Collapsing Glomerulopathy in Transplanted Kidneys: Only a Tip of the Iceberg?
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Renal transplantation is the treatment of choice for patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) from a variety of causes. Although the short term results of renal transplantation have improved remarkably during the recent past, the long term outcomes have not improved to the same extent [1]. The long term success is marred by the occurrence of gradual onset of the often irreversible graft parenchymal scarring process, previously called as chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN), and now replaced by the term interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (IF/TA) by the Banff group [2]. The later complication is multifactorial in origin and is the final common pathway resulting from both immune and non-immune mechanisms of graft injury [1,2]. Among the non-immune causes, the occurrence of recurrent or de novo renal diseases, especially the glomerulopathies, is of particular concern, as the frequency of this complication tends to rise with increasing post transplantation duration and is one of the major causes of IF/TA in the long run [3].

Collapsing glomerulopathy (CG) is one of the distinctive patterns of proliferative renal parenchymal injury characterized histologically by the implosive, segmental and/or global wrinkling and collapse of the glomerular capillaries with sub-occlusion of the capillary lumen, marked hypertrophy and hypercellularity of podocytes, and severe tubulointerstitial damage [4]. It was initially described in native kidney biopsies [5-7], but more recently reports have appeared in the literature on its occurrence in the renal allograft biopsies [8]. Although initially thought to be caused by human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), the lesion is now known to be triggered by a large variety of unrelated clinical conditions and etiologic agents in the native kidneys [4,9]. More importantly, the list of associated clinical conditions/etiologic agents is growing day by day and encompasses the whole range of injurious agents from genetic, infections, drugs and chemicals, autoimmune, neoplastic, to ischemic diseases [4]. In addition, while the majority of the early reports on CG originated from centers in United States or Europe [5-7,10,11], more recently the lesion is also being increasingly recognized in the developing countries, implying a cosmopolitan distribution [12-15]. The data on CG in transplanted kidneys is still, however, sparse, and as alluded to earlier, most of the small case series that are available in literature have appeared from United States or Europe [16-21], but the lesion in this setting is also being increasingly reported from developing countries as well [22,23].

Although the etiological and clinical associations of CG in native kidneys are still poorly characterized, the same in transplanted kidneys are still poorly described. The disease may recur post renal transplantation or may arise de novo. Majority of the reports on posttransplant CG have found de novo occurrence of this lesion [8,16,20]. Meehan et al. [8] were the first to report five cases of de novo CG after renal transplantation, which constituted 0.6% of all renal allograft biopsies and 3.2% of their biopsies after 1993. They also found two cases of recurrent CG in their 892 allograft biopsies from 1079 patients [8]. Soon after, Stokes et al. [16] also from United States, reported six patients with de novo CG after renal transplantation and one patient with recurrent disease. The mean duration to diagnosis of CG post transplantation was 17.6 months in the series by Meehan et al. [8] and markedly longer (74 months) in the series by Stokes et al. [16], implying that CG, especially the de novo variety, may be a delayed complication of renal transplantation. More recently, Swaminathan et al. [20] studied in one of the largest series in renal transplant patients, 29 cases of posttransplant FSGS, 10 of collapsing focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (cFSGS) and 19 of non-collapsing FSGS (ncFSGS), and compared the presenting and follow-up data in the two groups. In the later series, there was no significant difference in age, gender, weight, delayed function, human leukocyte antigen (HLA) matching, acute rejection, and median time to diagnosis between cFSGS and ncFSGS groups. Deceased donor source was significantly more common in cFSGS group than in ncFSGS group. Patients with cFSGS presented with significantly more severe proteinuria and higher serum creatinine as compared with ncFSGS patients. The authors of the later study also did not find any evidence of viral etiology of the disease by in situ hybridization (ISH). From the developing world, Gupta et al. [22] from India have reported their experience on nine cases of CG in two years. The lesion constituted 3.5% of all their allograft biopsies, a rate slightly exceeding that of Meehan et al. [8] on biopsies after 1993. Although we have not systematically analyzed our data, but our findings are more or less concordant with those of the Indian study [23].

Although the clinical and laboratory parameters on presentation in some of the published series of posttransplant CG are similar to previously reported native kidney disease patients, there are a number of important differences. Only 10% to 14% of patients in these studies were black [8,20], as compared with greater than 50% of patients in native renal biopsy series from the United States [4]. Patients also presented with markedly less proteinuria and a lower incidence of nephrotic syndrome (14%) than patients with CG in the native renal biopsies [8]. The reasons for these differences in clinical presentation in these studies are not completely understood, but may partly be due to more aggressive biopsy practices in transplant patients with graft dysfunction or the attenuation of the disease process by immunosuppressive agents used in renal transplant recipients [20].

The pathogenesis of CG in renal transplant patients is as poorly understood as in native kidneys. An interesting hypothesis put forward by multiple investigators is that the pathogenesis of CG in renal transplant patients, especially of the de novo form, may be related to renal ischemia [8,10,16-21,23]. In Meehan et al. [8] series, four of the five patients had moderate to severe arteriolosclerosis and in Stokes et al. [16] series, five of the seven cases had moderate to severe vasculopathy that was related to cyclosporine (CsA) toxicity, thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA), and/or arteriolosclerosis. In Swaminathan et al. [20] series, the combined scores for arterial and arteriolar damage...
were higher in cFSGS group as compared to ncFSGS. Similarly, five of the nine patients (55.5\%) in Indian series [22] showed moderate to marked vascular lesions in their biopsies. Recently, Nadasdy et al. [19] reported on three allograft nephrectomy specimens that showed a zonal distribution of CG that were topographically related to the oblitervative vascular changes including chronic transplant arteriopathy, acute vascular rejection, and TMA. More recently, three case reports have provided a more direct evidence for the causal relationship among CG and the subtot/segmental infarction in three renal allograft patients [21]. The hypothesis that microvascular injury may be contributory to the pathogenesis of CG is also supported by studies in native kidneys [24]. A correlation between renal artery stenosis and FSGS also has been reported [25]. The above studies suggest that de novo CG in kidney allografts may be pathogenetically related to renovascular disease. A possible role for calcineurin-inhibitor (CNI) nephrotoxicity in the pathogenesis of de novo posttransplant FSGS has also been raised by many investigators in large studies of glomerular lesions among renal transplant patients [20]. CsA-based immunosuppression was more frequently employed among graft recipients with cFSGS compared with those with ncFSGS (50 vs 10.5\%, P=0.03) while sirolimus-based immunosuppression was more common among ncFSGS cases (10 vs 32\%, P=0.17) in the study by Swaminathan et al. [20]. The later study also found arteriolar hyalinosis more commonly in cFSGS cases than in ncFSGS patients.

CG after renal transplantation, as in native kidneys, has a uniformly poor prognosis. In the studies by Meehan et al. [8], and Stokes et al. [16], 100\% and 71\% of patients reached ESRD during the period of follow-up, respectively. The time to ESRD after the biopsy diagnosis averaged 9.8 months (range: 2-24 months) in Meehan et al.’s [8] series, and 2.6 months (range: 0 to 4 months) in Stokes et al. [16] series. Similarly, all patients with cFSGS progressed to graft loss within three years of diagnosis as compared with 40\% graft loss in ncFSGS in Swaminathan et al.’s [20] series. In the Indian cohort, the prognosis was more favorable, possibly due to a shorter follow up duration in that study [22,23], as in the study of Stokes et al. [16].

There is no evidence based therapy for CG in general, and in the renal allograft patients, in particular. Currently employed regimens utilize the same strategy as used for ncFSGS and comprises of high dose steroids and other immunosuppressants, along with plasmapheresis. The success of these regimens is however limited. Newer molecular and genetic insights into the pathogenesis of CG may change this ominous outlook for this therapeutically resistant form of glomerular disease in both native and transplanted kidneys.

In conclusion, although many advances have been made in understanding the etiology and pathogenesis of CG especially in native kidneys, the data are still sparse in renal transplant patients. The recent advancements in the pathogenetic understanding are likely to open up new avenues for research into the rational therapy of this disease in both native and transplant kidneys.
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