Critical Success Factor for Industrialized Building System Process Protocol Map by Lean Construction management
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Abstract
This paper is exploring the process protocol map in industrialized building systems. Several critical success factors were identified and classified in seven groups namely; just-in-time factors, total quality management factors, business process reengineering factors, concurrent engineering factors, last planner system factors, teamwork factors and value based management factors. Using the Delphi technique, factors were established first by experts of construction projects. Afterward, the groups of factors were confirmed by the construction industry experts using the questionnaires based on Fuzzy AHP techniques for factors comparison. Based on the results the lean construction critical success factors are concurrent engineering and just in time factors respectively, which are the most important critical success factors in lean construction projects according to the industrialized building system process protocol map.
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Introduction
Industrialized Building System (IBS) is one of the technology which can be categorized as an old in developed country but yet considered as a key technology when reached developing countries such as Asian region [1]. Several world major construction industry authorities have recommended the industry to extend the use of modern construction methods and information technology. Specifically, the use of mechanization in construction that leads to the production of Industrialized Building System (IBS) may help to ease the pressures of labour requirements whilst boosting quality and productivity [2]. Badir et al. [3] defined IBS as "technology is the mass factory-produced building components off-site, then they are properly assembled and joined on-site to form the final units". The five standard characteristics of IBS are prefabrication, offsite production, mass production, standardized components and design using modular coordination [4].

One of the other improvement methods or philosophy in construction had been developed by others to overcome the efficiency of construct such as lean construction [5]. Lean Construction is a philosophy based on the concepts of lean production which is developed by Toyota. It is a high-level process map that aims to provide a framework to help companies achieve an improved design and construction process. The map was drawn from the principles of Toyota production system. It specifically mentioned that the machine have changed the world [6]. Womack et. Al [7] suggested that lean production is able to reduce the overall cost especially the indirect cost while still maintaining the quality standards and reducing manufacturing cycle time. This paper is to identify the critical success factor in implementation of Lean in construction with specifically focusing on the Industrialized Building System (IBS) method of constructing the building. The content of the paper seek the literature establishment of IBS are prefabrication, offsite production, mass production, standardized components and design using modular coordination [4].

The process protocol elements
The process protocol is divided into four main stages as follows:

1. Pre-project stage: relates to the strategic business considerations of any potential, in which it aims to address a client’s need.

2. Pre-construction stage: defines how the client’s need is developed into an appropriate design solution.

3. Construction stage: production of the project solution.

Lean Construction
The definition of Lean Construction (LC)
Koskela [8] define LC as "Advantages of the new production philosophy in terms of productivity, quality, and indicators were solid enough in practice in order to enhance the rapid diffusion of the new principles”. Howell [9] and Lukowski [10] reflected Koskela’s definition and make a link between them. They defined LC is the practical application of lean manufacturing principles, or lean thinking, to the building environment. Yahya and Mohamad [11] emphasized to the elimination of construction waste. They defined LC as "Lean construction is about managing and improving the construction process to profitability in delivering what the customer needs by eliminating waste in the construction flow by the use of right principle, resources and measure to deliver things right first time".

Process protocol map
The Generic Design and Construction Process Protocol were created by the University of Salford in 1998 in an attempt to improve the prevailing situation. It is a high-level process map that aims to provide a framework to help companies achieve an improved design and construction process. The map was drawn from the principles developed within the manufacturing industry that include stakeholder involvement, teamwork and feedback. It reconstructs the design and construction team in terms of Activity Zones rather than in disciplines to create a cross-functional team. These Activity Zones are multi-functional and may consist of a network of disciplines to enact a specific task of the project, allowing the 'product' to drive the process rather than the function as in a sequential approach. The concept of the Process Protocol was based on a number of issues and deficiencies of the current practices in the construction industry. This enabled the identification of areas for improvement by examining and comparing best practice in manufacturing project processes [12].
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4. Post-construction/completion stage: aims to continually monitor and manage the maintenance needs of the constructed facility.

According to the above-mentioned stage of process, the key concepts of lean construction with process protocol map are shown in Table 1.

Critical success factors and the benefits of lean construction

According to the various researches, some critical factors of adaptation of lean construction are:

1. Improving projects delivery methods [13-16].
2. Supporting the development of team work and transfer the responsibility on the supply chain [17-19].
3. Managing uncertainties in supply [19,20].
4. Continuous improvement within projects [13,21-25].
5. Efficient use of resources [13,16,24,26].
6. Delivery of products and services [13,15,17,27,24].
7. Delivery of products and services on time and within budget [18,23,28].
8. Delivery of custom products instantly without waste [18,20,27].
9. Reduction on direct cost and time in transportation and communication [20,18].
10. Well informed business case design at all project level [17,18,21].
11. Improved quality control and minimization of risks [26,29,30].
12. Minimization of conflicts that can dramatically change budget and schedule [23].
13. Improved reliability, accountability, certainty and honesty within the project environment [15,23,31,32].

Accordingly, it can be summarized that the critical success factors of Lean Construction are highly related to the Just-In-Time factors, Total Quality Management factors, Business Process Reengineering factors, Concurrent Engineering factors, Last Planner System factors, Teamwork factors and Value Based Management factors.

Related research finding to lean construction

Gibb and Isack’s [33] explain the outcomes of the interview that rated the benefits in non-direct cost terms, such as minimization of on-site operations, reduction of site congestion and project duration, and improved health and safety. Thus, although off-site production offers direct cost benefits, the main benefits are from indirect cost savings and non-cost value adding items.

Jaillon et al. discuss on the waste reduction potential of using prefabrication in building construction in Hong Kong. They found out that construction waste reduction was one of the major benefits when using prefabrication compared to conventional construction. Lim [5] identify the level of knowledge of local construction practitioners’ and compare the potential barriers in implementation of lean construction in Industrialized Building System (IBS) and Conventional type of construction. He identified three potential barriers which most of the respondent’s agreed and occurred very frequently on site that are (1) ineffective management practices (in conventional construction), (2) Just-in-time (JIT) delivery of materials on site (for IBS construction) and (3) ”Cut and Paste” from previous project (in both conventional and IBS type of construction). Thanoon [34], Zawawi [1] also identified some factors which affect the usage of IBS type of construction. These factors are critical in IBS implementation and saying that they are the demand and the market factors, the government initiatives and also the availability of expertise in IBS construction. Noriwani [35] studied the critical success factor in adopting IBS for Malaysia construction industry. She found that the most critical success factors in adopting IBS were flexibility of IBS components, client expectancy, and market security. Meanwhile, in technical aspect, the design process was the critical factor in successful IBS adoption for construction. Sani and Fazry [36] also identified and ranked the Critical Success Factors (CSFs) for contractors in implementing IBS and also creating a project management guideline for contractor in order to implement IBS construction projects. Anuar et al. [37], mentioned that the CSFs in IBS were essentially training and education for the construction players, leadership and organization structure of the construction organization, cost management, supply chain and procurement, information technology, site management, change management, optimization, design integration, capital expenditure planning and risk assessment. Mirza [38] studying further CSFs and barriers of IBS adoption for local Malaysia industry of construction and mentioned that the flexibility of IBS and initial cost is the main factor in determining the success of IBS projects.

Methodology

The researcher first identified the several critical success factors that related to lean construction in construction process. It was identified as seven group of classification. These factors are included; just-in-time factors, total quality management factors, business process reengineering factors, concurrent engineering factors, last planner system factors, teamwork factors and value based management factors. After this classification, in next step, the identified critical success factors (CSFs) were distributed within the Delphi questionnaire among the construction experts who are divided in two groups of management and construction engineers. These two groups were asked to comment on the factors to obtain the refusal or confirmation to the group of classification. They were also asked to consider adding up some other factors in the questionnaire besides the seven classifications that has been identified before. At this stage, all factors were gathered in the library of studies and were affirmed that no new indicator will be added to previous indicators [39]. After confirming these factors as critical success factors by the experts which are participated in survey, the researcher used another questionnaire based on Fuzzy AHP techniques, to compare these factors and prioritize them from the most effective to the less critical. The analytic hierarchy process, which first proposed by Saaty. (AHP) is a decision making approach that considers both qualitative and quantitative data and combines them by decomposing ill-structured problems into systematic hierarchies to rank alternatives based on a number of criteria. This approach has become one of the most widely used multiple-criteria decision-making (MCDM) methods because it provides a proven, effective means for dealing with complex systems related to making a choice, enables the decision-maker to incorporate subjectivity, experience, and knowledge into the decision process in an intuitive and natural way and computes the weight for each criterion and the final weighted average score for each alternative. Since fuzziness and vagueness are common characteristics in many decision-making problems, a fuzzy AHP (FAHP) is used as a complement approach of CSF to develop the framework of prioritizing IBS systems [40-43].

Findings

In this survey data gathered via questionnaire survey. The questionnaire contains proposed CSF indicators and their criteria.
The questionnaires were distributed among sample and ask them for comparing the importance of each CSF indicator to another one and compare the importance of each criterion under each indicator to the other one at the same indicator. The scale used in this questionnaire is presented in Table 2. After gathering the critical success factors for implementing the Lean and existence of Lean philosophy in construction, in following the critical success factors for implementing the Lean is verified. In order to analyzing the collected data, Fuzzy AHP has been used by converting the linguistic data (qualitative data) into triangular fuzzy numbers (quantitative data) as shown in Table 3. Surveys were sent to 72 managers and engineers in 3 companies which all of them were received back. So the respond rate is approximately 100%. To calculate the final score engineers of each indicator and criterion, the arithmetic operations between the respond rate is approximately 100%. To calculate the final score engineers of each indicator and criterion, the arithmetic operations between

In the next step, Si vectors have been calculated as follows:

\[
S_1 = (6.67,18.29,23.23) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.04, 0.27, 0.79)
\]

\[
S_2 = (2.28, 8.75, 21) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.01, 0.13, 0.72)
\]

\[
S_3 = (2.06, 13.17, 13.33) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.01, 0.10, 0.59)
\]

\[
S_4 = (5.16, 18.29, 21.73) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.03, 0.27, 0.59)
\]

\[
S_5 = (5.12, 12.28, 25.83) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.03, 0.19, 0.88)
\]

\[
S_6 = (2.76, 5.37, 26.61) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.02, 0.08, 0.91)
\]

\[
S_7 = (5.16, 10.54, 34.69) \odot (1, \frac{1}{166.02}, \frac{1}{68.37}, \frac{1}{29.21}) = (0.03, 0.15, 1.19)
\]

Then Si vectors were compared using the following formulation:

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]

\[
V(S_i \geq S_j) = \frac{1}{d(I_i)} \geq \frac{1}{d(I_j)}
\]
projects. A prioritized list of CSFs was used to guide vendors to design and develop better systems and also assist managers to improve and justify existing IBS systems [46]. This approach for evaluation provides opportunity for industries and companies to concentrate on key issues and it can also offer beneficial information in strategic planning of IBS.

It should be noted that the most important aspect of effective implementation of lean construction process is training. Paton et al also noted that training is very important in the implementation of lean as it involves teaching stakeholders and practitioners of the lean tools and techniques available to them. Also, the Construction Lean Awareness Workshop (CLAW) which is held in most developed countries should be encouraged. CLAW has proven to be vital in helping companies understand the advantages and opportunities of lean construction and they have also been involved in hands on training with operatives, staff and management of companies on lean tools [47-49].

Table 2: The questionnaires which is distributed among sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group of Factors</th>
<th>CSF 1</th>
<th>CSF 2</th>
<th>CSF 3</th>
<th>CSF 4</th>
<th>CSF 5</th>
<th>CSF 6</th>
<th>CSF 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSF 1</td>
<td>(1, 1, 1)</td>
<td>(1, 1.33, 3)</td>
<td>(3, 3.67, 5)</td>
<td>(0.33, 4.11, 7)</td>
<td>(0.14, 4.05, 7)</td>
<td>(0.2, 1.8, 5)</td>
<td>(1, 1.33, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF 2</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.43, 1)</td>
<td>(1, 1.1)</td>
<td>(0.33, 1.44, 3)</td>
<td>(0.14, 1.78, 5)</td>
<td>(0.2, 1.18, 3)</td>
<td>(0.14, 1.8, 5)</td>
<td>(0.14, 1, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF 3</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.27, 0.33)</td>
<td>(0.33, 1.44, 3)</td>
<td>(1, 1.1)</td>
<td>(0.14, 1.76, 5)</td>
<td>(0.14, 1.08, 3)</td>
<td>(0.11, 0.45, 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF 4</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.24, 3)</td>
<td>(0.2, 4.07, 7)</td>
<td>(0.33, 4.11, 7)</td>
<td>(1, 1.1)</td>
<td>(3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>(0.33, 1.22, 3)</td>
<td>(0.16, 0.67, 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF 5</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.25, 7)</td>
<td>(0.33, 2.78, 5)</td>
<td>(0.2, 4.73, 7)</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.33, 0.33)</td>
<td>(1, 1.1)</td>
<td>(3, 1.33, 5)</td>
<td>(0.12, 0.36, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF 6</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.55, 5)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.55, 7.14)</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.92, 7.14)</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.82, 3)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.75, 0.33)</td>
<td>(1, 1.1)</td>
<td>(0.5, 0.78, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF 7</td>
<td>(0.5, 0.75, 1)</td>
<td>(0.33, 1.71, 14)</td>
<td>(0.5, 2.22, 9)</td>
<td>(0.5, 1.5, 6.25)</td>
<td>(2, 2.77, 8.3)</td>
<td>(0.33, 1.3, 2)</td>
<td>(1, 1, 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Triangular fuzzy numbers extracted from questionnaire data collection.
CSFs Weight of the CSFs
concurrent engineering factors 0.16
Just- In- Time factors 0.16
Last planner system factors 0.15
Value Based Management factors 0.15
Total Quality Management factors 0.14
teamwork factors 0.13
Business process Reengineering factors 0.09

Table 4: Final prioritizing CSFs based on the Fuzzy AHP model.
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