Determination of the Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms C3435 and G2677T in MDR1 and C421A in BCRP in Blood Samples of Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Healthy Controls in the Swiss Population
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Abstract

Aims: P-glycoprotein (P-gp, ABCB1, MDR1) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP, ABCG2) protect the luminal cells of the gastrointestinal tract from potentially toxic substances. Genetic polymorphisms have previously been associated with disease susceptibility, severity, and treatment prognosis of inflammatory bowel disease. We investigated the prevalence of frequent single nucleotide polymorphisms of P-gp and BCRP in the Swiss population in healthy volunteers (n = 17) and patients newly diagnosed with Crohn’s Disease (CD, n = 34) or Ulcerative Colitis (UC, n = 38).

Methods: DNA from peripheral blood cells was used to assess genotype and allele frequencies of MDR1 C3435T, MDR1 G2677T, and BCRP C421A.

Results: Weak associations for BCRP C421A (p < 0.18) and MDR1 G2677T (p < 0.27) were seen in UC and a trend towards the wild type allele for MDR1 C3435T (p < 0.46). MDR1 3435CC / BCRP 421CC (χ²: 1.0142, p < 0.30) in UC and MDR1 2677G / BCRP 421A (X²: 1.5615, p < 0.22) also weakly correlated with UC. Results for BCRP C421A in particular justify further study.

Conclusions: Trends towards certain alleles and haplotypes were seen. These merit further studies in larger subgroups (e.g. by disease stage, therapy refractory patients, etc.).
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are a group of high-incidence inflammatory illnesses of the intestine, the two most prominent of which are ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) [1]. Both share key clinical features (e.g., nausea, maldigestion and malnutrition, and associated extra-intestinal manifestations [2]), but also differ in their predominant location and the type of inflammation (UC is restricted to the mucosa of the colon whereas CD affects the whole length of the gastrointestinal tract and all three layers of the epithelium).

There is increasing evidence that the common cause of IBD is a malfunction of the intestinal immune system and screening efforts support the existence of genetic susceptibility loci [3], which may also affect treatment options and prognosis [4].

P-glycoprotein (P-gp, MDR1)

P-glycoprotein (P-gp) is a 170 kDa transmembrane protein, member of the ATP binding cassette (ABC) family, and a well known mammalian efflux transporter that is expressed in many human organs with secretory or barrier function, including the liver, and the placenta [5]. It is also found on the endothelial capillaries of the brain [6], thereby contributing to the blood-brain-barrier function. P-gp is considered to protect the cell from xenobiotics and toxic compounds and accepts a wide variety of substrates, ranging from small organic ions to amino acids and even macromolecules like polysaccharides [7,8]. Other physiological functions are still subject of debate, but are thought to include regulation of apoptosis, stem-cell differentiation, cytokine modulation, and translocation of platelet-activating factor [9].

In the human gastrointestinal tract, P-gp is expressed predominantly apically on the epithelium of small intestine and colon, but also in the small biliary and pancreatic ductules [10]. P-gp is therefore seen as a contributor to gut mucosal defense and reduced activity is thought to be a co-factor in IBD pathogenesis [11].

P-gp is encoded by the MDR1/ABCB1 gene, located on Chromosome 7q21.1, and spans over 100 kb. However, MDR1 mRNA has a size of 4.7 kDa, implying that only a small percentage of the gene actually codes [12]. P-gp is currently the best studied ABC transporter and to date 50 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified, more than half of which reside in the coding region [13-15]. This interest stems from its ability to confer multidrug resistance in cancer cells by lowering the uptake of anti-cancer drugs [16]. Due to its promiscuity and localization, it also affects the bioavailability of many other drugs [17] and exposure to one of its substrates can render the cell resistant to a wide range of compounds [18].
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Most SNPs in the coding region of P-gp have a relatively low frequency (<8%) [15]. With a reported prevalence of 34.3 % in Caucasians and 16.9 % in Asians, the SNP C3435T (exon 26) affecting the nucleotide binding domain (NBD) 2 is an exception [19]. Even though C3435T is a silent SNP, early studies [20] showed a decrease in duodenal MDR1 protein expression. Reasons for its effects are still subject of debate [21] and a possible link between this SNP and IBD is also inconclusive [12].

The tri-allelic G2677T/A SNP (Ala893Ser/Thr), known to decrease MDR1 transport activity, is also frequently assessed. There are indications that the MDR1 G2677T allele is in linkage disequilibrium with C3435T, offering a possible explanation for loss of activity associated with this silent SNP [22]. MDR1 G2677T is not equally well understood as its prevalence is very low (around 1%) [12] and high sample sizes are needed to give valid estimates. Some authors therefore chose to exclude it in their studies [23,24].

Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP)

Breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP, ABCP, MXR) is the product of the ABCG2 gene, located on chromosome 4q22 and spanning > 66 kb. With reference to other dimer members of the ABC family of transporters, which consist of twelve transmembrane domains (TMD) and two ATP binding domains (ABD), BCRP has been characterized as a half-transporter, as it only has six TMDs and one ABD [25]. BCRP is expressed in the epithelium of the small intestine and small biliary ducts as well as in the breast and placenta [26]. Like P-gp, it is assumed to maintain functional barriers and has been shown to be a limiting factor in drug absorption and distribution, e.g. in topotecan, doxorubicin, and mitoxantrone [27,28].

The non-synonymous C421A SNP (Q141K) affects the ABD of BCRP and substrate binding properties [29]. Its prevalence is quite high, with about 30 % in Asian (particularly Han Chinese) and >10 % in Caucasian populations while it is rarely seen in African populations [30,31]. Strikingly, no associations between the SNP and intestinal expression of mRNA or protein could be found [32]. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies, on the other hand, have shown this SNP to be disruptive of BCRP activity. Clinical trials with diltomotecan, a novel anti-cancer agent inhibiting topoisomerase 1, showed decreased plasma concentration in patients heterozygous for this SNP compared to wild type [33,34]. Recently, the increased placental crossing of the anti-diabetic agent glyburide (glibenclamide) has been demonstrated in stably transfected human embryonic kidney (HEK)-293 cells expressing the polymorphic ABCG2 (Q141K) [35].

Synergisms of p-gp and BCRP

ABC transporters, and P-gp and BCRP in particular, play an important role in tissue defense. Both are highly expressed in so-called side population (SP) cells, a line of primitive cells derived from bone marrow stem cells. SP cells have been detected in non-hematopoietic tissue and the two efflux pumps are thought to contribute strongly to tissue defense and regeneration in many organs [36]. A further synergism between P-gp and BCRP lies in their overlapping substrate affinity, for example the anti-cancer agent doxorubicin [37], the α1-receptor antagonist alfuzosin, and the histamine H2-receptor antagonist cimetidine [38]. Also, recent work by Tai et al. [39] indicates that both are involved in the clearance of the neurotoxic amyloid beta from the brain, thereby protecting individuals from Alzheimer’s disease. It may suggest considering these two efflux pumps together in studies of pharmacokinetics or pathogenesis.

Haplotypes of MDR1 and BCRP have been reported to be associated with different inflammatory disorders of the gut. Urckelay et al. [40], for example, have identified a susceptibility haplotype (2677T / G3435T) for CD. Fiedler et al. [41] found a similar association, most notably for the MDR1 2677GG / 3435TT haplotype with UC, but not for CD. The haplotypes investigated by Ho et al. [23] showed positive (MDR1 G2677 / 3435T) and negative (MDR1 2677T / 3435G) correlations with UC, and confirmed the findings of Fiedler et al. [42] Haplotypes including BCRP C421A have been less frequently assessed and no associations with a combined IBD endpoint are known of to date.

Methods and Materials

Subjects

We recruited a total of 89 unrelated volunteers (54 female, 35 male; age: 49.9 years ± range; weight: 72.3 kg ± range; height: 1.68 m ± range; BMI: 25.5 kg/m² ± range) in a series of intestinal mucosa biopsies and blood samples taken between 2001 and 2007 as part of a regular diagnostic procedure. Diagnosis of UC and CD were according to current clinical knowledge and based on radiological, endoscopic, and histopathological assessment [43]. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethik-Kommission Reider Basel, EKBB) and informed consent was obtained by all volunteers.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral EDTA-blood using the QIAamp DNA blood Kit (Quiagen, Hilden, Germany). 1μl of 10 ng/μl of genomic DNA was added in a well for a multiplex allelic discrimination assay along with 9 μl solution consisting of Applied Biosystems TaqMan MasterMix, forward primer, reverse primer, probes specific for the SNP being examined (see below), and RNase-free water. Samples were pipetted onto a 384-well PCR plate (Treff Lab®). The probe stock solutions (≥100 pmol/μl) were diluted to a concentration of 2 pmol/μl for allelic discrimination analysis. During a run, samples were heated to 50°C for 2 minutes, then subjected to 95°C for initial denaturation. Then, 40 cycles of a two-step PCR were performed at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 60 s.

Primers and probes

The primer set for BCRP C421A was designed with Primer Express software (Version 2.0, Applied Biosystems) and ordered at Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The sequences for the probes were taken from software (Version 2.0, Applied Biosystems) and ordered at Invitrogen (Version 2.0, Applied Biosystems). The primer set for BCRP C421A was designed with Primer Express software (Version 2.0, Applied Biosystems) and ordered at Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The sequences for the probes were taken from software (Version 2.0, Applied Biosystems) and ordered at Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Artificial templates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sequence</th>
<th>probe 1</th>
<th>probe 2</th>
<th>forward primer</th>
<th>reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'-FAM-CTGCTGAGAACTGTAAGT-MGB-3'</td>
<td>5'-FIC-CTGCTGAGAACTTAAAGT-MGB-3'</td>
<td>5'-TTTGTGATCCTGGACTCAG-3'</td>
<td>5'-TCATAATTGGTGCAAGCCGAA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Primers and Probes used for detection of the Breast Cancer Resistance Protein single nucleotide polymorphism C421A.
assay ordered from Applied Biosystems. The MDR1 C3435T SNP was assessed with primers and probes according to work by Eap et al. [45].

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated the distribution of genotypes from the allele frequencies and compared our observations with distributions expected from an assumed Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using Pearson’s X² test with two degrees of freedom (df = 2). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All evaluations were performed using Gnu R (http://r-project.org, version 2.8.1) on Microsoft Windows.

**Results and Discussion**

**Determination of genotypes for BCRP C421A, MDR1 C3435T, and MDR1 G2677T**

Distributions of all genotypes were calculated according to the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. We determined allele frequencies for the individual patient groups (CD, UC) and a combination of both patient groups (IBD). Odds ratios (OR) are given with a 95% confidence interval (CI) (See Table 2).

**MDR1 C3435T**

Wild type and mutant alleles were distributed equally in healthy controls. This finding is in accordance with previously published studies [46]. A slight, but statistically insignificantly increased value of the wild type allele in UC (OR: 0.93; CI: 0.31 – 6.20, p < 0.73) or the combined endpoint IBD (OR: 0.53; CI: 0.14 – 2.27, p < 0.33).

**BCRP C421A**

The wild type was far more abundant (94%) than the mutant allele. There is a clear trend towards the mutant allele in UC (OR: 3.51; CI: 0.63 – 37.03, p < 0.18), albeit not a significant one. Patients with CD (OR: 1.68; CI: 0.27 – 18.47, p < 0.71) and the combined group of IBD patients (OR: 1.36; CI: 0.25 – 6.50, p < 0.73) or the combined endpoint IBD (OR: 1.53; CI: 0.31 – 6.20, p < 0.5).

**MDR1 G2677T**

Although this SNP is actually tri-allelic, we limited ourselves to the mutant allele due to our relatively small sample size. Other groups have done the same [23,24]. At 85%, the wild type allele was more prevalent than the mutant allele in healthy controls. Compared to this, an association was seen with the wild type allele in UC (93%; OR: 0.42, CI: 0.08 – 2.20, p < 0.27) but no difference in either CD (OR: 0.63; CI: 0.15 – 3.04, p < 0.52) or the combined endpoint IBD (OR: 0.53; CI: 0.14 – 2.27, p < 0.33).

**Table 2:** Genotype and allele frequencies of BCRP C421A, MDR1 C3435T, and MDR1 G2677T in UC (n=34), CD (n=38), IBD (n=72), and healthy controls (n=17). All groups are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval
understood, the mutant allele showed the strongest correlation with UC of all SNPs in this article. An analysis in a larger sample of the population may reveal a statistically significant connection.

In our survey of haplotypes, we found no cases of MDR1 2677T/G3435T. However, our results justify further research of the prevalence of the haplotypes MDR1 C3435T/BCRP C421A and MDR1 G2677T/BCRP C421A, both of which were weakly associated with susceptibility to UC. Again, a larger sample size would be desirable.

Data on the role of BCRP C421A in disease pathogenesis is still scarce, although this is increasingly coming into focus. Its pathogenic potential has been recently demonstrated in a study in the Han Chinese population, showing a strong association between C421A and susceptibility to gout [48]. On the other hand, no association with the risk for colorectal cancer (for which UC is a known risk factor) could be demonstrated in an analysis of the Danish population [49]. The effects of BCRP C421A in disease remain ambivalent.

MDR1 as a candidate gene has received more attention in the past. In line with our findings of a weak association of the wild type 2677G with IBD, this selective analytical subgroup approach seems very sensible, given the generally weak associations of single genetic variants or haplotypes, as a way to increase sensitivity without the need of very large sample sizes. Unfortunately, the clinical information available was not detailed enough to allow for such an analysis. This, of course, is largely due to the size of the general Swiss population itself. Drawing greater sample sizes would involve a considerably longer sampling period and involvement of more centers on the national level. It is questionable that sufficient homogeneity as to stage of disease, treatment history, and so on, can be achieved in such a small population.

The etiology and pathogenesis of IBD remains poorly understood. The many factors known to influence disease susceptibility and phenotype cannot be pinned down to any single SNP, nor is any haplotype likely to discriminate the many facets of these diseases [52]. However, knowledge of the activity of efflux transporters at various stages of disease and in different populations will ultimately aid in the pathogenetic understanding and improved individualized therapy based on pharmacogenetic principles. Further studies should focus on patient subgroups based on disease progression and response to treatment.
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