Effect of Grape Seed Procyanidins Extract on Lipolysis in Rats

Fangxu X1, Yefei L1, Xinzhe Z2 and Shengzhong D1*

1Experimental Teaching Center, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, Liaoning, People’s Republic of China
2College of Life Science, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang, Liaoning, People’s Republic of China

Abstract

The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of grape seed procyanidins extract (GSPE) on lipolysis in rats. Body weight, serum lip level, glucose tolerance, insulin tolerance and gene expression level of FAS, ACC1, Cpt1a and HSL in liver of standard diet (SD) or high fat diet (HFD) treated rats by gavage, and to show the dose-independent manner. Furthermore, application of 150 mg kg-1d-1 GSPE was found to be most effective in suppressing FAS and ACC1 genes expression levels and increasing Cpt1a and HSL genes expression levels in liver of SD (P<0.05) and HFD (P<0.01) treated rats compared to control. Based on these results, we concluded that GSPE can inhibit the lipid deposition of HFD treated rats, furthermore, it can also influence the gene expression levels of related with lipid metabolism and the glucose-tolerance and insulin-tolerance to co-regulate the lipid metabolism in obese rats.
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Introduction

Obesity has become a very serious social problem that severely endangers the public health [1,2]. It means an over-deposition of fat results from disequilibrium of lipid metabolism and to much intake of calorie, which closely associated with the occurrence of diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases and insulin resistance [3-5]. Clinical studies have shown that obesity is an independent risk factor of diabetes and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases which affect blood pressure, blood glucose and serum lipid level [6-8]. Procyanidins (PC) as a kind of natural powerful antioxidant has been proven to have the function of antioxidation and radical scavenging action which derived from fruit, vegetable, nut, seeds, flowers and pericarp [9]. It is reported that the antioxidative and absorbing ability of PC from seeds is better than the others [10]. Studies shows that the content of PC is high in species of grape seeds, and PC is the main polyphenols in grape seed extract [11,12]. Lluis and Yamakoshi have demonstrated that PC and grape seed procyanidins extract (GSPE) have no toxicity to rats or negative effects on their growth by micronucleus and bacterial reverse mutation tests, which can be widely used [13,14]. As the source of PC, GSPE has obtained much attention from many authors, and deeply developed during recent years [15-17]. So far, there have been few reports on the effects of GSPE on prevention and treatment of obesity. It has been reported that PC can decrease body weight [18], triacylglycerol (TAG), aliphatic acid and the concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) of rats [10]. Pinent has reported that PC is effective in decreasing blood glucose level and improving insulin resistance of rats by regulating the signal path of insulin. The aim of our study is to evaluate the efficiency of 50, 100 and 150 mg kg-1d-1 of GSPE in decreasing body weight, serum lip level and blood glucose value, improving the trend of high blood glucose and insulin resistance, suppressing FAS and ACC1 genes expression levels and increasing Cpt1a and HSL genes expression levels in liver of standard diet (SD) or high fat diet (HFD) treated rats by gavage, and to illuminate the effect of GSPE on fat deposition and provide a basis for action mechanism of anti-obesity of GSPE.

Materials and Methods

GSPE preparation

GSPE is a polymer with catechinic acid, epicatechin and gallocatein covalent bonds by C4-C6 or C4-C8. GSPE powder was obtained from Tianjin Jianfeng Natural Product Rand D Co., Ltd, China and the purity was above 95% which stored in a sealed and light-sensitive package until being dissolved in ultrapure water prior to use. The GSPE powder utilized in our study was obtained from the same source with the same batch number.

Animals and experimental design

Eighty male Kunming rats (12 ± 2 g) were provided by Laboratory Animal Center, Shenyang Medical College and maintained in animal facility at controlled temperature (23 ± 2 °C) with a 12-h light/dark cycle. Preliminary experiment indicated that 50 mg kg-1 d-1 GSPE was the lowest concentration that gave an obvious lipolysis. So these rats were divided into 4 groups of twenty rats each, which were treated by gavage as follow: (1) untreated; (2) with 50 mg kg-1 d-1 of GSPE; (3) with 100 mg kg-1 d-1 of GSPE; (4) with 150 mg kg-1 d-1 of GSPE. Then half of every group was fed with SD and the others were fed with HFD for 16 d. The SD consisted of 5% fat, 70% carbohydrate, and 25% proteins, and the HFD consisted of 39% fat, 45% carbohydrate, and 16% protein [19]. Body weight was measured every 4d. The rats were fasting for 12 h before experiment, and the rats were killed by cervical dislocation. Their blood was collected, and plasma processed for serum lip level assessment and the adipose tissue from liver region was carefully dissected for expressions of lipid-associated genes assessment.
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Measurement of serum lip level

Total cholesterol (TC) was determined by COD-PAP method. 2 μL of serum was added in a elisa plate of 96 holes (Sino-American Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China) with a 2 μL quantitative pipettor, and 2 μL of standard liquid and 200 μL enzyme liquid were added in the standard orifice. TC content (mmol L⁻¹) was determined at 650 nm with an ELISA biochemical analyzer (ZS-2, Beijing Xinfeng Electromechanical Technology Co., Ltd., China), after thoroughly mixing at 37°C for 10 min. TAG was determined with glycerol phosphate oxidase method. 10 μL of distilled water and 1000 μL of enzyme liquid were added in the blank tube, and 10 μL of standard liquid and 1000 μL of enzyme liquid were added in the standard tube. In addition, 10 μL of serum and 1000 μL of enzyme liquid were added in the detected tube. After thoroughly mixing at 37°C for 5 min, TAG content was determined at 500 nm with an ELISA biochemical analyzer (ZS-2, Beijing Xinfeng Electromechanical Technology Co Ltd China). TAG content (mmol L⁻¹)=(A of detected tube/A of standard tube) × 2.26. High density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and LDL-C were determined with phosphotungstic acid-magnesium precipitation method [20] and enzyme directory method [21]. HDL-C and LDL-C were conducted according to the operation instruction of HDL-C and LDL-C Reagent Kit (Zhongsheng Beikong Bio-Technology and Science Inc, China).

Measurement of glucose tolerance

Fasted rats were injected intraperitoneally with glucose (2 g (kg body weight)⁻¹). Blood samples were taken from the tip of the tail to determine blood glucose before (0 min) and after the glucose injection (30, 60, 90 and 120 min). The glucose response to glucose tolerance was calculated as the area under the glucose curve for each rat (SPSS Inc Chicago USA) [22].

Measurement of insulin tolerance

Fasted rats were injected intraperitoneally with insulin (0.75 IU (kg body weight)⁻¹). Blood samples were taken from the tip of the tail to determine blood glucose before (0 min) and after the insulin injection (30, 60, 90 and 120 min). The glucose response to insulin tolerance was calculated as the area under the glucose curve for each rat (SPSS Inc Chicago USA) [22].

RNA extraction and cDNA preparation

Total RNA from epididymal adipose tissue of rats was extracted according to the instructions of RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa Code: D9108A). Reverse transcription (RT) was conducted as follows according to the instructions of PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit Perfect Real Time (TaKaRa Code: DRR037A). RNA template (500 ng), 5 x PrimeScript buffer (2 μL), 50 μM Oligo dT Primer (0.5 μL), PrimeScript RT Enzyme Mix I (0.5 μL) and DEPC treated water (7 μL) were mixed in 0.1-mL eppendorf tubes at 37°C for 15 min. The tubes were immediately put into ice for 5 min. The products of RT were stored at -20°C for future use.

Real-Time PCR analysis

The mRNA was quantified by using real-time PCR (ABI Prism 7700, USA). The primers were designed on the basis of common sequences with the Primer Express software 5.0 (Applied Biosystems) and synthesized by Beijing Dingguo Biological Technology Co Ltd China (Table 1). The premix mixture for real-time PCR Master Mix was produced by Beijing Dingguo Biological Technology Co Ltd China. Real-time PCR was carried out with the real-time PCR Master Mix according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each reaction contained template cDNA (1.0 μL), 10 μM sense primer (0.8 μL), 10 μM antisense primer (0.8 μL), SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ (TaKaRa Code: DRR0815) (10.0 μL) and RNase-free ddH₂O (7.4 μL). The total volume of the reaction system was 20 μL. The thermal cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 s followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 35 s. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR was performed in three replicates for each sample.

Statistical analysis

Analyses of data were carried out by one-way ANOVA in SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistic differences were considered to be significant at P<0.05 by Duncan’s multiple range test. All results were expressed as the mean ± SE.

Results

Effect of GSPE on body weight

In the present study, the results showed that body weight of SD and HFD treated rats gradually increased. There was decrease in body weight of various doses of GSPE-treated rats in comparison with control group, but no statistically significant difference (P>0.05) was found between the body weight of untreated and every doses of GSPE-treated rats during the first four days (Figure 1). Results showed that body weight of 150 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE-treated rats was significant (P<0.01) inhibited by approximately 7-14% (Figure 1A) and 9-17% (Figure 1B) compared to the controls from days 8 to 16. Moreover, body weight of rats treated with 100 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE were significant (P<0.01) inhibited by 7-10% (Figure 1A) and 12-13% (Figure 1B) from days 12 to 16. The increase of body weight of SD and HFD treated rats were controlled by 50 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE, but no statistically significant difference (P>0.05) was observed in comparison with control group.

Effect of GSPE on serum lip level

As shown in Table 2, effect of GSPE on serum lip level of SD and HFD treated rats showed a similar trend. For SD and HFD treated rats, 50 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE produced no statistically significant effect on serum lip level in comparison with the control. However, in comparison to the control group, 100 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE noticeably (P<0.05) reduced TC, TAG and HDL-C and increased LDL-C of SD and HFD treated rats (Table 2). In addition, the effect of 150 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE on TC, TAG and HDL-C of SD and HFD treated rats were significantly (P<0.01) compared to the control, although no noticeable effect was detected on LDL-C compared to 100 mg kg⁻¹ of GSPE-treated rats in the current study (Table 2). The results showed that there is significant positive correlation between TC and TAG (R=0.894, P=0.05), and LDL-C was significantly negatively correlated with HDL-C in our study (R=−0.857, P=0.05) (data not shown).

Table 1: Sequences of primers and probes for quantitative analysis of genes expression with real-time PCR.
Effect of GSPE on glucose tolerance

The effect of GSPE on glucose tolerance of SD and HFD treated rats were shown in Figure 2. As shown in Figure 2, blood glucose values of each group gradually increased and reach the peak at 60 min after the injection of insulin. As for SD treated rats, blood glucose value of GSPE-treated rats were all lower than the control group, but only 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE treatment showed a statistically significant difference (P<0.05) compared with the control (Figure 2A). 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE treatment decreased blood glucose level by 10.9% when compared with the untreated samples at 60 min (Figure 2A). The results suggested that there was no observable effect on the change of blood glucose value for low concentration of GSPE. However, as for HFD treated rats, 50, 100 and 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE decreased blood glucose value by 2.9%, 8.9% and 14.9% compared with the control at 60 min, respectively (Figure 2B). Moreover, 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE treatment significantly (P<0.05) decreased the blood glucose value comparing to the control groups. Figure 2B also shows that 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE was the most effective in decreasing blood glucose value of HFD treated rats, and this was significant (P<0.01) when compared with control samples. This indicated that 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE was potential for improving the ability of decrease blood glucose value of HFD treated rats.

Effect of GSPE on insulin tolerance

Insulin tolerance in our study showed an opposite trend comparing with glucose tolerance, and showed was a negative relationship with glucose tolerance in our study (R=-0.891, P=0.05) (data not shown) (Figure 3). Figure 3 shows that blood glucose values of each group gradually decreased and reach the lowest value at 60 min after the injection of insulin. Similarly, only blood glucose value of SD treated rats treating with 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE was significantly (P<0.05) lower compared with the control. Furthermore, the lowest value of blood glucose value at 60 min in SD treated rats was 2.1 mmol/L, which was 19.2% lower than compared with the control (Figure 3A). In addition, as for HFD treated rats, 50, 100 and 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE decreased blood glucose value by 5.7%, 17.1% and 40% after the injection of insulin compared with the control at 60 min, respectively (Figure 3B). What is more, 100 and 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE remain exhibited excellent effect which was similar to the results of Figure 2B. A significantly (P<0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rats</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>TG</th>
<th>HDL-C</th>
<th>LDL-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.02 a</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.10 a</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.07 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg kg(^{-1}) d(^{-1}) of GSPE</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.01 a</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.02 a</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.05 a</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.03 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mg kg(^{-1}) d(^{-1}) of GSPE</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.05 b</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.02 b</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.02 b</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.02 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 mg kg(^{-1}) d(^{-1}) of GSPE</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.04 c</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.05 c</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.03 c</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.07 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFD</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.08 a</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.01 a</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.05 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg kg(^{-1}) d(^{-1}) of GSPE</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.01 a</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.06 a</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.03 a</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.02 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mg kg(^{-1}) d(^{-1}) of GSPE</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.03 b</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.02 b</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.05 b</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.07 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 mg kg(^{-1}) d(^{-1}) of GSPE</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.04 c</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.02 c</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.09 c</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.09 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All means in the same column followed by different letters (a-c) are significantly (P<0.05) different by Duncan’s multiple range test.

Table 2: Effect of GSPE on serum lip level of standard diet and high fat diet treated rats.

lower blood glucose value in 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE treatment was found with respect to the control. In particular,150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE relatively showed best effect, which was significant (P<0.01) compared with the control group (Figure 3B). Thus, our results demonstrated that 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE was appropriate to improve insulin sensibility of HFD treated rats.

Gene expression of lipid-associated genes in liver

Lipid content in animal body is a state of relative balance between adipose synthesis and lipolysis [1,23]. However, adipose synthesis and lipolysis are regulated by lipid metabolism related genes [19,24]. In our present study, to investigate the effect of GSPE on expression of adipose synthesis related genes and lipolysis related genes in standard diet and high fat diet treated rats, the mRNA relative level of FAS, ACC1, Cpt1a and HSL was examined by the real-time PCR method (Figure 4). Figure 4A showed that the gene expression level of FAS and ACC1 in liver of rats were effectively suppressed in varying degree by GSPE of different doses treatment compared with the control, particularly for HFD treated rats,

Figure 1: Effect of GSPE on body weight of standard diet treated rats (A) and high fat diet treated rats (B). Each value is a mean of three replications ± SE. *Mean significant at P<0.01 and **Mean significant at P<0.05.
the inhibitory effect was obvious. 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE significantly (P<0.05) suppressed gene expression level of FAS and ACC1 in liver of SD treated rats by 40% and 50% compared to untreated group, but no significant difference (P>0.05) was observed in samples which treated with low doses of GSPE (Figure 4A). Additionally, FAS and ACC1 expression level of HFD treated rats treating with 100 (P<0.05) and 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE (P<0.01) were significantly suppressed compared with the control (Figure 4A). As shown in Figure 4B, gene expression levels of Cpt1a and HSL in liver of rats were effectively increased in varying degree by GSPE of different doses treatment compared with the control. Coincidentally, only 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE presented significantly difference (P<0.05) in SD treated rats when compared with control (Figure 4B). Maximal increase (P<0.01) of both Cpt1a and HSL expression level were observed in HFD treated rats with 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE, as compared to the untreated rats. However, 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE significantly (P<0.05) increased gene expression level of Cpt1a and HSL in liver of HFD treated rats by 60% and 42% compared to untreated group. Obviously, it can be seen that there was no statistically significant difference (P>0.05) between the effect of 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of GSPE and control (Figure 4B). As a whole, of the various doses of GSPE tested, application of 150 mg kg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) was found to be most effective in suppressing adipose synthesis related genes expression and increasing lipolysis related genes expression in liver of rats.

Discussion

Senescence is an extremely complex and inevitable physiological phenomenon during human life. Presently, free radical theory proposed by Harman in 1956 is one of the most representative senescence theories [25]. It is reported that there are antioxidant enzyme systems that eliminates free radicals in human body, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) [26]. However, the synthesis and activity of antioxidants decline with age, and a large
number of malonaldehyde (MDA) produce which results in a massive accumulation of free radicals, thereby the senescence of human body accelerate. Recent studies have found that grape seed has high nutritional and medicinal value, and GSPE is one of the important components of grape seed bioactive substances. GSPE has the ability to eliminate free radicals and suppress lipid peroxidation because of the polyelectron hydroxyls in GSPE molecule [27]. Therefore, at present, GSPE is found one of the most effective oxygen radical eliminators and lipid peroxidation inhibitors. The present study indicated that 150 mg kg⁻¹ d⁻¹ of GSPE was a promising dose, which inhibited the lipid deposition by decreasing body weight, serum lip level and blood glucose value, improving the trend of high blood glucose and insulin resistance, suppressing FAS and ACC1 genes expression levels and increasing Cpt1a and HSL genes expression levels in liver of rats. Our experiment results also showed that there was decrease in body weight of various doses of GSPE-treated rats in comparison with control group, but no statistically significant difference (P>0.05) was found between the body weight of untreated and every doses of GSPE-treated rats during the first four days. Furthermore, GSPE showed the dose-independent manner. In conclusion, the results suggested that GSPE can affect gene expression levels of related with lipid metabolism and plays an beneficial role in preventing the production of obesity and treating obesity.
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