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Abstract
Cold-formed steel flexural members can experience buckling failure at the web when compressive loads are applied to the flanges. Determining the web crippling strength analytically can be difficult because it depends on various parameters including loading conditions, bearing length, thickness of the material, web inclination, flange lengths etc. Due to these parameters, the current design method was developed based on the experimental data only. The paper presents an attempt to develop a semi-analytical design approach for the web crippling strength using the Direct Strength Method concept. The focus is on the cold-formed steel C and Z sections subjected to on-flange loading conditions. The research indicates that the Direct Strength Method is appropriate for predicting the web crippling strength. New design equations are proposed and verified with the experimental results.
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Introduction

Web crippling is an important limit state in the structural design of cold-formed steel (CFS) flexural members. Due to the large slenderness ratio, the web element of CFS members tends to cripple at the areas of compression loads or bearing supports. The North American Specification for Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members [1] defines four loading cases for web crippling: End-One-Flange (EOF) loading, Interior One-Flange (IOF) loading, End-Two-Flange (ETF) loading and Interior Two-Flange (ITF) loading as shown in Figure 1. The current AISI S100 [1] design provision for web crippling is based on extensive experimental results by Winter et al. [2] Zettlin [3], Hettrakul et al. [4] Yu [5], Santaputra [6], Santaputra et al. [7], Bhakta et al. [8], Wing [9], Wing et al. [10], Prabakaran [11], Beshara et al. [12] and Young et al. [13]. In the 1996 AISI Specification and previous editions, different equations were adopted for web crippling strength. Since the 2001 edition, the AISI Specification began using a unified equation with different coefficients for determining the nominal web crippling strength for different cases. The unified design method was developed by Prabakaran [11], Prabakaran et al. [14] and Beshara [15]. As per AISI S100 [1], the nominal web crippling strength can be calculated as follows.

\[
P_c = \frac{C}{2} F_y \left( \sin \theta \right) \left[ 1 - C_t h \frac{h_t}{N} \right] \left( 1 + C_t \frac{N}{h_t} \right) \left( 1 - C_u \frac{h}{h_t} \right)
\]

(1)

where \( C \) is the web crippling coefficient, \( C_t \) is the web slenderness coefficient, \( C_h \) is the bearing length coefficient, \( C_t \) is the inside bend radius coefficient, \( F_y \) is the yield strength of steels, \( h \) is the flat dimension of web measured in plane of web, \( N \) is the bearing length of load, \( R \) is the inside bend radius, \( t \) is the web thickness and \( \theta \) is the angle between plane of web and plane of bearing surface.

The Direct Strength Method (DSM), originally proposed by Schafer et al. [16], is a new generation design methodology for CFS members. The method has been formally adopted by the North American Specification for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structure Membersand the Australian/New Zealand Standard for Cold-Formed Steel Design. Compared with the Effective Width Method, DSM is more reliable and has specific advantage on solving complicated section problems and distortional buckling cases. However DSM is currently capable of determining the nominal strength of members under flexural, compression, and shear forces. It is therefore meaningful to expand DSM to address the web crippling strength. A previous research by Choy et al. [17], explored the application of DSM concept to CFS sections subjected to two flange loading. The research presented in this paper is a continuation of Choy et al.’s work to investigate the DSM on CFS C- and Z- sections subjected to one flange loading conditions. The goal is to prove the concept that DSM works for the limit state of web crippling.

Elastic buckling of c- and z- sections subjected to web crippling failures

The Interior one-flange loading tested data and the End-one-flange loading tested data is taken from researches conducted at different universities [4,8,13,18]. With the help of specimen figures, parameters and test setup data the elastic buckling analysis is performed in ABAQUS. The specimens were drafted in ABAQUS and elastic buckling analysis was performed. The value \( P_{cr} \) which is the critical elastic buckling load for the member is taken from ABAQUS.

The ABAQUS models in this research simulated the actual loading and boundary conditions of the tests adopted from the literature. Figure 2 shows an ABAQUS model for C sections with IOF condition. Figure 3 shows the elastic buckling results from the ABAQUS, the IOF load on the web crippling failure. Figure 4 shows a ABAQUS simulation on a Z section in EOF loading, it can be seen that the buckling occurs at the end support locations.
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The key concept of DSM is to use the elastic buckling solution and the yield load of the entire section to predict the nominal strength of CFS members for each specific buckling mode. Eq. 2 is the main DSM equation for calculating the nominal axial strength of CFS columns in local buckling mode.

For $\lambda \leq 0.776$, $P_{nl} = P_{ne}$

For $0.776 < \lambda$, $P_{nl} = \frac{P_{cr}}{P_{y}} \frac{1 - 0.15}{\sqrt{\frac{P_{y}}{P_{cr}}}} P_{ne}$

(2)

Where $P_{cr}$ is the critical elastic local buckling load and $P_{ne}$ is the nominal axial strength.

By extending the DSM to the calculation of web crippling strength, it is our purpose to use the same theory and similar function to predict the nominal web crippling strength. Equations 3 and 4 shows the proposed DSM equations for the nominal web crippling strength.

When $\lambda \leq \alpha$, $P = AP$ (3)

When $\lambda > \alpha$, $P = BP^n$ (4)

The $\alpha$, $A$, $B$ and $C$ values changes with the respect to the loading condition and member cross-section shape. $\lambda = \frac{P_{cr}}{P_{y}}$, $P_{y}$ is the yield load, $P_{cr}$ is the critical elastic buckling load. The yield load $P_{y}$ can be calculated by Eq. 5. $t$ is the thickness of the web and $N$ is the bearing length.

$P_{y} = F_{y} N t$ (5)

Experimental results in literature

Tables 1-6 in Appendix list the geometries, test results and ABAQUS results for the existing tests collected from the literature on the web crippling of CFS C and Z sections subjected to one flange loading. The elastic buckling load, $P_{cr}$, was determined by the finite element analysis in ABAQUS.

Proposed DSM equations

The Direct Strength Method assumes that the ratio of the nominal strength/yield load ($\frac{P}{P_{y}}$) is controlled by the square root of the value of yield load divided by elastic buckling load, $\sqrt{\frac{P_{y}}{P_{cr}}}$. The proposed direct strength method equations were developed by accepting this assumption and using curve fitting methods. Based on availability of the experimental data in literature, a total of 6 web crippling cases (Table 1) were studied in this research.

Figures 5-10 illustrate the comparison between the experimental data and the proposed design equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>Flanges</th>
<th>Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>IOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Fastened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Unstiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Fastened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Web crippling cases studied.
The proposed design equations used the same format (Equations 3 and 4), therefore a general equation with parameters can be used to express those equations. The final equations developed were generalized for calculating the web crippling strength and tabulated in Table 2. The A, B and C values change with respect to the loading condition and member cross-section shape.

The research results indicate that the DSM concept works for the web crippling of CFS sections. In general, the DSM concept is suitable for the post-buckling strength of thin-walled steel members. Web crippling is one of the post buckling phenomena in the CFS structures. The challenge of implementing the proposed design method is that the elastic buckling solutions of the CFS sections shall be appropriately calculated. Additional research is needed to address the closed-formed solutions of elastic buckling loads for CFS members subjected to various web crippling failures.

**Resistance and safety factors**

Following AISI S100 (2012), the safety and resistance factors for the designed equations can be calculated. The calculated resistance and safety factors for each case is listed in Table 3.

The resistance factor, $\phi$, is calculated by the following equation:

$$
\phi = \frac{P_{calc}}{P_{res}}
$$

Where $P_{calc}$ is the calculated load and $P_{res}$ is the resistance load.

### Table 2: Generalization of developed design equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Loading</th>
<th>Flanges</th>
<th>Supports</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>&lt;=0.48</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Fastened</td>
<td>&lt;=0.82</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>&lt;=0.75</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Fastened</td>
<td>&lt;=0.76</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>&lt;=0.44</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Safety and resistance factors for the proposed design method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-Section</th>
<th>Loading Condition</th>
<th>Flanges</th>
<th>Supports</th>
<th>Resistance Factor</th>
<th>Safety Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Fastened</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Fastened</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Unstiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>EOF</td>
<td>Stiffened</td>
<td>Unfastened</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Direct Strength Method to address other member types such as I sections, hat sections, etc., is in web crippling.
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