

Diverse Strategies for Aerodynamic Drag Reduction

Stefan Petrov*

Department of Fluid Engineering, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia

Introduction

Recent advancements in fluid mechanics research have extensively explored sophisticated techniques aimed at mitigating aerodynamic drag across a wide spectrum of applications. These methods are crucial for enhancing energy efficiency and overall performance in systems ranging from aerospace vehicles to ground transportation and renewable energy generation. This introduction will delve into several key areas of research that contribute to this vital field.

One significant area of focus is the development of advanced flow control techniques designed to reduce aerodynamic drag. These methods encompass active and passive strategies, as well as biomimetic approaches, detailing their underlying mechanisms and demonstrated efficacy across diverse fluid flow scenarios. The overarching goal is to improve energy efficiency and performance in critical applications such as aircraft, vehicles, and wind turbines [1].

Within the realm of active flow control, the application of plasma actuators has emerged as a promising avenue for drag reduction, particularly on airfoils. These actuators have shown an impressive ability to manipulate the boundary layer, effectively delaying flow separation and reducing turbulent skin friction. Experimental results have substantiated substantial drag reduction percentages under various flow conditions, marking them as a potent tool for aerodynamic efficiency enhancement [2].

Biomimetic strategies, inspired by natural systems such as shark skin, offer another compelling approach to drag reduction. Specifically, the utilization of riblet surfaces has been thoroughly investigated for its effectiveness in reducing turbulent skin friction drag. A detailed analysis of the fundamental mechanisms involved, alongside discussions on scalability and practical implementation for transport applications, highlights their significant potential [3].

Complementing these methods, the use of synthetic jets for active flow control has been explored as a means to mitigate flow separation and reduce drag on bluff bodies. By injecting momentum, synthetic jets can effectively re-energize the boundary layer. Computational fluid dynamics simulations and experimental validations confirm the capability of this technique to enhance aerodynamic performance in challenging flow regimes [4].

Passive flow control methods, such as the strategic application of vortex generators, also play a crucial role in drag reduction. These devices are designed to reduce flow separation and drag by influencing the boundary layer. Insights into their optimal placement and geometry for various aerodynamic configurations underscore their simplicity and robustness as a passive drag reduction strategy for improving aerodynamic efficiency [5].

Furthermore, the impact of surface wettability control on drag reduction in both laminar and turbulent flows has been a subject of considerable research. Alter-

ing surface properties can significantly influence boundary layer behavior, leading to reduced skin friction. The potential of superhydrophobic surfaces and other tailored wettability patterns as passive drag reduction strategies is a key area of investigation [6].

At the micro-scale, microfluidic flow control techniques for drag reduction are being developed, encompassing micro-actuators and surface texturing. These advancements are particularly relevant for micro-scale devices and systems, addressing the challenges and opportunities in creating efficient microfluidic drag reduction strategies for enhanced performance and reduced energy consumption [7].

Compliant surfaces present another innovative approach to drag reduction through boundary layer manipulation. Flexible materials can adapt to flow disturbances, thereby reducing skin friction drag. Theoretical models and experimental findings on the dynamic interaction between compliant surfaces and turbulent flows highlight their potential for drag reduction in diverse engineering applications [8].

Active flow control through blowing and suction also remains a significant area of research for drag reduction. By controlling the boundary layer and delaying separation through momentum injection and removal, substantial drag reduction can be achieved. Computational fluid dynamics simulations and experimental results demonstrate the efficacy of optimized blowing and suction strategies in this regard [9].

Finally, the investigation into spanwise-varying surface roughness as a passive technique for drag reduction is revealing new possibilities. Tailored roughness patterns can effectively modify the turbulent boundary layer structure, leading to reduced skin friction. Wind tunnel experiments and numerical simulations indicate the considerable potential of controlled surface roughness for drag reduction applications [10].

Description

The field of aerodynamic drag reduction is witnessing continuous innovation, with a particular emphasis on advanced flow control techniques. These strategies are categorized into active, passive, and biomimetic approaches, each offering distinct mechanisms for improving energy efficiency and performance in critical sectors like aerospace and automotive engineering [1].

Active flow control, notably through the utilization of plasma actuators, has demonstrated significant success in reducing drag on airfoils. By actively influencing the boundary layer, these actuators can delay flow separation and decrease turbulent skin friction, leading to measurable improvements in aerodynamic efficiency under various operational conditions [2].

Biomimetic principles, inspired by nature's designs, are proving highly effective in drag reduction. The application of riblet surfaces, mimicking shark skin, is a

prime example, meticulously analyzed for its ability to reduce turbulent skin friction. The study of these micro-structured surfaces includes detailed examinations of their operating mechanisms and their potential for large-scale implementation in transportation systems [3].

Synthetic jets represent another active flow control method designed to combat drag on bluff bodies. Their capacity to inject momentum and re-energize the boundary layer is critical for mitigating flow separation. The documented experimental and simulation results confirm their effectiveness in enhancing aerodynamic performance, especially in challenging flow environments [4].

Passive flow control techniques, such as the deployment of vortex generators, continue to be a cornerstone in drag reduction strategies. These devices are engineered to minimize flow separation and drag by subtly altering the airflow. Research emphasizes their optimal configuration and placement for diverse aerodynamic scenarios, highlighting their inherent simplicity and reliability [5].

The influence of surface properties, specifically wettability, on drag reduction is an emerging area of interest. By controlling the interaction between the fluid and the surface, particularly through techniques like superhydrophobic surfaces, researchers aim to reduce skin friction drag in both laminar and turbulent flows. This exploration into tailored surface characteristics offers novel passive drag reduction pathways [6].

At the micro-scale, the development of microfluidic flow control techniques is crucial for the advancement of micro-devices. This involves the use of micro-actuators and micro-scale surface texturing to achieve drag reduction. The research in this domain addresses the unique challenges and opportunities presented by micro-scale fluid dynamics for enhanced efficiency and reduced energy consumption [7].

Compliant surfaces offer a dynamic approach to drag reduction by adapting to flow disturbances. These flexible materials can modify the boundary layer behavior, leading to a reduction in skin friction drag. Theoretical frameworks and experimental evidence are consolidating the understanding of how these surfaces interact with turbulent flows, paving the way for their application in various engineering fields [8].

Active flow control strategies involving blowing and suction are fundamental to boundary layer management for drag reduction. The precise control of momentum through these techniques is vital for delaying flow separation. Extensive computational and experimental studies have validated the significant drag reduction potential of optimized blowing and suction methods [9].

Lastly, the exploration of spanwise-varying surface roughness as a passive drag reduction method is yielding promising results. By strategically designing roughness patterns, the turbulent boundary layer can be manipulated to achieve reduced skin friction. Wind tunnel experiments and numerical simulations collectively underscore the viability of controlled surface roughness for practical drag reduction applications [10].

Conclusion

This collection of research explores diverse strategies for aerodynamic drag reduction, encompassing both active and passive flow control techniques. Active meth-

ods include plasma actuators, synthetic jets, and blowing/suction systems, which directly manipulate airflow to minimize drag and enhance performance. Passive approaches leverage inherent surface properties and designs, such as biomimetic riblet surfaces, vortex generators, controlled surface roughness, and surface wettability modifications, to achieve drag reduction without external energy input. Microfluidic techniques are also being developed for drag reduction in small-scale devices. Compliant surfaces offer a dynamic method for boundary layer manipulation. Overall, these studies highlight significant advancements in improving energy efficiency and aerodynamic performance across various applications.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Li Wei, Zhang Hong, Wang Jian. "Recent Advances in Flow Control Techniques for Drag Reduction." *Int. J. Fluid Mech. Res.* 40 (2023):15-32.
2. Chen Xiao, Liu Bing, Yang Tao. "Plasma Actuator-Based Active Flow Control for Drag Reduction on Airfoils." *Aerospace Sci. Technol.* 129 (2022):107545.
3. Guo Shixing, Wang Qing, Zhao Yuan. "Biomimetic Riblet Surfaces for Turbulent Drag Reduction: Mechanisms and Applications." *J. Fluid Mech.* 920 (2021):A44.
4. Sun Peng, Liang Yu, Zhou Kai. "Drag Reduction on Bluff Bodies Using Synthetic Jets for Flow Control." *Phys. Fluids* 36 (2024):015122.
5. Wang Ming, Zhang Wei, Li Juan. "Passive Flow Control Using Vortex Generators for Drag Reduction in Aerodynamics." *J. Aircr.* 59 (2022):987-998.
6. Gao Bo, Li Nan, Sun Hua. "Surface Wettability Control for Drag Reduction." *Langmuir* 39 (2023):8945-8956.
7. Ding Xiang, Wang Peng, Zhao Feng. "Microfluidic Flow Control Techniques for Drag Reduction." *Micromachines* 13 (2022):213.
8. Liu Zhi, Zhang Jie, Chen Li. "Drag Reduction Using Compliant Surfaces: A Review." *Exp. Fluids* 64 (2023):1-18.
9. Zhou Jun, Wang Dong, Li Wei. "Active Flow Control with Blowing and Suction for Drag Reduction." *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* 88 (2021):108879.
10. Chen Yu, Guo Feng, Wang Jun. "Drag Reduction by Spanwise-Varying Surface Roughness." *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 9 (2024):044603.

How to cite this article: Petrov, Stefan. "Diverse Strategies for Aerodynamic Drag Reduction." *Fluid Mech Open Acc* 12 (2025):366.

***Address for Correspondence:** Stefan, Petrov, Department of Fluid Engineering, University of Belgrade, Belgrade 11000, Serbia, E-mail: stefan.petrov@bg.ac.rs

Copyright: © 2025 Petrov S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. fmoa-26-187965; **Editor assigned:** 04-Dec-2025, PreQC No. P-187965; **Reviewed:** 18-Dec-2025, QC No. Q-187965; **Revised:** 23-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. R-187965; **Published:** 30-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2476-2296.2025.12.366
