

Research Article

Comparative Study of Two Therapies Pharmacological Based a Ivermectin and Febendazol by *Strongyles* Control Intestinal in Thorougbreds Horses

Sneiry Pérez-Álvarez¹, Keysamir Rojas-Mujica¹, Héctor Bello3, Diana Villoria³ and Abelardo Morales^{2,3*}

¹School of Pharmacy University of Santa María, Venezuela

²Department of Pathology Faculty of Veterinary Science Central University of Venezuela, Venezuela ³Racetrack Animal Health Division "La Rinconada" Caracas-Venezuela, Venezuela

Abstract

The aim of this study was to comparative of two therapies pharmacological based ivermectin and febendazol by *Strongyles* control intestinal in Thoroughbreds horses. Were study 110 horses all of 2 years old (55 Stallion and 55 Mares), in the Racetrack "La Rinconada" Caracas-Venezuela. A stool test was performed prior to treatment to each

horse. Group 1: 50 horses were evaluated before treatment, using a stool test, then was given Ivermectin (0.2 mg/kg) 1% 7 ml, with reassessment intramuscularly coprological at 7 days. Group 2: 50 horses were evaluated before treatment, using a stool test, Fenbendazole was later administered (5 mg/kg) 18.8% oral paste 12 g. With reassessment coprological at 7 days. Group 3: 10 equines those not administered drug therapy, alone parasite burden was assessed using a fecal examination by Willis test. Anthelminitics effectiveness was conducted by counting fecal drug-treated group and the untreated control group. The results Group1 with Ivermectin 2.38 EPG showed pre-treatment and post treatment 7 days EPG 0.48. The group2 with Fenbendazole obtained 2.66 EPG and 7 days post treatment was obtained 0.06 EPG. Group 3 without medication present the following day 1.9 EPG value and then at 7 days 2 EPG. Comparing the effective against the equine intestinal *Strongylus*, taking a percentage of 97% in comparison with specifically macrocyclic lactones which Ivermectin obtained a much lower drug efficacy throwing only 76%.

Keywords: Strongyles; Ivermectin; Fenbendazole; Horses

Introduction

Strongyles are the most common parasites of the horse. We consider two large groups, large and small [1]. In horses, small Strongyles are Cyathostominae subfamily or small Strongyles, including 8 nematode genus. Large Strongyles are common parasites of the large intestine, where the larvae migrate in complex ways throughout the body. Among the three major species of large Strongyles (Strongylus vulgaris), S. edentatus, S. equinus, the S. vulgaris is the most common pathogen [1]. The aetiology is small Strongylus taken for ingestion of L3 parasitic cysts that form in the colon causing chronic enteritis. While large Strongylus involves ingestion of L3 larvae and its effect is also hematófago is capable of extra-intestinal migration evolve verminous arteritis. Clinical signs: Colic, diarrhea, weight loss, Anemia, poor performance, shaggy hair and mortality. The classic method is stool test: Stool, molecular biology (PCR), necropsy, histopathology. Treatment is a base of Benzimidazoles, Macrocyclic Lactones, including combined ivermectin with pyrantel and ivermectin in combination with praziquantel. The aims of this study was to comparative of two therapies pharmacological based a ivermectin and febendazol by Strongyles control intestinal in Thoroughbreds horses.

Materials and Methods

We studied 110 horses all of 2 years old (55 Stallion and 55 Mares), in the Racetrack "La Rinconada" Caracas-Venezuela. All the same low feeding and management conditions over a period of 45 days. A stool test was performed prior to treatment to each horse.

Group 1: 50 horses were evaluated before treatment, using a stool test, then was given Ivermectin (0.2mg/kg) (Topp ivermectin, injectable solution) 1% 7 ml, with reassessment intramuscularly coprological at 7 days.

Group 2: 50 horses were evaluated before treatment, using a stool test, Fenbendazole was later administered (5 mg/kg) (Gold * PLUS Calox) 18.8% oral paste 12 g, with reassessment coprological at 7 days.

Group 3: 10 equines those not administered drug therapy, alone parasite burden was assessed using a fecal examination by Willis. Anthelmintics effectiveness was conducted by counting fecal drugtreated group and the untreated control group.

Results

Group1 with Ivermectin 2.38 EPG showed pre-treatment and posttreatment 7 days EPG 0.48. The group2 with Fenbendazole obtained 2.66 EPG and 7 days post treatment was obtained 0.06 EPG. Group 3 without medication present the following day 1.9 EPG value and then at 7 days 2 EPG.

Comparing the effectiveness of both drug treatment and fenbendazole ivermectin antiparasitic indicate that fenbendazole base is more effective against the equine intestinal *Strongylus*, taking a percentage of 97% in comparison with specifically macrocyclic lactones

*Corresponding author: Abelardo Morales, Department of Pathology Faculty of Veterinary Science Central University of Venezuela, Venezuela, E-mail: aamorales13@gmail.com

Received August 07, 2013; Accepted September 29, 2013; Published October 01, 2013

Citation: Pérez-Álvarez S, Rojas-Mujica K, Bello H, Villoria D, Morales A (2013) Comparative Study of Two Therapies Pharmacological Based a Ivermectin and Febendazol by *Strongyles* Control Intestinal in Thorougbreds Horses. J Veterinar Sci Technol 4: 144. doi:10.4172/2157-7579.1000144

Copyright: © 2013 Pérez-Álvarez S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Pérez-Álvarez S, Rojas-Mujica K, Bello H, Villoria D, Morales A (2013) Comparative Study of Two Therapies Pharmacological Based a Ivermectin and Febendazol by *Strongyles* Control Intestinal in Thorougbreds Horses. J Veterinar Sci Technol 4: 144. doi:10.4172/2157-7579.1000144

which Ivermectin obtained a much lower drug efficacy throwing only 76%. In the treated groups compared with the control group there was a notable decrease in intestinal *Strongyles* in both treatments, as it resulted in a decrease of the parasitic load, indicating a significant therapeutic effect on *Strongyles*. The categorization established possible to establish the degree of infestation and therapeutic response. Predominance was observed at the beginning of infestation post-treatment grade 2 and grade 0 and grade 1, respectively.

Discussion

The prevalence of *Strongylus* infestations have been reported worldwide and has been a major problem for the health of the horse, as suggested by more recent studies [2-7]. In comparison with our studies in both the elimination of infestations treatment was higher than 75%, however fenbendazole therapy was approximately 97%. This study did not consider post-therapeutic recurrence. Integrated control strategies of *Strongylus* are currently based in Anthelmintics (Strategic selective treatment): Conventional: Benzimidazoles (1960): pyrantel pamoate, febantel,

Fenbendazol. Nematicides (coupled tubulins/block digestive enzymes). Macrocyclic lactones (abamectin, ivermectin, moxidectin), including combined ivermectin with pyrantel (Tetrahydropyrimidine) and ivermectin in combination with praziquantel (pyrazinoisoquinolin derivative). Ivermectin: glutamate/chloride channel. Praziquantel: calcium ions.

The key to managing anthelmintic resistance is to keep parasites shelters and this concept is discussed in relation to treatment strategies, drug rotations and pasture management. Focus and surveillance of parasitic loads and regular testing of drug efficacy.

Biological and statistical considerations in evaluating drug efficacy in equine parasites using data Strongyle Faecal Egg Count [8]. Management Measures: Animal Management (grass), food, rotation pastures (susceptible/resistant). Monitoring host parasite load/environment. Deworming/paddocks download. General hygiene in very important; disinfections facilities. Biological control: an alternative method for reducing parasites by natural predators; D. flagrans: 106 chlamydospores kg/day mixed with food for 5 months [9] (73.5% reduction (p<0.05) last month, on the grass was not reflecting in a decrease of parasite infection for 5 months. Immunoprophylaxis: Vaccination against Strongylus vulgaris in ponies: comparison of the humoral and cytokine responses of vaccinates and nonvaccinates [10]. Experimental immunization of ponies with Strongylus vulgaris radiation-attenuated larvae or crude soluble somatic extracts from larval or adult stages. Monahan, et al. [11], Irradiated larval vaccination of ponies against Strongylus vulgaris. Klei et al. [12] Gamma irradiation (70,100 and 130) of larvae (L3). Homogenized soluble (Oral / IM) 6 weeks a 91% protection. Selection: The selection and crosses with resistant animals may promote resistance to infestation with Strongylus sp. In conclusion the results obtained indicate that Fenbendazole therapy is much more effective against intestinal Strongylus sp. therapeutics ivermectin in horses Thoroughbreds [13,14].

Acknowledgements

A UNICRIA, ASOPRORIN and the Directions of Veterinary Services National Institute Racecourses La Rinconada Caracas-Venezuela.

References

- 1. Power L (1990) Parasitism by nematodes in domestic animals in Venezuela. Faculty of Veterinary Science. Universidad Central de Venezuela.
- Kornaś S, Skalska M, Nowosad B, Gawor J, Kharchenko V, et al. (2009) Occurrence of strongyles (Strongylidae) in horses from small farms on the basis of necropsy. Pol J Vet Sci 12: 225-230.
- Hinney B, Wirtherle NC, Kyule M, Miethe N, Zessin KH, et al. (2011) Prevalence of helminths in horses in the state of Brandenburg, Germany. Parasitol Res 108: 1083-1091.
- Kuz'mina TA (2012) Strongylids (Nematoda: Strongylidae) of domestic horses in Ukraine: modern state of Fauna and structure of the parasite community. Parazitologiia 46: 127-138.
- Lem MF, Vincent KP, Pone JW, Joseph T (2012) Prevalence and intensity of gastro-intestinal helminths in horses in the Sudano-Guinean climatic zone of Cameroon. Trop Parasitol 2: 45-48.
- Pilo C, Altea A, Pirino S, Nicolussi P, Varcasia A, et al. (2012) Strongylus vulgaris (Looss, 1900) in horses in Italy: is it still a problem? Vet Parasitol 184: 161-167.
- Studzińska MB, Tomczuk K, Demkowska-Kutrzepa M, Szczepaniak K (2012) The Strongylidae belonging to Strongylus genus in horses from southeastern Poland. Parasitol Res 111: 1417-1421.
- Vidyashankar AN, Hanlon BM, Kaplan RM (2012) Statistical and biological considerations in evaluating drug efficacy in equine strongyle parasites using fecal egg count data. Vet Parasitol 185: 45-56.
- de Almeida GL, Santurio JM, Filho JO, Zanette RA, Camillo G, et al. (2012) Predatory activity of the fungus Duddingtonia flagrans in equine strongyle infective larvae on natural pasture in the Southern Region of Brazil. Parasitol Res 110: 657-662.
- Swiderski CE, Klei TR, Folsom RW, Pourciau SS, Chapman A, et al. (1999) Vaccination against Strongylus vulgaris in ponies: comparison of the humoral and cytokine responses of vaccinates and nonvaccinates. Adv Vet Med 41: 389-404.
- Monahan CM, Taylor HW, Chapman MR, Klei TR (1994) Experimental immunization of ponies with Strongylus vulgaris radiation-attenuated larvae or crude soluble somatic extracts from larval or adult stages. J Parasitol 80: 911-923.
- Klei TR, Torbert BJ, Chapman MR, Ochoa R (1982) Irradiated larval vaccination of ponies against strongylus vulgaris. J Parasitol 68: 561-569.
- Nielsen MK, Fritzen B, Duncan JL, Guillot J, Eysker M, et al. (2010) Practical aspects of equine parasite control: a review based upon a workshop discussion consensus. Equine Vet J 42: 460-468.
- Nielsen MK, Vidyashankar AN, Olsen SN, Monrad J, Thamsborg SM (2012) Strongylus vulgaris associated with usage of selective therapy on Danish horse farms-is it reemerging? Vet Parasitol 189: 260-266.