pressure under the midfoot [6] or hallux and medial mid-foot [7]. Hegedus et al. [8] found that longitudinal arch height does not affect pain. However, high BMI has also been found to influence height of arches [6]. Ankle instability can increase the risk of falls leading to sprains, fractures, and chronic problems. Properly fitted orthotics can increase balance as can the addition of simple exercises [9-11]. Research has reported advantages of inserts for increasing balance, but few studies have compared the effectiveness of these different inserts [12]. Non-specific foot pain negatively affects a person’s ability to perform activities of daily living [1,2,13]. A study suggests that custom-made foot orthoses are effective for pain reduction in the pes cavus foot [14]. A study has found inserts useful in reducing pain on walking and improving activity of daily living performance [15]. Conversely, other studies have not shown significant difference in the use of custom-made orthotics to decrease pain [1,16]. While research supports the use of inserts for pathologies causing foot pain such as rheumatoid arthritis [13] and plantar fasciitis [15,16], there is limited support for the effectiveness of inserts for non-specific foot pain [3]. The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of a commercially available orthotic insert on plantar foot pressure, balance, and pain. The authors hypothesized that pain would be decreased, plantar pressures would be distributed more efficiently.
and balance would be increased. It was additionally hypothesized that enhancing balance may decrease pain.

Methods

Design

A single group, quasi-experimental, within-subjects design using one way ANOVA repeated measures was used to determine the effectiveness of Dr. Scholl’s Custom Fit orthotic inserts on plantar foot pressures, balance, and pain on walking and balance. Paired samples t-test was performed for Functional Foot Index (FFI) day 1 versus day 7. Pain and balance were assessed pre- and post-inserts and 1 week after insert wear utilizing the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and the Sensory Organization Test (SOT), respectively. A one-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze balance and pain differences with the NPRS, and differences between right and left lateromedial (L/M) foot pressures for males and females. Spearman’s rho (ρ) was used to assess the relationship between the NPRS and the balance scores after 1 week of insert wear.

Subjects

Twenty-three subjects (10 males and 13 females) at least 18 years of age, mean age 34.2 years (+12.4 SD), with at least 6 months foot pain participated. Subjects were volunteers from a sample of convenience from the local Medical Center and the general population in Bowling Green, Kentucky. A screening questionnaire was given to determine each individual’s health status and ability to participate based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria for subjects consisted of adults with the following: non-specific foot pain for at least 6 months, ability to ambulate without an assistive device, and English speaking. Subjects were excluded from the study if they were currently wearing Dr. Scholl's® Custom Fit® orthotic inserts, had acute pain or trauma within the last 6 months, had uncontrolled vestibular pathology. Subjects were instructed to rate current pain using the NPRS. Using a 0-10 scale with verbal anchors of 0 'no pain' and 10 'worst pain imaginable.' A total of 60 represents the worst pain possible on this subscale. The scores for the 6 pain items were measured with a tape measure, added up, and divided by 60 to give the individual's cumulative pain score. For this index, a higher score indicates worsening foot health on a 0-100% range. The FFI is a self-report outcome measure which took the subjects approximately 5 minutes to complete; the FFI has been shown to have a high test-retest reliability (ICC=0.87) and high internal reliability (Cronbach’s alpha=0.96) [20].

SMART balance manager

The NeuroCom® Balance Manager-SMART EquiTest SOT was used to measure the subject's ability to control center of gravity in a variety of conditions. This machine is a three-sided booth with a moveable force plate, monitor, and safety harness for the subject. Both the booth and the force plate are sway-referenced, meaning they are sensitive to the person's sway and will move according to how much sway the person undergoes during different conditions of the SOT [19].

Foot function index (FFI)

The FFI is a 20 item questionnaire assessing 3 subscales: pain (6 questions), disability (9 questions), and activity limitation (5 questions) [20]. The FFI was used to assess foot pain for each subject over the course of one week rather than a specific moment in time. In this study, only the pain subsection was utilized. It was scored on a 10 centimeter visual analog scale with verbal anchors of 0 'no pain' and 10 'worst pain imaginable'. A total of 60 represents the worst pain possible on this subscale. The scores for the 6 pain items were measured with a tape measure, added up, and divided by 60 to give the individual's cumulative pain score. For this index, a higher score indicates worsening foot health on a 0-100% range. The FFI is a self-report outcome measure which took the subjects approximately 5 minutes to complete; the FFI has been shown to have a high test-retest reliability (ICC=0.87) and high internal reliability (Cronbach’s alpha=0.96) [20].

Numeric pain rating scale (NPRS)

The NPRS is a frequently utilized measurement of pain. This tool consists of a verbal pain rating on a 0-10 scale. The 0 rating was described as having “no pain at all.” The 10 rating was described as having “worst imaginable pain.” The minimally clinical important difference (MCID) for this tool was shown to be 2 points on average with a raw reduction of 30% for chronic pain [21]. A 1 point reduction of pain has shown to be consistent with a 15% reduction in chronic pain [22]. In that same study, a 2 point reduction correlated with a 33% change. This was associated with the concept of “much better” improvement in chronic pain.

Procedures

After initial screening and completion of informed consent, each subject who met the inclusion criteria was instructed to go to a local retail store to utilize the Dr. Scholl’s® FootMapping® Kiosk. The Kiosk provided each subject a Custom Fit® orthotic insert number based on arch height, body type, and shoe size [25]. An orthotic insert was then issued to each subject by the researchers based on this number on day 1 of testing.

On day 1 of testing, each subject was given a questionnaire to determine the effects of foot pain on daily activities and then instructed to rate current pain using the NPRS. Using a counterbalanced order, balance and gait assessments were conducted using the Balance Manager and GAITRite scale, respectively.

Footfall pressures while walking on the GAITRite were used to measure plantar foot pressures (Figure 1).
Each subject was instructed to stand behind the GAITRite approximately 2 meters then ambulate across the walkway at a comfortable speed to a set stopping point placed 1 meter beyond the mat. Each was given two trials, the first one being considered as practice.

Balance was assessed using the SOT, which utilizes a computerized system to evaluate sensory interactions in standing balance [23]. The SOT, which has been determined to have good test-retest reliability [24], provides valuable information about whether an individual can use inputs from the visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular systems to maintain balance as well as suppress inaccurate sensory information. To perform this test, subjects were asked to step onto the platform with their shoes on and progress through the 6 conditions (Figure 2).

They were instructed to maintain an upright posture as much as possible. Three trials of each condition were performed lasting 20 seconds per trial. Subjects were given 30 second rest period between conditions. Subjects and testers were blinded to the scores until data analysis was completed.

The FFI was administered pre inserts before testing and then again post inserts on day 7 before testing. Before and after each balance test, pain was assessed using the NPRS. After obtaining the recommended prefabricated insert from a local Dr. Scholl's® Custom Fit® kiosk (Figure 3), the second tests were performed as described for baseline testing except that subjects were wearing the inserts to determine the immediate effects of the inserts.

Subjects were instructed to wear the recommended inserts as much as possible for 7 days following initial testing, keeping a subjective log of hours and comments. On day 7, the subject returned for the third session and completed the FFI; the subject then began with the first test, pain was reassessed, the next test was completed, and the final pain was assessed. Table 1 describes the testing process for all test sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1/ pre-inserts</th>
<th>Day 1/ post-inserts</th>
<th>Day 7/post-inserts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foot Function Index</td>
<td>Foot Function Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Master</td>
<td>Balance Master</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAITRite</td>
<td>GAITRite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiosk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Example layout of testing scheme.
measure ANOVAs were performed to determine statistical differences between groups. The sample size was determined utilizing an effect size of 0.40, a power of 0.80 and 2 degrees of freedom (since there were 2 independent variables and time had 2 levels). It was concluded that 21 subjects were needed plus an additional 4 to account for a 20% attrition rate. All data were analyzed using SPSS 21.0 [26]. Foot pressures recorded by the GAITRite” system were displayed in 12 grids representing different areas of the foot (Figure 1). Medial and lateral peak pressure data were analyzed from the 6 grids representing the medial and lateral sides of each footprint to represent the amount of pressure displayed during pronation and supination, respectively. From the multiple footprints, averages were calculated for lateromedial peak pressure ratios.

**Results**

FFI pain differences were observed initially (45.47, SD=17.13) and after 1 week of insert wear (28.46, SD=20.99), t22=3.66, P=0.001. Balance scores differed, F2,44=16.071, P<0.0005 with increased scores noted between day 1 without inserts (73.26, SD=6.59) and after day 7 of insert wear (77.61, SD 6.97, P<0.000) and also between day 1 with inserts (72.87, SD 9.12) and day 7 (P<0.000). A one-way repeated measures ANOVA indicated decreases in NPRS values (F2,44=8.745, P=0.001) between pre balance testing without inserts (day 1) (2.65, SD=1.97) and pain after day 7 balance testing with inserts (1.35, SD=1.43, P=0.002) as well as between pain after balance testing with inserts (day 1) (2.22, SD=1.83) and day 7 (P=0.011). Right L/M foot pressures increased for females (F2,24=5.10, P=0.014) from day 1 without inserts (1.03, SD=0.16) and with addition of inserts (day 1) (1.20, SD=0.20, P=0.04). An inverse relationship using Spearman’s rho (ρ(21) = -.426, P=0.043) was observed between the NPRS and the SOT composite balance scores after 1 week of insert wear.

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of a commercially available orthotic insert on plantar foot pressure, balance, and pain. Results indicate that the Dr. Scholl’s Custom Fit® orthotic inserts may be effective in managing foot pain and increasing balance after one week as balance improved and pain decreased as well as improving right lateromedial plantar pressures in females, suggesting a decrease in foot pronation.

Previous literature has examined the effectiveness of custom-made orthotic use on pain. Foot pain has been shown to decrease with the prescription of custom-made foot orthoses [14].

The current study supported this finding, with a significant reduction in pain on the NPRS at a single point in time and on the FFI looking at a week-long assessment. Amer et al. [2], determined that insole use is an effective treatment for women to reduce pain severity and improve daily activities. However, in that study, men showed no statistical significance in pain reduction. This is refuted in the present study as both men and women had a significant decline in pain and improved function with the addition of inserts. In contrast to a study performed by Zhang [1] in which subjects did not report significant pain relief within 6 weeks wearing custom--made orthotics, subjects wearing Dr. Scholl’s Custom Fit® orthotic inserts reported improved pain levels within 1 week. However, pain in the study by Zhang was minimal at the beginning of the study allowing for no significant improvement with addition of inserts.

In a study performed by Gross et al. [27], the authors concluded that custom-made semi- rigid foot orthoses improved static and dynamic standing balance in older individuals. However, as opposed to the current study, Gross et al. [27] found no significant improvement in follow-up balance scores after each subject had worn the orthoses for 2 weeks. Additionally, they found a significant improvement in balance scores with the immediate insertion of orthoses. Mattacola et al. [28] found that custom-made orthoses were beneficial in improving postural sway and bilateral stance equilibrium when using the NeuroCom Smart Balance Master®. Their research also revealed that custom-made orthoses improved balance in individuals with rearfoot malalignment over time. Although rearfoot alignment was not measured in the current study, balance scores improved in subjects after wearing Dr. Scholl's Custom Fit Orthotics for one week.

By increasing lateromedial pressure, the right foot revealed a decrease in medial plantar foot pressure, thereby potentially alleviating a possible over-pronated position. Farzadi et al. [29] found medial arch support from prefabricated orthosis decreased the peak pressure and maximal force under the hallux, first metatarsal, and metatarsals 3-5 in one month in females. In agreement to this current study, Farzadi et al. [29] further discussed the redistribution of medial plantar pressures preventing possible pronated pathologies including hallux valgus. Redmond et al. [4] also found a reduction of medial pressures specifically the medial forefoot by approximately 20% with usage of prefabricated as well as custom-made orthotics. However, unlike the present study, Redmond et al. [4] did not assess patient-related factors such as pain. In agreement with this study, Aminian et al. [30] found that plantar pressures can be redistributed efficiently with immediate medial longitudinal arch support in prefabricated insoles.

Findings of this study will improve the clinical knowledge of the effectiveness of a commercially available orthotic insert on increasing balance, decreasing pain, and redistributing plantar foot pressures from medial to lateral. Dr. Scholl’s Custom Fit® categories with their Custom Fit® orthotic inserts based on arch height and BMI similar to a previous research suggestion by Stolwijk et al. [3] to have a few general designs for non-specific foot pain complaints. This allows patients the opportunity to purchase a customizable insert at a prefabricated insert cost. Redmond et al. [4] also suggested that prefabricated insoles have the potential to be as effective as custom-made orthotics with a lesser cost.

Limitations included potential for learning effects as well as carryover effects [31]. The subjects were provided a practice trial with the different instrumentations to reduce potential of learning effects. Additionally, results did not indicate a significant difference in balance trials occurring in the same day. An attempt was made to decrease the impact of the carryover effect by allowing one week between day 1 and day 7, however, more time could have been allowed between day 1 without inserts and day 1 with inserts.

Future research needs to be continued to improve the validity of this study. A larger sample size should be gathered to determine if a difference in the effectiveness of these inserts on pressure can be reproduced for the male population. BMI should also be assessed to recognize any significance on pain reduction, pressure distribution, and improved balance with a large or small body type and the addition of a commercially available orthotic insert. Arch height may have a hand in predicting the success of these inserts for people with a high arch versus a low arch, which should also be examined with future studies. Future research should include pre and post resting foot alignment measurement to confirm if the subjects were predominantly

---

pronators. Additional research is necessary to determine the long-term effectiveness of a commercially available insert.

Conclusion

Results indicate that the Dr. Scholl’s Custom Fit® orthotic inserts may be effective in managing foot pain and increasing balance after one week as balance improved and pain decreased. Additionally, right foot pressures appeared to change from that of medially dominated pressure to lateral after addition of inserts for females. This research suggests that individuals who have been experiencing foot pain may have a decrease in pain and more efficient balance when utilizing a commercially available orthotic insert.
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