Does Exist A Correlation Between BMI and Gleason Patterns 4 and 5 At Prostate Biopsy?
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Abstract

Background: Obesity has been related with higher Gleason grade and worse prognosis. Increasing proportion of Gleason pattern 4 or 5 is a critical factor for biochemical recurrence, progression and mortality. The endocrine activity of visceral fat could be responsible of the differentiation of the prostatic malignant cell towards a more aggressive phenotype. The aim of our study was to correlate Body Mass Index with the presence of Gleason pattern 4 or higher at biopsy.

Materials and Methods: Consecutive patients with positive prostate biopsy were included. A transrectal prostate biopsy procedure with 12 cores, was performed. All tissue samples were reviewed.

Results: Out of 135 patients diagnosed with prostate cancer at biopsy, a Gleason pattern 4 or 5 was evident in 57 (42%) patients, while it was not detected in 78 (58%). The statistical analysis did not demonstrate a correlation between the histological expression of Gleason pattern 4 or 5 in the biopptic specimens and the BMI class. Conclusion: Although high risk prostate cancer has been reported more frequent in patients with higher BMI, in our experience, no significant correlation between BMI and Gleason patterns 4 and 5 at biopsy was detected. Other factors responsible for the worse prognosis and the more aggressive behavior of prostate tumors in obeses should be investigated.
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Introduction

Several studies investigated the correlation between obesity and prostate cancer with inconsistent results. A lower prostate cancer incidence has been reported in obese men aged 65 or less [1,2]. On the other hand, a fair evidence emerges that prostatic tumors in obese men have high-grade disease, more aggressive behavior and worse prognosis [3,4]. Body Mass Index (BMI), is a worldwide adopted and cost-effective method to measure overall adiposity, although is it does not account for body mass composition and fat tissue distribution.

Higher BMI is associated with lower PSA (Prostatic Specific Antigen) plasmatic levels, that are 7% lower in overweight patients (BMI:25–29), 14% lower in obese patients (BMI:30–35), and 18% lower in severely obese patients (BMI>35) [5]. Consequently, a detection bias might be responsible of later diagnosis and more advanced T-stage and Gleason grade [6,7].

Gleason score is the most widely adopted grading system for prostate carcinoma. It is based on the sum of two patterns (or grades) ranging from 1 to 5, with 5 having the worst prognosis. The first number is the grade of the most common tumor pattern, the second number is the grade of the second most common pattern. The Gleason Score ranges from 2 to 10, with 10 having the worst prognosis.

In few studies, obesity has been related with higher Gleason grade and when compared with normal weight population [8]. Increasing proportion of Gleason pattern 4 and/or 5 is a critical factor in predicting biochemical recurrence, progression and mortality of prostate cancer.

The endocrine activity of visceral fat could be responsible of an increase of biological factors interacting with the differentiation of the prostatic malignant cell towards a more aggressive phenotype. High plasmatic levels of adipokines have been related to higher Gleason scores in obese patients. Leptin stimulates prostate cell proliferation, migration, growth factor expression and androgen resistance. Adiponectin plays opposite role. Prostate gland is exposed both to circulating adipokines and to those locally produced by periprostatic fat. It is plausible that abnormal levels of adipokines, interacting with androgens and other factors might select cells with a higher aggressiveness, in an early phase of metabolic syndrome when obesity is not yet relevant.

If a higher incidence of Gleason pattern 4 at biopsy would be confirmed in obese and overweight men compared to normal population, this finding would explain their worse prognosis suggesting the need for more aggressive diagnostic approach not delaying biopsy and adopting more extensive biopptic templates.

The aim of our study was to correlate obesity, measured by Body Mass Index (BMI), with the presence of Gleason pattern 4 or higher at biopsy.

Materials and Methods

Consecutive patients with positive prostate biopsy performed for palpable prostate nodule and/or elevated PSA levels were entered in our study. A written informed consent was obtained in all patients. BMI was adopted to classify patients according to obesity. Patients were divided into four categories according to BMI as follows: 16–19.9 (underweight), 20.0–24.9 (normal weight), 25.0–29.9 (overweight) and ≥ 30.0 (obese).
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A transrectal prostate biopsy procedure with 12 cores, was performed in all patients.

The number of cores was increased in case of re-biopsy (18-24 cores or more).

All the specimens were reviewed by an expert pathologist.

Statistics: The statistical analysis was conducted with Fisher's exact test for Gleason pattern 4 (< 4 or ≥ 4) and BMI for single weight class. The Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yate's continuity correction was used for aggregate BMI classes. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Out of 164 patients diagnosed with prostate cancer at biopsy, 29 (17.7%) were excluded from the study. Particularly, ASAP (Atypical Small Acinar Proliferation) or PIN (Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia) was diagnosed in 5, a single microscopic positive foci with undetermined Gleason score in 6 and a biopsy with a low number of biotop cores, between 4 and 6, not evaluable for the aims of our study was obtained in the remaining 18 patients.

Of the 135 evaluable patients, 20 (14.81%) patients had a previous negative biopsy.

Patients characteristics are reported in Table 1.

The median age was 71 years (range 49-76). The median BMI was 26.8 (17.5-37.4). Only 2 patients (1.48%) were underweight. 38 (28.15%) patients had normal weight (median BMI 23.15), 61 (45.18%) were overweight (median BMI 27.3) and 34 (25.18%) were obese (median BMI 31.7).

Median PSA was 10.55 ng/ml (range 0.41-1339). A prostate nodule was palpable in 55 (40.7%) patients. The median prostate volume was 40.4 cc. (Table 1). A Gleason pattern 4 or 5 was evident in 57 (42.2%) patients, while it was not detected in 78 (57.8%) patients. The distribution of Gleason patterns according to BMI classes are given in Figure 1.

The statistical analysis did not demonstrate a correlation between the histological expression of Gleason pattern 4 or 5 in the biotopic specimens and the BMI class (p=0.79). Even combining different BMI

### Table 1: Patients characteristics and their distribution according BMI classes and median BMI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entered Patients</th>
<th>164</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluable Patients</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age (years)</td>
<td>71 (49-86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Classes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>underweight</td>
<td>2 (1.48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>38 (28.15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over weight</td>
<td>61 (45.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obese</td>
<td>34 (25.18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.8 (17.5-37.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>underweight</td>
<td>18.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>23.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over weight</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obese</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median PSA (ng/ml)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.41-1339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive DRE</td>
<td>55 (40.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Prostate Volume (CC)</td>
<td>40.4 (18-24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Biopsy</td>
<td>20 (14.81%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Discussion

Biological and clinical evidence supports the presence of Gleason patterns 4 and 5 as relevant predictors of aggressive prostate cancer [9]. The patients harboring prostate cancer showing Gleason patterns 4 or 5 have a poorer prognosis and need early diagnosis and treatment. To select for biopsy procedure patients at risk for aggressive tumor avoiding diagnosis of indolent, low-risk prostatic cancer is a major challenge for the urologic and scientific community.

The distinct behavior between pattern 3 and pattern 4/5 prostatic tumors could be the result of different developmental pathways, although up today is unclear which molecular changes are involved [10]. Presumably, environmental and hormonal factors can induce additional oncogenic mutations in genetically susceptible and instable prostate cells. When examined by stage and grade, obese men are more likely to be diagnosed with high grade disease [3,7].

A link between high grade prostate cancers and lower serum testosterone concentrations in obese patients has been suggested by many Authors [8,11]. Moreover abnormal levels of adipokines, biological factors with relevant carcinogenic activity produced by the visceral fat tissue, may promote the progression of latent or microscopic low grade prostate tumors to high grade clinically significant cancers [12,13]. Freedland and coll in 2008 [14] and more recently De Nunzio and coll [3] reported a frequent incidence of high Gleason grade at biopsy. This finding has important clinical implications since the treatment choice is mainly based, although not exclusively, on Gleason grade.

The aim of our study was to confirm the data of literature reporting higher Gleason grade, with prevalence of pattern 4 and 5, in obese
patients and to investigate the relation between BMI and histology at prostate biopsy. If this was the case, a particular attention should be given to patients with higher BMI and a clinical suspect of prostate cancer. BMI might be considered together with the other prognostic factors suggesting the need for prostate biopsy. An increased number of biopsy cores has been advocated by some Authors in obese and overweight men due to an increased difficulty and delay in cancer detection. We included consecutive patients with a positive prostate biopsy for cancer. Mean PSA was 10 ng/ml, median age 71 years and, noteworthy, almost half of the patients was overweight and more than 20% obese. This is, nowadays, a common finding in everyday clinical practice, in patients with a positive prostate biopsy when you are not selecting for radical treatments [15]. In our experience no statistically significant relations was found between patients’ BMI and the distribution of Gleason patterns 4 and 5. Consequently, we cannot support BMI as a predictive factor of high grade disease suggesting the need for immediate prostate biopsy when a cancer is clinically suspected. A main bias of our study is the limited patients’ number not permitting data stratification according to stage and PSA levels. On the other hand, unselected consecutive patients of everyday clinical practice, undergoing biopsy for different clinical queries, were included, giving a practical value to our results. Since more than 70% of our patients were overweight or obese, our negative results may not have been due to a lack of association between BMI and Gleason pattern 4 or 5, but rather to a homogeneous study population. It must be argued that many factors other than Gleason grade might be responsible of the poor outcome related to high BMI.

Conclusion

Most of the patients undergoing prostate biopsy in western countries are overweight or obese. Although high risk prostate cancer has been reported to be more frequent in patients with higher BMI, in our experience, no significant correlation between BMI and the expression of Gleason patterns 4 and 5 at biopsy was detected. Thus, BMI cannot be considered a prognostic factor for high grade disease to select patients for prostate biopsy. Other biological and clinical factors could be responsible of the aggressive behavior of the prostate tumors in overweight and obese patients and should be the object of future investigations.
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