

# Clinical Implications of SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Immunocompromised Patients

Klint Lathen\*

Department of Infectious Diseases, Respiratory Medicine and Critical Care, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany

## Introduction

Since the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 in late 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically altered global health landscapes. While most individuals experience mild to moderate illness and recover, immunocompromised patients including those with primary immunodeficiencies, malignancies, organ transplants, autoimmune diseases, or on immunosuppressive therapy are disproportionately affected. The clinical management of COVID-19 in this vulnerable group has become even more complex due to the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern (VOCs), including Alpha, Beta, Delta, and Omicron and its sublineages. These variants exhibit mutations that can influence viral transmissibility, immune escape, and disease severity. For immunocompromised patients, such characteristics may significantly impact infection outcomes, vaccine responsiveness, diagnostic accuracy, and therapeutic effectiveness. This article explores the evolving clinical implications of SARS-CoV-2 variants in immunocompromised populations, underscoring diagnostic, preventive, and treatment challenges [1].

## Description

Immunocompromised patients present a unique interface with SARS-CoV-2, often displaying atypical clinical courses, prolonged viral shedding, and increased risk of severe complications. With the emergence of VOCs harboring mutations in the spike protein, particularly in the Receptor-Binding Domain (RBD), concerns have intensified regarding immune evasion. In individuals with impaired B-cell or T-cell immunity such as those undergoing chemotherapy, receiving B-cell depleting agents like rituximab, or post-organ transplantation the virus may persist for weeks or months. This prolonged viral replication creates an environment conducive to the emergence of intra-host viral mutations, which can lead to new variants and resistance to therapeutic monoclonal antibodies [2]. For instance, patients with hematological malignancies, particularly those with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) or multiple myeloma, show significantly impaired humoral responses to both natural infection and vaccination. Studies have shown that up to 30–40% of these patients fail to develop adequate neutralizing antibodies even after full vaccination, including booster doses. Furthermore, specific SARS-CoV-2 variants, such as Omicron and its sublineages (e.g., BA.4, BA.5, XBB), possess mutations that reduce vaccine-elicited neutralization capacity. This raises concern over breakthrough infections and recurrent illness in patients with limited immune competence. The ability of new variants to evade neutralizing antibodies also undermines monoclonal antibody-based therapies. Agents such as casirivimab/imdevimab and bamlanivimab/etesevimab, previously effective against early strains, lost efficacy against newer variants like Omicron. Consequently, treatment guidelines have shifted rapidly with the emergence of

each variant. The antiviral drugs nirmatrelvir/ritonavir (Paxlovid), remdesivir, and molnupiravir remain important options for early outpatient treatment or hospitalization, but their use in immunocompromised populations requires careful consideration due to drug interactions, renal or hepatic comorbidities, and concerns about viral rebound after treatment.

Another major concern is the diagnostic limitation posed by VOCs in immunocompromised hosts. While PCR-based testing remains reliable, antigen-based rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) may exhibit decreased sensitivity to variants with altered viral kinetics or lower nasopharyngeal viral loads, especially in patients with blunted immune responses. This diagnostic uncertainty can delay treatment and complicate infection control in hospitals and long-term care facilities. Vaccination strategies in immunocompromised individuals have been a cornerstone of COVID-19 risk reduction, but the response is often suboptimal. Studies have revealed that organ transplant recipients, especially those on high-dose immunosuppression, show significantly reduced seroconversion after standard two-dose mRNA vaccination regimens. A third or fourth booster dose improves immunogenicity in some, but even then, a significant proportion remains vulnerable. Moreover, cellular immunity mediated by CD8+ T cells may provide partial protection, but its strength and duration are variable among immunocompromised cohorts. This necessitates individualized vaccination schedules, serologic monitoring, and potential adjunctive measures such as passive immunoprophylaxis.

## Conclusion

SARS-CoV-2 variants have introduced new layers of complexity in managing COVID-19 among immunocompromised patients. These individuals face an elevated risk of prolonged infection, severe disease, poor vaccine responsiveness, and reduced effectiveness of certain therapies due to the immune evasion capabilities of emerging variants. The clinical implications extend beyond acute management to include long-term care, mental health support, and access to evolving prophylactic and therapeutic tools. Addressing these challenges requires a multipronged strategy: intensified genomic surveillance, investment in variant-specific vaccines and therapeutics, individualized treatment protocols, and inclusive clinical research that prioritizes vulnerable populations. As the pandemic continues to evolve, protecting immunocompromised patients must remain a key priority in both clinical practice and public health policy.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Gaston, Jordan R., Marissa J. Andersen, Alexandra O. Johnson and Kirsten L. Bair, et al. "Enterococcus faecalis polymicrobial interactions facilitate biofilm formation, antibiotic recalcitrance, and persistent colonization of the catheterized urinary tract." *Pathog* 9 (2020): 835.

\*Address for Correspondence: Klint Lathen, Department of Infectious Diseases, Respiratory Medicine and Critical Care, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany, E-mail: Lathen.klint@charite.de

Copyright: © 2025 Lathen K. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 28 January, 2025, Manuscript No. jid-25-168959; Editor Assigned: 31 January, 2025, PreQC No. P-168959; Reviewed: 11 February, 2025, QC No. Q-168959; Revised: 18 February, 2025, Manuscript No. R-168959; Published: 25 February, 2025, DOI:10.37421/2684-4281.2025.9.308

2. Letica-Kriegel, Allison S., Hojjat Salmasian, David K. Vawdrey and Brett E. Youngerman, et al. "Identifying the risk factors for catheter-associated urinary tract infections: A large cross-sectional study of six hospitals." *BMJ Open* 9 (2019): e022137.

**How to cite this article:** Lathen, Klint. "Clinical Implications of SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Immunocompromised Patients." *Clin Infect Dis* 9 (2025): 308.