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Abstract

The interaction of cationic Thulium (III)–porphyrin complex (Tm–Porp) with Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) has been investigated by fluorescence quenching spectra. The quenching mechanism of fluorescence was suggested as a static quenching with a high-affinity according to the Stern–Volmer equation. The number of binding sites and the apparent binding constant K of the Tm–Porp on BSA were explained by a modified Scatchard equation and the site probe competition. The corresponding thermodynamic parameters ΔH°, ΔS° and ΔG° at different temperatures are discussed. The results indicated that the electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions are the predominant intermolecular forces in stabilizing complex. Binding distance between the donor and acceptor was obtained in terms of Forester’s Non-radiative energy transfer theory. Furthermore, the effects of the Tm–Porp on the BSA configuration were elucidated by Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra method along with UV–Vis absorption and Synchronous Fluorescence Spectroscopy (SFS). The results indicated that the secondary structures of BSA have been perturbed in the presence of drug. Finally, we showed that the cationic Tm–Porp can preferentially bind at the site-I and site-II of BSA with equal occupancy.

Graphical abstract: The binding of Tm–Porp with BSA was investigated at different temperatures by fluorescence, UV–Vis absorption spectrum, CD spectrum and SFS at pH 7.40.
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Introduction

There has been a growing interest in the application of porphyrins and its related compounds as therapeutic drugs for the last decade [1–4]. Metalloporphyrins, especially the rare earth metal porphyrins and its complexes have been utilized extensively in the direction of diagnostic of diseases and therapeutic agents. Potential applications of these have appeared in the treatment of non-malignant conditions such as viral and bacterial infections, including the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [5], and great many of the important results were continuously be acquired [6–7]. The study found that some rare earth metals possess a special affinity to be tumor cells, for example, the 70% of 169Yb accumulated in tumors 10 minutes after intravenous injection. These carrier molecules can interact preferentially or specifically with cancer cells and accumulate in malignant tumor. The first monoporphyrinate lanthanide (III) complex was reported early in 1974 [8] and since then only a few studies on these systems have previously appeared in the literature. Thulium was among those considered as the most suitable element for the detection of tumors, in respect that it has the highest affinity for serum albumin in particular for its binding site II [9]. Moreover, some synthetic porphyrins and metalloporphyrins have been applied to detect of early-stage tumors and work in the field of Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) on tumors [7,10]. Nevertheless, drug delivery of porphyrins to tumor cells was the major challenge in the detection, and this has been achieved partly by using selective delivery systems such as monoclonal antibodies, liposomes, and albumins [1]. A typical compound: meso-Tetrakis (3-hydroxyphenyl) porphyrin is a powerful photosensitize for PDT when accumulate preferentially in tumor tissue in comparison with normal muscle tissue. And it is now undergoing clinical trials [11]. However, most research concerning antibacterial compounds of metalloporphyrins have been localized on several of lanthanide cationic, such as Ln (III), Yb (III), Pr (III), and Tm (III) and have focused merely on the interaction with DNA or microorganisms [12–13]. Another example is the fact that heavy metal arsenic had affinity for site II of BSA [14], the presence of arsenic and drug with high affinity for site II of BSA will have changes the pharmacokinetics of these drugs during concurrent administration of drug and arsenic. If so, we need to take into account the prescribing of those drugs to avoid the arsenic affecting human life and health. Thus, studies on specific serum albumin binding may be highly pertinent as a model for mitochondrial interactions with metalloporphyrins. This suggests that we ought to pay extensive attention to their biological applications as drugs.
activity, pharmacological effects and pharmacokinetics in vivo. In
this work, we aim to investigate the interaction of the lanthanide-
porphyrin complex \[\text{Tm (TTP) (H}_2\text{O}_3\text{) Cl} \text{ (Tm–Porp, Figure 1), (TTP=5,10,15,20-tetrakis (4-tolyl) porphyrinate dianion)} \] with BSA by fluorescence quenching spectroscopy and SFS in comparison to other lanthanide-porphyrin ligands. The affinity of Tm-Porp binding on protein has been characterized by quenching method. We also examined the effect of the drug on the conformational changes of BSA based on the UV-Vis absorption, CD and SFS.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

BSA was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., Ltd. Tm-Porp was prepared by the method of Alder [15] and characterized by the literature [12]. Tris–Base (2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl) -1, 3-propanediol) had a purity of not less than 99.5%, and NaCl, NaOH and HCl, etc., were all of analytical purity. Protein was dissolved in Tris–HCl buffer solution (0.05 mol l\(^{-1}\) Tris, 0.15 mol l\(^{-1}\) NaCl, pH 7.40) to form an identical concentration of 1 mM stock solution. The concentration of the protein was determined spectrophotometrically using an extinction coefficient (\(\varepsilon=280\)) of 44000 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\). Amaranthine crystallographic cationic Tm–Porp was prepared by absolute Dimethylformamide (DMF) to form 1 mM as the stock solution. (Molecular weight=925.3 g/mol) and its final concentration in titration was equal to BSA’s. Warfarin sodium was supplied by Medicine Co., Ltd., Jiangsu (China) and Ibuprofen (99.7%) was purchased from Wuhan Galaxy Chemical Co., Ltd., both prepared by absolute DMF in the investigated region (BSA 10 μM, Tm-Porp in the range of 0-100 μM) to avoid inner filter effect. We have also neglected the scattering effects on emission spectra because of the high ratio between absorption and light scattering cross-sections [17]. Dilute solutions were prepared in the investigated region (BSA 10 μM, Tm-Porp in the range of 0-100 μM) to avoid inner filter effect. We have collected the quenching spectra by using a microinjector with a sequential injection of the various volumes of a 10 μM Tm-Porp solution at 3 recorded temperatures, and each quenching spectra were registered in triplicate with virtually identical results.

The synchronous fluorescence spectra (Luminescence Spectrometer LS-55, Perkin Elmer, USA) were performed under the following conditions: the emission wavelength was collected at room temperature in the range 200–500 nm. The initial excitation spectral range was 200–350 nm with increment of 5 nm by using excitation / emission=10/4.0 nm slit widths, equipped with 700V PMT (photo multiplier tube). The range of synchronous scanning was \(\lambda_ex=250-275\) nm, \(\lambda_em=310-290\) nm, where the differences in the wavelengths (Δ λ) were 60 nm (\(\lambda_ex=250\)nm, \(\lambda_em=310\) nm) and 15 nm (\(\lambda_ex=275\) nm, \(\lambda_em=290\) nm). The other optimum scanning parameters were introduced just the same as those of the fluorescence quenching spectra mentioned above.

Absorbance spectra were collected using distilled water (the electrical conductivity was less than 0.10 ms/m at 297 K) as the reference by a double beam TU-1901 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Puxi Analytic Instrument Ltd.of Beijing, China), and absorption cell was a 2–ml with a 1–cm path length quartz cuvette. The investigated spectral range was 220–400 nm with a 2-nm bandwidth. To bring absorbance spectra to pass, a 2.0 mL solution of 10 μM BSA was titrated by sequential addition of the drug solution and by using trace syringe to scan a spectral curve versus a blank of buffer.

**Circular dichroism measurements**

CD spectra were recorded at the ambient temperature by a Jasco J–810 spectropolarimeter (Tokyo, Japan), which had a 1.0 mm pathlength of optical circular quartz cells at 1 nm length data pitch intervals. The investigated spectral range was 190–260 nm with accumulation 3 scans averaged for each spectrum by using a scan data pitch of 0.1 nm. Scan slit width of 1 nm and scan rate of 500 nm/min were constantly taking place. We mixed drug into a 2.0 mL solution of 5.0 μM BSA in a plastic cuvette then allowed to react for 10 minutes in the PBS buffer solution for gaining the difference spectrum. Each cuvette containing Tm-Porp was obtained in the concentration range 0 to 0.30 mmol/L after transfer into a quartz cell. The CD spectra of BSA in the absence and presence of drug had a molar ratio of concentration Tm-Porp to BSA (R) ranging from 0.0 to 3.0 under constant nitrogen flush. The α–helical was expressed in terms of Mean Residue Ellipticity (MRE) by using the mean residue weights of 113.2 (66000/583) for the

**Fluorescence and UV–Vis absorbance spectroscopy**

The fluorescence quenching measurements were carried out by a RF-2500 model spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), which equipped with a Xenon lamp and a thermostat bath. FL Winlab recording spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, Inc., USA) equipped with a 1.0 cm-path length quartz cuvette and a self-acting thermostat were held in a 2–ml with a 1–cm path length quartz cuvette. The investigated spectral range was 200–350 nm with increment of 5 nm by using excitation / emission=10/4.0 nm slit widths, equipped with 700V PMT (photo multiplier tube). The range of synchronous scanning was \(\lambda_ex=250-275\) nm, \(\lambda_em=310-290\) nm, where the differences in the wavelengths (Δ λ) were 60 nm (\(\lambda_ex=250\)nm, \(\lambda_em=310\) nm) and 15 nm (\(\lambda_ex=275\) nm, \(\lambda_em=290\) nm). The other optimum scanning parameters were introduced just the same as those of the fluorescence quenching spectra mentioned above.

Absorbance spectra were collected using distilled water (the electrical conductivity was less than 0.10 ms/m at 297 K) as the reference by a double beam TU-1901 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Puxi Analytic Instrument Ltd. of Beijing, China), and absorption cell was a 2–ml with a 1–cm path length quartz cuvette. The investigated spectral range was 220–400 nm with a 2-nm bandwidth. To bring absorbance spectra to pass, a 2.0 mL solution of 10 μM BSA was titrated by sequential addition of the drug solution and by using trace syringe to scan a spectral curve versus a blank of buffer.

**Circular dichroism measurements**

CD spectra were recorded at the ambient temperature by a Jasco J–810 spectropolarimeter (Tokyo, Japan), which had a 1.0 mm pathlength of optical circular quartz cells at 1 nm length data pitch intervals. The investigated spectral range was 190–260 nm with accumulation 3 scans averaged for each spectrum by using a scan data pitch of 0.1 nm. Scan slit width of 1 nm and scan rate of 500 nm/min were constantly taking place. We mixed drug into a 2.0 mL solution of 5.0 μM BSA in a plastic cuvette then allowed to react for 10 minutes in the PBS buffer solution for gaining the difference spectrum. Each cuvette containing Tm-Porp was obtained in the concentration range 0 to 0.30 mmol/L after transfer into a quartz cell. The CD spectra of BSA in the absence and presence of drug had a molar ratio of concentration Tm-Porp to BSA (R) ranging from 0.0 to 3.0 under constant nitrogen flush. The α–helical was expressed in terms of Mean Residue Ellipticity (MRE) by using the mean residue weights of 113.2 (66000/583) for the
intact molecule of BSA, the CD spectrum of buffer solution as reference was subtracted from the sample spectra to correct for background.

Results and Discussion

Fluorescence quenching mechanism and binding parameters

Fluorescence quenching can be dynamic, resulting from collisional encounters between the fluorophore and quencher, or static, resulting from the formation of a ground state complex between fluorophore and the quencher [18]. Static and dynamic quenching can be distinguished by their different binding constants: dependence on temperature and viscosity, or preferably by lifetime measurements.

Figure 2 shows the effect of increasing the concentration of Tm-PorP on the fluorescence emission spectra of BSA. With a stepwise increasing concentration of Tm-PorP, it seems that where the BSA’s fluorescent intensity at around 342 nm was quenched attended by selectively decreased of the emission band at excitation wavelength 280 nm. We suggest that this phenomenon could refer to a high affinity-binding site on an adjacency tryptophan (Trp) and/or tyrosine (Tyr) of protein. Since Tm-PorP had no intrinsic fluorescence in this range, the microenvironment around chromophore of BSA was changed after adding Tm-PorP with no distinct red shift in emission wavelength. It thus can be deduced that the interaction has occurred and the energy has been transferred.

It is assumed that this procedure was discussed to be a dynamic fluorescence quenching, which can be described by Stern-Volmer equation:

\[ F_0 / F = 1 + k_s \tau [Q] = 1 + K_{sv}[Q] \]  

(1)

Where \( k_s, \) \( K_{sv}, \) \( \tau [Q] \) and \([Q]\) are the quenching rate constant of the biomolecular \([M^*-],\) the dynamic Stern-Volmer quenching constant \([M^-],[M^*],[M^0]\), the average lifetime of BSA and the concentration of quencher \([M]\), respectively. \( F_0 \) and \( F \) are the fluorescence intensities in the absence and presence of quencher, respectively. In respect that fluorescence lifetime of biopolymers \( \tau [Q] \) is \( 10^{-7} \) s [19], quenching constant \( k_s \) at pH 7.40 can be obtained by means of linear fitting of the Stern-Volmer plot (Figure 2) of \( F_0 / F \) against \([Q]\) from Equation (1) at different temperatures can also be collected through experimental data. The values of \( k_s, \) Correspondingly are: 297 K, 1.35 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}, 304 \text{ K}, 1.12 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} and 310 K, 1.01 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} respectively. However, the maximum scatter collision quenching constant, \( k_q, \) of various kinds of quenchers with biopolymers is \( 2.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) [19]. The rate constant of protein quenching procedure initiated by drug is obviously greater than \( k_q \) of scatter procedure, which indicates that the quenching of BSA by Tm-PorP is not initiated by dynamic collision but involved in forming complexes with a static procedure. And the values of \( K_{sv} \) decreased with the rising of the temperature (see Table 1), this may be the result of non-radiative energy transfer between the drug and BSA. So dynamic collision could be negligible in quantitative analysis [20].

For a more detailed exploration of the molecular mechanism of protein-drug interaction and their structural changes, we further investigate the effect of UV-Vis absorption spectra of the Tm-PorP-BSA complex (Figure 3). The region where a main changes can be observed is the maximum peak height (in the range 200-280 nm) of BSA to go with a red shift from 233 nm to 239 nm when BSA combined with the drug, implying that there is the formation of a ground state complex between chromophore and drug [17]. Thus the mechanism of fluorescence quenching was a static quenching and the binding constant \( (K_s) \) with the thermodynamic parameters can be evaluated by the thermodynamic method in the data analysis.

In the case of an ambient temperature experiment, the reaction enthalpy change is regarded as a constant. According to both Van’t Hoff equation and chemical thermodynamical functions, for the binding constant and thermodynamic parameters are given by

\[ \ln K_s = -\Delta H^\circ / RT + \Delta S^\circ / R \]

(2)

\[ \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_s = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \]

(3)

were \( \Delta H^\circ, \Delta G^\circ \) and \( \Delta S^\circ \) are enthalpy change, Gibbs free energy change and entropy change, respectively. The values of these parameters were determined by the following equations:

\[ \ln K_s = \frac{-\Delta H^\circ}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} \]

where \( R \) is the gas constant, \( S.D. \) is the standard deviation, and \( \Delta H^\circ, \Delta G^\circ, \Delta S^\circ \) are the change of enthalpy, Gibbs free energy, and entropy, respectively.

Table 1: Stern–Volmer quenching constant (\( K_{sv} \)) of the interaction of Tm-PorP with BSA measured by fluorimetric titrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PH</th>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>Linear Fitting</th>
<th>Ksv (M^{-1})</th>
<th>Kq (M^{-1}s^{-1})</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>( F_0 / F = 0.6504 + 1.352 \times 10^4 [Q] )</td>
<td>1.35 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.35 \times 10^4</td>
<td>0.9973</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>( F_0 / F = 0.8795 + 1.117 \times 10^4 [Q] )</td>
<td>1.12 \times 10^4</td>
<td>1.12 \times 10^4</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>( F_0 / F = 0.8683 + 1.018 \times 10^5 [Q] )</td>
<td>1.02 \times 10^5</td>
<td>1.02 \times 10^6</td>
<td>0.9936</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R: linear correlated coefficient; S.D.: standard deviation

can be simultaneously acquired by using a least-squares algorithm for data-fitting (viz., a linear of ln $K_a$ versus 1/T of Van’t Hoff plot, T is the absolute temperature and $K_a$ is the apparent binding constant) according to Eqs. (2) and (3) (Figure 4.). These consequences together with the correlated coefficient are listed in (Table 2). For $\Delta H^0 < 0$, $\Delta S^0 > 0$, positive entropy is frequently taken as evidence for hydrophobic interaction when a biomolecule interacts with protein according to Ross and Subramanian’s rules [21]. Moreover, the negative $\Delta H^0$ may be a manifestation of electrostatic interaction [22]. In fact, the cationic Tm–Por carries a positive charge in aqueous solution, which might be identified the electron-rich amino acid residues contributing to the binding of the drug molecules. Then, the relatively smaller positive entropy change and a little negative enthalpy change indicate that hydrophobic interaction force cannot be excluded. In particular, the gigantic hydrophobic cavity of the porphyrin ring of drug, wherein the accommodating fragments of Trp213 or Trp134, would then redound to the hydrophobicity of Trp more than those of unbound chromophores. The non polarity of Trp residues was strengthened by the amino acid residues of protein around the porphyrin ring, by a preferential orientation of electric attraction. Accordingly, the hydrophobic interaction should play a major role between BSA and the drug accompanied by the electrostatic force. Furthermore, the value of $\Delta G^0$ and $\Delta H^0$ indicates that the interaction process is spontaneous and exothermic with a concomitant increase of entropy at a constant temperature of 24°C.

### The number of binding sites and localization

If a drug binds with protein independently to only one set of equivalent sites and with no cooperative effects to form a static complex, we can introduce the equilibrium between free and bound molecules using a modified Scatchard relationship [23]:

\[
\ln K = 4.94951 + 2.0355 \times 10^3 / T \\
R = 0.9957 \quad SD. = 0.019
\]

**Table 2:** The apparent binding constant $K_a$ and relative thermodynamic parameters at pH 7.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>$K_a$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^0$ (KJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^0$ (J/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^0$ (KJ/mol)</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>5.57$\times$10$^5$</td>
<td>-16.92</td>
<td>41.15</td>
<td>-29.14</td>
<td>0.9944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>2.80$\times$10$^5$</td>
<td>-16.92</td>
<td>41.15</td>
<td>-29.43</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>1.05$\times$10$^5$</td>
<td>-16.92</td>
<td>41.15</td>
<td>-29.68</td>
<td>0.9961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R: correlated coefficient

**Figure 4:** Van’t Hoff plot, pH=7.40, C(BSA)=10μM.

**Figure 5:** Spectral overlap of Tm–Por absorption (b) with BSA fluorescence spectra (a). [BSA]=[ Tm–Por]=10μM at pH=7.40, 297K.

**Figure 6:** UV–vis absorption spectra of BSA in the presence of Tm-Por at pH=7.40, 297K. A: c(BSA) = 5μM only, A-G: c(Tm-Por)/(5μM): 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.5. Inset: The relationship of absorbance spectra maximum wavelength at about 280nm and the molar ratio between Tm-Por and BSA.

**Figure 7:** Circular dichroism spectra of BSA and Tm-Por -BSA system at pH=7.40, 297K. R (= C$_{Tm-Por}$/C$_{BSA}$) increases ranging from 0 to 5 for curves A-E.
\[ F_e / F = -K_n \{ [P] + K_a [D] \} \cdot F_e / F = K_n \] (4)

where \( F_e \) and \( F \) are the fluorescence intensity in the absence and presence of quencher (drug), \( K_n \) and \( n \) are the apparent binding constant and the number of (strong) binding sites, \([D]\) and \([P]\) are the final concentration of the drug and the protein, respectively. Thus, we can also obtained \( K_n \) as well as the number of binding site (n) of the drug with BSA from the intercept and slope of the linear dependence of \( F_e / F \) vs. \( ([D] / [P] - F_e / F) \). The value of \( K_n \) was shown in (Table 2), and the value of \( n \) was 1.80 (297 K), 1.23(304 K) and 0.29 (310 K) at \( c(\text{BSA}) = c(\text{Tm-Porp}) = 10 \mu M \), respectively. The results of the apparent binding constant \( K_n \) presented in table are greater than or equal to \( 10^9 \text{M}^{-1} \), which suggests that Tm-Porp selectively quenches the fluorescence emission intensity of protein via a high-affinity binding site. For the number of binding sites approaches to 2 at room temperature, we have been able to determine that the molecules of drug are distributed between two different binding sites. Incidentally, the number of binding sites has been caused a rapid diminution when the temperature rises to 310 K, which may be ascribed to perturbation of the weak affinity binding site-I of BSA and the movement of the fluorophore discussed below.

To further gain insight into the binding site of the drug on BSA, the competitive displacement experiment was performed in our lab. The main best-characterized drug binding sites are site-I or warfarin (WF) site (in subdomain IIA) and site-II (benzodiazepine site or Sudlow’s drug site [24]), formed by a binding pocket in subdomain IIIA. Here we used a widely prescribed anticoagulant and the high-affinity WF as a site marker for competitive trial reagent. The typical benzodiazepine site ligands Ibuiprofen (IBP) as a site marker was simultaneously taken for present purposes. The experiment was carried out at 297 K and also by utilizing Trp of BSA as an intrinsic fluorescence spectra probe at an excitation 280 nm for warfarin (WF:BSA=1:1, Tm-Porp=0–60 μM) and for diazepam (IBP:BSA=1:1, Tm-Porp=0–60 μM). Interestingly, the fluorescence intensity of both decreased regularly with increasing of Tm-Porp concentration, the values of quenching constant \( K_{\text{SV}} \) of BSA from 1.35×10^4 (unbound) dropped to 0.75×10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \) (bound to WF), and the values of quenching constant \( K_{\text{SV}} \) of BSA also from 1.35×10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \) (unbound) dropped to 0.77×10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \) (bound to IBP). Therefore, as we expected, the effects of warfarin and ibuprofen would contribute to both spectral intensity enhancements, which were similar to BSA in the presence of the drug. The two probes have almost identical effects on the Stern-Volmer quenching constant, implying similar effects on the Stern-Volmer quenching constant, which indicated that the energy transfer from BSA to Tm-Porp occurs with high probability and, then we were subsequently able to characterize effectively the conformation of this complex.

The effect of the Tm-Porp on the BSA conformation

**UV–Vis:** Figure 6 shows the different absorption spectra for the BSA in the wavelength range 220–340 nm at pH 7.40 and in the control of compound protein for increasing Tm-Porp concentrations. Similar observation of the fluorescence quenching, the protein spectra showed a notable increase in optical absorption intensity as the amount of drug concentrations increases. The corresponding peaks red shift (from 277.3 to 280.5 nm) was also observed. We have attributed this difference to that the cationic drugs have penetrated through the hydrophobic pocket of the Trp residues and inevitably led to change the environment of Trp residues. This is also responsible for extending of the peptide strands of BSA and strengthening of hydrophobicity of chromophore’s microenvironment, with the result having the formation ground-state complex as compared with an unbound protein. The formation of the rigidity portion of the protein with the force of electrostatic interactions preferentially engendered the mechanical strength in contrast to the native one [31]. And the absence of water in the molecular interior with coming into being of a more stiffness portion of the protein matrix may be directly altered the whole chain conformation under the influence of a drug.

**Circular dichroism:** For more detailed information on the conformation of the drug to BSA, CD measurements of Tm-Porp with BSA were carried out at pH 7.40 in the UV-Vis region at different R
values. The CD spectra of BSA in the absence (A) and presence (B–F) of the drug are shown in (Figure 7), where the CD spectra of protein exhibit two mainly negative bands in the UV region at 208 and 222 nm, which are characteristic of an α-helical structure of BSA. At low Tm-Porp concentration (≤0.10 mmol/L or R ≤ 1), there is little change in the helicity, implying that the secondary structure of BSA is possibly stabilized by Tm-Porp. When the concentration of Tm-Porp is 0.15 mmol/L, the molar ratio(R) of Tm-Porp to BSA more than 1.0 could cause the secondary structure of BSA to be disrupted. In addition, at high Tm-Porp concentration(>0.15 mmol/L), the percentage of α-helix content has to tend to zero fast, at the same time the β-sheet and random coil conformation are the principal component, indicating that Tm-Porp at high concentration absolutely has disrupted the secondary structure and led to the unfolding and extending of BSA.

CD spectrum is usually presented in molar ellipticity \([\theta(\lambda)]\) unit (deg-cm^2·dmol^−1), for proteins, the mean residue ellipticity (MRE) can be calculated as follows [32]:

\[
MRE = \frac{\text{Observed CD(m deg)}}{C_P \times l \times 10}
\]

where \(C_P\) is the molar concentration of the protein, \(n\) is the number of amino acid residues and \(l\) is the path length in cm. The α-helix content of BSA estimated from MRE values at 208 nm is given by [33]:

\[
\alpha - \text{Helical}(\%) = \frac{MRE_{208} - 4,000}{33,000 - 4,000} \times 100
\]

Here \(MRE_{208}\) is the observed MRE value at 208 nm, 4,000 is the gross MRE of the β-sheet and random coil conformation at 208 nm, and 33,000 is the gross MRE of a pure α-helix at 208 nm.

At low Tm-Porp concentration, that is when the value of ligand/BSA ratio R was smaller or equal to 1 as shown in (Table 3), the percentage of α-helix content has decreased from 47.23% to 43.89%. According to the literature [34], the secondary structure of BSA may be stabilized by a covalent cationic crosslinking of Tm-Porp complex containing organofunctional groups, it may build bridges between particular nonpolar residues and particular site-I or site-II of BSA. This results obtained are agreement with various ligands in two orientation dimensions with equal occupancy. In addition, the negative extrinsic Cotton effect (Table 3) shifts from 208.75 nm to 208.50 nm, this suggests that the drug molecules are distributed between two different binding sites with different binding properties and optical characteristics [35].

At high Tm-Porp concentration(R > 1), the free micelles of Tm-Porp begin to form after saturation binding of BSA with an increased Tm-Porp concentration, which leads BSA to an extended structure with exposed hydrophobic residues, implying that the electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions are the predominant intermolecular forces in stabilizing this complex.

**Synchronous fluorescence spectroscopy:** The third of the binding of the drug to two amino acid residues, namely the Trp and tyrosine (Tyr), was followed by estimation of the sensitivity to the surroundings district of chromophore by using SFS method. This model usually involves the fluorescence spectral simplification, spectral bandwidth reduction, non-intrusive measurement of protein in low concentration, respectively. So SFS provides a method of identifying chromophores’s environment by inspecting the potential shift in wavelength and locality of maximum emission wavelength (λmax) [36]. According to Miller [37], the distinction value of excitation wavelength and emission wavelength (Δλ=λem-λex) denotes the spectra of disparate chromophores. With large Δλ values such as 60 nm, the synchronous fluorescence of BSA is characteristic of the Trp and, small Δλ such as 15 nm is characteristic of Tyr. The SFS of BSA with various concentrations of Tm-Porp was recorded at Δλ=60 nm (Figure 8. left) and Δλ=15 nm (Figure 8. right) respectively. With a concentration of the BSA being unvaried and the concentration of Tm-Porp increasing by titration method, we could be able to observe both very notably decreased in emission intensity due to increasing drug concentrations. The spectra of Trp showed a decrease in drug from 100% to 29% at 286 nm and with a little shift of maximum wavelength were observed. Meanwhile, the spectra of the Tyr showed a dramatically decrease from 100% to 29% at 286 nm and with a little shift of maximum wavelength were observed. The spectra of the Tyr showed a dramatically decrease from 100% to 9% at 294 nm and with a shift of the emission band edge towards the shortwave range from 294 nm to 291 nm (blue-shift) were also observed. The former phenomenon is attributed to the formation of a ground state complex between chromophore and drug. Then, the fluorescence band exhibits an inconspicuous shift at low Tm-Porp concentration (R ≤ 1), which is due to a little change of the configuration in Trp including subdomains IIA and IIIA.

Nevertheless, the characteristics of significant approach to quenching suggest that the movement of the Trp residues was placed in a more hydrophobic environment, leading to a corresponding polarity around the Trp residues weakening and its hydrophobicity strengthening [38]. However, the latter phenomenon is ascribed to the change in conformation near Tyr’s areas and with preferential rearrangement of its microenvironment. On the other hand, the Amax of the Tyr shifted to shorter wavelengths via alternatively conformational changes near the Tyr as a consequence of binding, and it seems logical that the Tyr is near the binding site [24]. For the target residues are

---

**Table 3:** Effects of site probe on the binding of cationic Tm–Porp to BSA (297K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site marker</th>
<th>(K_p \times 10^4 ) (M⁻¹)</th>
<th>(K_a \times 10^4 ) (M⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without</td>
<td>1.359(0.9973)</td>
<td>5.57(0.9944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>0.75(0.9955)</td>
<td>5.32(0.9967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBP</td>
<td>0.77(0.9953)</td>
<td>3.23(0.9975)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The given values in parentheses are the means of the correlated coefficient.

---

**Table 4:** The Circular dichroism spectra results for Tm-Porp-BSA complexes in far-UV regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>(\lambda_{max}) (nm)</th>
<th>(\lambda_{max}) (nm)</th>
<th>MRE</th>
<th>α-helix (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>(C_{protein}/C_{BSA})</td>
<td>(deg-cm^-2·dmol^-1)</td>
<td>(deg-cm^-2·dmol^-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>208.75</td>
<td>221.85</td>
<td>1.77×10⁴</td>
<td>47.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>208.65</td>
<td>222.25</td>
<td>1.70×10⁴</td>
<td>44.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>208.50</td>
<td>222.25</td>
<td>1.67×10⁴</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>208.34</td>
<td>223.10</td>
<td>1.13×10⁴</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>207.94</td>
<td>224.00</td>
<td>0.37×10⁴</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>207.66</td>
<td>224.10</td>
<td>0.32×10⁴</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>207.60</td>
<td>224.20</td>
<td>0.28×10⁴</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 8:** The SF spectra of BSA(10μM) with \(△\lambda=60nm(\text{left})\) and \(△\lambda=15nm(\text{right})\) in the absence and presence of Tm-Por. The Tm-Por concentration from the top down: 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 10, 15μM(\text{left}) and 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 8μM(\text{right}) at pH=7.40,297K.
located mainly in subdomain IIIA (Tyr 263) and IIA (Tyr140, Tyr148, Tyr150, Tyr156 and Tyr157) [25,36], (excluding the recombinant domain III, which includes residues 377–583), as we expected, it can be attributed this difference to the quencher for parallel-access to two kinds of the binding site, not only in a conformationally adaptable region site-I, but also in a clinical pharmacology of drug-specific site-II. The results obtained by SFS are in excellent agreement with experiment by using fluorescence quenching and probe competitive displacement methods. In addition, a small intra target dosage of this drug implied that the cationic Tm–Porp would be able to bind to BSA with higher affinity.

Conclusions

We have characterized the interactions between the cationic Tm–Porp and BSA by using a fluorescence quenching mechanism and a modified Scatchard equation approach as well as on the application of Förster’s non-radiative energy transfer theory. We also have provided an approach for performing a structural site probe competition. The effect of Tm–Porp on conformational characteristics of BSA was a direct consequence of the mutually conjugate with respect to hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions by using UV-Vis, CD and SFS spectroscopic measurements. The corresponding thermodynamic parameters $\Delta H^\circ$, $\Delta G^\circ$ and $\Delta S^\circ$ indicates that the Tm–Porp quenches the fluorescence emission of BSA via a static quenching procedure. The binding parameters, such as $K_q$, $n$, and r has been calculated according to the relevant theories and data. Our studies on the interaction of Tm–Porp with BSA show that the cationic Tm–Porp can bind at the site-I and site-II of BSA with equal occupancy and high affinity. Moreover, the Tm–Porp binding on site-II of BSA is expected to enable it as a potential detection reagent for tumor markers and PDT in vivo. Finally, this study can provide a richly detailed view of the interactions between protein and metalloporphyrins that can be useful for drug design and pharmaceutical research.
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