Epilepsy is a well-known affliction characterized by recurrent, unprovoked seizures affecting 1-2% of the population. Other than the actual seizure and the risks involved in it, the sudden and unpredictable nature of the seizure is one of the most disabling aspects of epilepsy. As such, finding a method capable of predicting epileptic seizures would open new therapeutic possibilities.

When using electrocorticography for capturing brain activity of epileptic patients, usually, it is the clear increase in the brain activity that is recorded during the seizure. The data is usually used in order to find the probable focus of the seizure. Using the same data, we have applied a method that was used for another disorder (Ataxia Telangiectasia), for obtaining quantitative information about changes in the network correlation, rather than network activity. We show that this provides insight for the epileptic brain behavior, demonstrating that other locations of the brain are involved in the seizure other than the focus, and that there might be early indications for the seizure. These findings can potentially be used in order to decrease seizure likelihood.

**Abstract**

Epilepsy is a well-known affliction characterized by recurrent, unprovoked seizures affecting 1-2% of the population. Other than the actual seizure and the risks involved in it, the sudden and unpredictable nature of the seizure is one of the most disabling aspects of epilepsy. As such, finding a method capable of predicting epileptic seizures would open new therapeutic possibilities, and this can be attempted by analyzing the neural network stability.
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**Introduction**

Epilepsy is a well-known affliction characterized by recurrent, unprovoked seizures affecting 1-2% of the population [1,2]. Epilepsy is not a single disorder, but rather a group of neurological disorders characterized by epileptic seizures, that can range from nearly undetectable events to long periods of vigorous shaking [3].

The cause of most epilepsy cases is unknown [4]. Few cases are genetically related, and some people develop epilepsy as a result of brain injury, stroke, brain tumor, drug abuse and even autoimmune diseases [5-7]. Epilepsy is often confirmed by electroencephalography (EEG), but a normal test is not enough to rule out the disease [8-10].

When medication is insufficient to control the seizures, surgery remains the only alternative. In order to identify the foci of the seizure electrocorticography (ECoG) is needed [11]. Due to the risk and complexity involved in ECoG, it is strictly used for clinical purposes. As a result, this has been the main focus of ECoG studies as well, where most of the attention is given to spatial aspects of epilepsy. Thus, finding a method capable of predicting epileptic seizures would open new therapeutic possibilities, and this can be attempted by analyzing the neural network stability.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Patients**

Patients with drug-resistant epilepsy may be candidates for resective surgery of an epileptic focus to minimize the frequency of seizures. Prior to resection, the patients undergo monitoring using ECoG subdural electrodes for two purposes: first, to localize the epileptic focus, and second, to identify nearby critical brain areas which should not be removed due to high risk of long-term functional deficits. The patient is typically recorded over a period of 5-12 days, the data is used for localizing the epileptic foci. Electrical stimulation via the implanted electrodes allows clinicians to map eloquent cortex. In some occasions, means are taken to evoke a seizure which is essential for the localization procedure to succeed. At the end of the monitoring period, the electrodes are removed and therapeutic resection is performed.

We have analyzed data of four epilepsy patients who were not sufficiently responsive to medicine and hence required surgical intervention. Three of these patients were females and one was a male, all young, between the age of 10 and 20 years old. The data was provided to us by Prof. Oren Sagher, a neurosurgeon at the University of Michigan hospital. Established standards for the ethical treatment of human research subjects were approved by the institutional review board at the University of Michigan hospitals.
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Epilepsy is a well-known affliction characterized by recurrent, unprovoked seizures affecting 1-2% of the population [1,2]. Epilepsy is not a single disorder, but rather a group of neurological disorders characterized by epileptic seizures, that can range from nearly undetectable events to long periods of vigorous shaking [3].

The cause of most epilepsy cases is unknown [4]. Few cases are genetically related, and some people develop epilepsy as a result of brain injury, stroke, brain tumor, drug abuse and even autoimmune diseases [5-7]. Epilepsy is often confirmed by electroencephalography (EEG), but a normal test is not enough to rule out the disease [8-10].

When medication is insufficient to control the seizures, surgery remains the only alternative. In order to identify the foci of the seizure electrocorticography (ECoG) is needed [11]. Due to the risk and complexity involved in ECoG, it is strictly used for clinical purposes. As a result, this has been the main focus of ECoG studies as well, where most of the attention is given to spatial identification of the foci [12]. Therefore, there is no ECoG data from healthy individuals. In this work, we are using ECoG data in order to examine and quantify other properties of the network, other than the seizure foci, and due to the fact that there is no control group, we compare the data recording between the seizures, with the date recorded during the seizures.

Other than the actual seizure and the risks involved in it, the sudden and unpredictable nature of the seizure is one of the most disabling aspects of epilepsy. Thus, finding a method capable of predicting epileptic seizures would open new therapeutic possibilities, and this can be attempted by analyzing the neural network stability.
Epileptogenic zone

Localization of the epileptogenic zone, defined as the volume of brain tissue necessary and sufficient for the generation of seizures, has traditionally depended on scalp EEG recordings which often do not provide sufficient accuracy and resolution. In these cases, further evaluations rely on invasive brain recordings, using arrays of ECoG electrodes placed directly on the surface of the brain, and/or recordings from depth electrodes inserted deeper into the brain to regions such as the hippocampus or the medial temporal lobe [18]. The electrodes are spatially distributed over the suspected focal regions (as determined from conventional scalp EEG recordings and other imaging techniques), and are kept in place for a long period of time so that the focus or foci could be localized. The common clinical procedure for localization and assessment of epileptogenic foci is a visual inspection and interpretation of the intracranially recorded signals by experienced clinicians with several advanced analysis methods developed over the years [15,19-21].

Data acquisition

The ECoG systems used record up to 128 channels. The channels were divided into 4 x 8 or 8 x 8 arrays, and strips of up to 8 electrodes. The arrays and strips were placed based upon previous examination of the patients' brain by PET and MRI. The signals were initially recorded at 400 Hz, digitized to 112 Hz and low passed filtered at 40 Hz. Seizure data included at least two episodes per patient, and data between seizures ranged from 30 to 160 min per patient. Typically, all ECoG channels are referenced to a common reference electrode. Once the data is obtained, it is visually screened for artifacts. With the use of spectral analysis (univariate linear measures) it has been shown that distinct activity at specific frequency bands is relevant for epilepsy diagnosis [22-26].

Results

Raw ECoG data

We start by presenting the analog output of the ECoG signal, making sure that the seizures are properly tagged in the data. Higher amplitudes and frequencies are characteristics of a seizure [27,28], as can be seen in the example in (Figure 1).

\[ \text{Figure 1: A seizure (between red lines) raw data recording of 5 chosen electrodes of one patient. The increase in signal activity can be visually noticed.} \]

ECoG energy

The magnitude of the analog signal in ECoG is determined by the neuronal activity: the more spikes per seconds we have in the electrode receptive filed, the larger the signal is. We therefore start by analyzing the energy of the signals at hand. Figure 2 shows the ECoG signal energy for four patients, one seizure for each patient.

\[ \text{Figure 2: ECoG overall energy of all the electrodes for each patient, one seizure per patient. The signals are normalized for each patient separately as we care about the relative change in energy. The seizure is marked between two red lines.} \]

For these patients, we measure an increase of about 80-120% in the average energy during the seizure relative to between seizures. This means that during the seizure, the neuronal activity is about double of the norm in these cases. Other research indicates that the signal can increase by even more, offering various methods to use these signals for seizure detection [14,29].

Network connectivity

It is virtually impossible to map the neuronal network of the human brain in a mechanical sense, namely, mark each connection between each neuron and the strength of each connection. When using multi-electrode arrays, one can map the neural network connectivity by analyzing the electrical activity of the nodes in that network, where clusters identified by phase synchronization analysis are mapped into real clusters of neurons [30-32]. This is also the case for ECoG signals, and we can use brain network activity to reveal the network topology [33,34]. Network connectivity is therefore used for foci localization algorithms, in a manner that does not only look at the location with the highest energy, but the source of the seizure in the network formation [15,18,34]. It is therefore reasonable to see if and how the network changes during seizures and between them.

We begin by mapping the network in continuous timeframes. First, each channel was normalized in order to avoid artifacts and DC shifts. Then, we calculated the Pearson correlation between all electrode combinations:
$r_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) / s_x s_y$

Where $n$ is the total number of samples, $x_i$ ($x_1$, $x_2$, ..., $x_n$) are the amplitude values of channel $x$ and $y_i$ are the amplitude values of channel $y$. $s_x$, $s_y$ are the corresponding standard deviations of each electrode. The correlation was calculated with a sliding window of time with an overlap of $n/2$ samples. We have examined timeframes between half a second and 32 seconds and found that 4 seconds provide sufficient resolution while including enough data to eliminate noise. Other methods for measuring the network formation were also examined such as phase synchronization measurement [35], they produced similar results while being more computationally exhaustive.

**Epilepsy network variation**

Having created a correlation snapshot for each network, we examine the variation in the network in each of the patients, using a similar method to Phase Synchronization Variation [31]. In short, we first generate a dendrogram from the normal network correlation matrix, which serves as the network baseline. This dendrogram marks the order of the links between the different areas of the brain, according to the overall and normal brain behavior. Then, we generate correlation matrices for 4 seconds timeframes for the entire data, during the seizures and between them. We generate dendrograms for all those windows, according to the same order as was obtained from the baseline matrix. We normalize all the dendrograms (for each patient individually), so that we will get a standard measure of change between 0 (no change in correlation) and 1 (the maximum change in correlation for that patient). Finally, we calculate the delta between each pair of matrices (the baseline and the current window) as can be seen in Figure 3.

Monitoring the patient's networks through the correlation analysis teaches us that the correlation variation between seizures is relatively low; correlation variation during a seizure is very high. In other words, during normal brain activity, each region of the brain works while keeping the same correlation with the other regions, it can be high or low, but it varies relatively little. During the epileptic seizure, these correlations are changing more rapidly as we experience bursts of highly synchronized activity. We see that the correlation variation signal is much more prominent than activity: While the average activity during seizures was larger by 80-120% than the average activity between seizures, the average correlation variation during seizures is larger by about 160%-230% from the correlation variation between seizures.

**Epilepsy network “pre-seizure” variation**

The seizures durations are labeled by the clinician, namely, while observing the patient and recording the data, the clinician will mark when each seizure begins and ends, so we can track it on our analysis as well (the red lines marked in the figures). Once the seizure occurs, a window of data including the time of the seizure itself, with the addition of sometime before and after the seizure, is used for the analysis described above. Interestingly, if we examine the network correlation variation throughout the recording session, prior to the seizure itself we notice an increase in the network correlation variation which is marked by the orange arrows in Figure 4, we refer to it as the pre-seizure signal. This phenomenon is not explained at this time. We also notice high network correlation variation after the seizure itself is reported to stop, as an “after shock effect” of the seizure until it decays completely and the patient recovers. These pre-seizure signs might be meaningful not only in order to better explain why the seizure takes place.
Epilepsy pre-seizure partial network variation

During the pre-seizure hyper-correlation-variation events, we notice areas in the network which present large correlation variations which are not in the seizure focus. While the focus is typically displaying high correlation throughout the recording, these off-diagonal "hotspots" have typically low correlation between seizures and form high correlation just prior to the seizure and during it (Figure 5).

We suggest that these areas in the network should get as much attention as the foci get. They might not be the areas that evoke the seizure, but they seem to allow it to propagate.

This analysis is also useful for potential treatment: As the off-diagonal hotspots present high correlation variation, we have looked into reducing the number of channels needed in order to detect the network correlation variation. Choosing the four channels that demonstrated the highest correlation variation (from the off-diagonal hotspots) we were still able to detect the seizure, while getting even better SNR (Figure 6).

This means that we can obtain early indication for a potential seizure by monitoring few electrodes. While for some patients and seizures even the correlation of 2 electrodes was sufficient to provide the same signal, for all patients and seizures that we have examined, using less than 4 electrodes will not detect all the seizures with sufficient margin relative to normal correlation levels.

Supporting Evidence

Support to our observations is offered by similar results reported by Burns et al. [36]. While still focusing on the foci localization, Burns claims that "a subset of nodes is isolated from the network at seizure onset and becomes more connected with the network toward seizure termination". These subsets of nodes are what we refer to as the off-diagonal "hotspots". If these clusters were fixed ones, they would have appeared on the dendrogram diagonal as the dendrogram is ordered according to the overall correlation (the correlation of the entire normal activity). Burns also suggests that these connectivity changes are behaving in repetitive patterns, making them useful for early detection and making seizure prevention more likely.

As for the pre-seizure signals we notice, here we might suggest evidence from a sensation that the patients themselves experience, usually referred to as "aura". Aura is a term that is used to describe a subjective premonition that patients sense some time before the onset of the seizure. The sensation is a rather elusive one and it varies between one patient to another. It can be manifested by a range of sensations starting with a mild headache and spanning to some odd signals picked by our senses, such as phantom sounds [37]. The amount of time before the seizure that aura occurs also varies between one patient to another.

As this sensation is difficult to quantify and measure, the exact probability of aura is undetermined, but it is not a rare phenomenon: recent research indicates that it happens for at least 21% of the patients [38] and up to 67% of the patients [39]. We should stress that we do not have any reports from our patients about aura experience in correlation to our data, as they were not asked about it. We suggest that pre-seizure network correlation variation and off-diagonal "hotspots" are related to neuronal manifestation of the aura sensation and potentially are the neurological evidence for this subjective phenomenon. This could be examined in future research.

Discussion

We have used ECoG data from four epilepsy patients in order to examine how the neural network fluctuates during a seizure and between seizures. As the network correlation represents network topology, we have used the correlation and presented a metric for measuring the network changes.

Epileptic seizures are indeed manifested by an increase in the activity of the network, as indicated by the energy of the signals. However, even more noticeably, it is manifested by a larger increase in the neural network correlation variation, so we can refer to the seizure more as a hyper-correlation-variation event than a hyper-activity event. Also, while the foci of the seizure might trigger it, it takes the entire network to take part in allowing the seizure to propagate, with some areas having a dominant role in the seizure propagation. This
Conclusions

While being a small sample of patients, this work has been done to examine the application of measuring network variation for epilepsy. Doing so, we have noticed that this representation of the ECoG signals can indeed reveal important aspects of the brain activity in both space and time. Correlation variation provides a stronger signal than activity for differing between normal activity and seizures. We have noticed a change in correlation variation occurring some time before the epileptic seizure takes place, during the "pre-seizure" period, but it is not clear if this will be valid for all epilepsy patients. The aura sensation which is experienced by many patients might suggest that the pre-seizure period is common. We have demonstrated that it is sufficient to measure the correlation of few channels in order to depict the correlation variation early signals. These observations suggest that future treatment of epilepsy which does not rely upon cortical tissue removal but rather upon stimulation [40-42] can depict the epileptic seizure with very few electrodes by monitoring their correlation. Doing so, we might be able to predict the seizure and eliminate it before it starts. The off-diagonal "hotspots", the high correlation-variation areas in the brain, are also relevant for potential treatment: in cases that the seizure foci cannot be removed, because it is located in a critical brain area, removing the off-diagonal "hotspots" might allow preventing the seizure from advancing. If stimulation is to be used, stimulating these areas when a seizure is detected (or predicted) will cause them to be exhausted when the seizure wave reaches them, causing the wave to decay.
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