Characterization and Application of Eburru Zeolite Rocks in Upgrading Biogas to Bio-Methane

James K. Mbugua^{*}, Gabriel A. Waswa, Damaris M. Nduta and Joseph M. Mwaniki

Department of Chemistry, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197-00100, Nairobi, Kenya

Abstract

The trace amount of carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulphide in raw biogas lowers its calorific value, causes corrosion and makes it hard to compress the biogas into cylinders. Raw biogas was obtained from anaerobic digestion of cow dung and market wastes. The gas was stored in tubes or urine bags before upgrading process. Eburru natural zeolite rocks were used as the upgrade materials. The measured initial level of raw biogas was 0.0227% H₂S, > 20% CO₂ and 52-56% CH₄. The total removal using zeolite was observed to be 75% CO₂ and 95.34% H₂S leading to 83.45 – 91.23% methane levels. The morphological structure of zeolitic rocks accounted for its higher upgrading properties. In addition, the porosity in these rocks meant that CO₂ and H₂S were adsorbed resulting in higher CH₄ levels in the upgraded biogas.

Keywords: Biogas • Upgrading • Natural zeolite • Bio-methane

Introduction

Recently, sustainable solid waste management is becoming an issue of global concern due to the steady increase in population, urbanization and industrialization [1]. Anaerobic Digestion is a natural process where biodegradable material (biomass) is transformed by microorganisms to biogas in the absence of oxygen [2]. Biogas is acknowledged as one of the foremost bioenergy to address the current environmental and energy challenges being faced by the world. Commonly, biogas is used for applications like cooking, lighting, heat and power production. Many factors influence the composition of produced biogas e.g. location of the livestock complex, type of feed, environmental conditions, holding period of fermented manure, temperature of the process (mesophilic, thermophilic, psychrophilic), moisture of manure and the frequency of mixing the substrate in the digester. The resulting biogas contains 50-60% of methane and other gases depending on the substrate [3]. Impurities (hydrogen sulfide, water vapor and carbon oxides) adversely affect the operation of the fuel system of agricultural machines. Burning and interacting with water vapor, the impurities form acid residues, which result in increased rate of wear and tear of mechanisms [4].

To widen the scope of biogas application in areas like transportation, natural gas grid injection and as substrate for the production of chemicals and fuel cells; impurities, mainly CO_2 and H_2S need to be removed by various upgrading technologies. The CO_2 in biogas reduces its calorific value and lowers the methane levels while H_2S causes bad smell and rusting of gas valves. These impurities result in poor utilization of biogas for electricity generation, transportation or domestic cooking. For these purposes, biogas must have methane levels up to $94 \pm 1.00\%$ and is termed as CH_4 - enriched biogas [5]. It is an important process to produce bio methane with above 90% methane. There are various Physico-chemical (adsorption, absorption, cryogenic and membrane separations) and biological (in situ and ex-situ) processes for biogas upgradation and each process is site and case specific [6]. CO_2 adsorption on synthetic zeolites has become a consolidated approach for biogas upgrading to bio methane [7].

*Address for Correspondence: James K Mbugua, Department of Chemistry, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197-00100, Nairobi, Kenya. E-mail: djames085@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2021 Mbugua JK, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received 15 October, 2021; Accepted 20 October, 2021; Published 27 October, 2021

One of the techniques to remove trace substances in biogas is using microporous material. One form of the microporous material is zeolite. Zeolites are crystalline, Nano porous aluminosilicates composed of (TO_4) tetrahedral (T=Si, Al) [8]. Zeolites have been widely used in petro chemistry, oil refining and chemical industries because of showing unique properties with respect to both activity and selectivity [9]. The size range of zeolite from 3 Å to 12 Å provides good selectivity for molecular transport into the zeolite crystal. Furthermore, the existence of active metal-phase and Brønsted acid sites in zeolite micro structure determined the activity of zeolite. The composition of Si/Al ratio also determines the acidity level and adsorption process [10,11]. However, although zeolites have high degree of micro porosity and thus high surface area, further proper chemical treatment may enhance adsorption capability. Under chemical activation a more opened pore structure is generated allowing better separation of wide range of dissolved pollutants in an effluent [12].

The zeolite filter retains CO_2 molecules having size comparable to the size of zeolite microspores, for example, microspores in the Khongurin zeolite have a size of 0.4 nm, while CO_2 molecule size is 0.31 nm. The size of the CH_4 molecule is less than 0.2 nm, so they will pass freely through the zeolite filter, as it is required. That is, at the outlet of the filter there will be purified methane [13,14]. In the current study, Eburru natural zeolite rocks were characterized and employed in upgrade of raw biogas to bio methane.

Material and Methods

Materials

Instrumentation applied in this study includeed X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D2 Phaser SSD160 A26-X1- A2DOB2B1, 2nd Gen. from Bruker), Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR, IR Tracer-100 from Shimadzu), Energy dispersive spectrophotometer (EDS, Shimadzu EDX-720), Analytical balance (Fischer A-160), Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM, Hitachi S4800) and Calcinator (N3A Simon Muller 220V Berlin). The sample Eburru zeolite rocks (Figure 1) was collected from Eburru volcanic crater (0.63° S, 36.23° E), located about 8 Km North-West of Lake Naivasha within the Kenyan Rift Valley.

Commercial zeolites, samples were applied as standard samples, used as received without further purification. Sample preparation involved mechanical grinding and sieving using 0.85 mm sieve to obtain very fine homogeneous particle sizes powder. The powdered samples were then calcined at 550°C for 2 hours to remove some of the organic and amorphous components.

Characterization

Physical and chemical characterization of the natural zeolitic rock sample

and the commercial zeolites was done by using procedures elaborated in the earlier publications [15,16]. XRD was used to determine crystallinity and phase identification, EDX was used to determine elemental oxide composition FTIR examined the functional groups present in the sample, while SEM analyzed the surface morphology of the samples.

Biogas upgrading

The experiments were performed using raw biogas from cow dung feedstock and market wastes. The raw biogas used in this study was generated from cow dung mixed with water in the ratio of 1:1 as recommended by [17]. The substrates (cow dung from dairy cows and water) were loaded into a 0.5 - 1.5 liters' digesters and biogas generated at psychrophilic conditions for 10 days' retention time as described by [3]. The produced biogas was then stored in urine bags or tubes before being directed to biogas scrubbing unit (Figure 2) as described by [3].

Biogas composition was measured before and after upgrade using a portable biogas analyzer GP180 with accuracy level of \pm 0.5% vol from Henan Ltd, China shown in Figure 3.

The degree of gas purification efficiency was determined by equation 1 [18].

$$E = \frac{\left(C_P - C_D\right)}{C_P} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

Where, E-degree of gas purification %

C_p - methane content in biogas before purification

C_p-methane content in biogas after purification

Results and Discussion

Sample characterization

The characterization of the Eburru zeolite rock sample was done to ascertain their properties and identity relative to the standard commercial zeolites, sample. This would determine their suitability for formulation and application in biogas upgrading to bio-methane.

X-ray diffraction analysis of sample (Figure 4) showed distinct peaks comparable to the ones informed by Donk SV, et al. [19], having 2 values of characteristic artificial zeolite A at 7.2°, 10.3° , 12.6° , 16.2° , 21.8° , 24° , 26.2° , 27.2° , 30° , 30.9° , 31.1° , 32.6° , 33.4° and 34.3° .

XRD characterization of Eburru zeolite rocks gave the characteristic spectrum shown by Figure 5 below, the corresponding diffraction parameter data summarized in Table 1.

Donalite, Hollandite and Berlinite minerals were found to be the most predominant in this sample at 41.2%, 21.6% and 14.3% respectively. For each of the minerals present, their chemical formulae were determined as recorded in Table 2 below.

EDX Characterization of Eburru zeolite rocks sample indicated oxides of Aluminum and Silicon at 18.8% and 37.4% respectively, alongside other oxides







Figure 2. Biogas production and storage set up.



Figure 3. Biogas composition measurement using GP180.



Figure 4. XRD spectra of sample commercial zeolite rocks.



Figure 5. XRD spectra of sample Eburru zeolite rocks.

like of Fe and K as indicated in Table 3 below. Multiple peaks corresponding to the present elements are illustrated by the spectrum in Figure 6 below.

Zeolite A which was commercially acquired contained mainly oxides of Aluminium and Silicon at 56.0% and 44.0% respectively, as shown by Table 4, with its corresponding spectrum (Figure 7) below. This was used as standard reference in Energy Dispersive characterization of the other samples.

FTIR characterization of Eburru zeolite rocks sample generated the spectrum below (Figure 8) and data in Table 5.

Attributed bond bridge vibration to a range of wave numbers [20]. Notably, Si-O(Si) and Si-O(Al) could have asymmetric elongating vibrations nearing 1006 cm⁻¹, Si-O-Si 82 symmetric vibration nears 726 cm⁻¹. On the other hand, Si-O-Al symmetric stretching vibration bridge bonds near 670 cm⁻¹, vibrations around 550 cm⁻¹ could be thought of symmetric stretching of bridge bonds and bending for Si-O-Si and O-Si-O correspondingly, while lower wavenumbers of between 466 cm⁻¹ and 250 cm⁻¹ could correspond to distinctive bending vibrations occurring in four membered rings [21], of which similar peak was exhibited by Eburru zeolite rock sample at around 447.49 cm⁻¹ suggesting that this particular sample had strong fundamental vibrations of alumino silicate framework composition in comparison to their natural rock samples.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images provided evidence on sample external morphology and particle sizes. The commercial zeolite rocks sample which was artificial commercial zeolite A showed aggregated cubical particles of uniformly sized crystals of 10 μ m length (Figure 9).

Table 1. Diffraction parameter data for Eburru zeolite rocks sample.

Index	Angle	d Value	Rel. Intensity
2	23.612	3.76492 Å	10.30%
7	36.395	2.46657 Å	10.60%
3	25.640	3.47156 Å	11.30%
1	20.709	4.28560 Å	20.40%
5	27.336	3.25990 Å	29.10%
6	27.617	3.22740 Å	29.20%
4	26.512	3.35935 Å	100.00%

They had better defined crystals with regular shapes, which seemed to have well-developed structures on surface and converged particles. These are similar observations made by Ines GPE, et al. [22] and Mohanraj J [23]. This could probably be due to high levels of crystal purity. The Eburru zeolite rocks sample showed that the particles were unevenly sized (Figure 10). The crystals were dense aggregates of irregular shapes, with not well-developed structures being observed on surface converged particles.

Upgrading biogas to biomethane

The initial biogas composition levels were >20.00 ± 2.69%, 56.04 ± 7.56% and 0.022696 ± 6.87% for CO_2 , CH_4 and H_2S , respectively (Figure 11). The H_2S levels compared well with those observed by Ji C, et al. [24] at 0.024535%. From the control experiments, no upgrade was observed when raw biogas was passed through the empty cartridges.

The CO₂ adsorption onto the zeolite surfaces was observed to be higher compared to other upgrading material at 75%. The high efficiency of zeolite results from its larger porous size translating to deeper penetration [25]. The adsorption of CO₂ predominantly occurred via Van Der Waals force. The attractive force between CO₂, H₂S molecules and adsorbent was higher compared to that of CH₄ and adsorbent. This resulted in more impurity gases like CO₂ is being tightly bound in the adsorbent while CH₄ molecules tend to pass through the adsorbent [26].

In a study by Paolini V, et al. [7], a selective adsorption of CO_2 and H_2S towards CH_4 was confirmed, allowing to obtain a high-purity biomethane $(CO_2 < 2 \text{ g m}^3)$, i.e. 0.1%; $H_2S < 1.5 \text{ mg m}^3$), suitable for injection in national grids or as vehicle fuel [27], used adsorption-driven CO_2 separation using the most prominent adsorbents, NaX (faujasite) and CaA (Linde Type A) zeolites (Figure 12). The NaX and CaA zeolites were structured into hierarchically porous granules using a low-cost freeze granulation technique to achieve better mass transfer kinetics. The freeze granulation processing parameters and the rheological properties of suspensions were optimized to obtain homogenous granules of NaX and CaA zeolites 2-3 mm in diameter with macroporosity of 77.9% and 68.6%, respectively. The NaX and CaA granules kept their individual morphologies, crystallinities with a CO_2 uptake of 5.8 mmol/g and 4 mmol/g, respectively [27]. Investigated for the separation of CO_2 from CH_4 , which is relevant for the upgrading of raw biogas with several commercial and

Table 2. Formula and percentage composition of Eburru zeolite rocks sample.

Index	Compound Name	Formula	Pattern Number	I/Ic DB	S-Q
5	Hollandite	Mg _{0.376} O ₈ Rb _{0.751} Ti _{3.624}	COD 9011334	3.190	21.60%
4	Ringwoodite	Fe _{1.234} Mg _{0.766} O ₄ Si	COD 9001574	3.610	5.00%
6	Galobismutite	Bi _{1.85} C _{10.168} Pb _{1.14} S _{3.738} Se _{0.094}	COD 9004981	7.580	5.20%
3	Danalite	Be ₃ Fe ₄ O ₁₂ SSi ₃	COD 9000953	5.170	41.20%
2	Yeelimite	A ₁₆ Ca ₄ O ₁₆ S	COD 9009938	3.630	7.20%
1	Berlinite	AlO ₄ P	COD 9006404	6.390	14.30%
7	Hocartite	Ag ₂ FeS ₄ Sn	COD 1008963	13.790	5.40%
		•			

Table 3. EDX quantitative results of Eburru zeolite rocks sample.

Analyte	Result %	Standard Deviation	Line	Intensity (cps/ uA)
SiO ₂	37.410	0.433	SiKα	0.7178
Fe ₂ O ₃	21.389	0.069	FeKa	116.996
K ₂ O	20.671	0.149	ΚΚα	1.8806
Al ₂ O ₃	18.764	1.649	ΑΙΚα	0.0294
zrO ₂	0.609	0.004	ZrKα	29.8216
MnO	0.585	0.014	MnKα	2.8732
CaO	0.194	0.033	CaKα	0.2792
NbO	0.100	0.002	NbKa	5.9555
SO ³	0.075	0.004	S Κ α	0.0746
Y ₂ O ₃	0.074	0.002	Υ Κα	3.6852
ZnO	0.074	0.003	ZnKα	1.6514
Rb ₂ O	0.057	0.002	RbKa	2.8905



Figure 6. EDX Spectra of Eburru zeolite rocks sample.

Table 4. EDX quantitative results of sample commercial zeolite rocks.

Analyte	Result %	Standard Deviation	Line	Intensity (cps/uA)
Al_2O_3	56.368	1.335	ΑΙΚα	0.0721
SiO ₂	43.632	0.398	SiKα	0.4315



Figure 7. EDX Spectra of commercial zeolite rocks sample.



Figure 8. FT-IR spectra of Eburru zeolite rocks sample.

Table 5. Infrared band positions of studied zeolitic materials.			
Commercial zeolite rocks	Eburru zeolite rocks	Assignments	
3471.87	3421.72	H-O-H Stretching of absorbed water	
2357.01	2360.87	H-O-H overtone in plane bending	
1654.92	1635.64	H-O-H Bending of water	
-	786.96	Si-O quartz	
663.51	-	Si-O-Si Bending	
-	447.49	Si-O-Si Bending for internal tetrahedral	



Figure 9. SEM images of sample commercial zeolite rocks.

101

8/25/201 m 10:59:



Figure 10. SEM images of Sample Eburru zeolite rocks.



Figure 11. Plot of methane (%) and carbon dioxide (%) upgrade levels.



Figure 12. Hydrogen sulphide (ppm) levels.

potential adsorbents [5].

Conclusions

In this study Eburru natural zeolite rocks were used as the upgrade materials for biomethane. The measured initial level of raw biogas was 0.0227% H₂S, > 20% CO₂ and 52-56% CH₄.

The total removal using zeolite was observed to be 75% CO₂ and 95.34% H₂S. The morphological structure of zeolitic rocks accounted for its higher upgrading properties. In addition, the porosity in these rocks meant that CO₂ and H₂S were adsorbed resulting in higher CH₂ levels in the upgraded biogas.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to the National Research Fund (NRF), grants no. 501-000-053 for funding this research work.

References

- Al Mamun Muhammad Rashed, Shahjahan M Kabir and Maksudul M Alamand. "Utilization pattern of biomass for rural energy supply in Bangladesh." Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod 4 (2009): 62-71.
- Fedailaine Maamar, Karima Moussi, Mohamed Khitous and Sabah Abada, et all. "Modeling of the anaerobic digestion of organic waste for biogas production." Procedia Computer Science 52 (2015): 730-737.
- Kamau Michael Njuguna, Damaris N Mbui, Mwaniki M Joseph and Francis B Mwaura, et al. "Lab scale biogas production from market wastes and Dagoretti slaughterhouse waste in Kenya." Int J Energy Res 8 (2020): 12-21.
- Druzyanova Varvara, Sofya Petrova, Nadezhda Khiterkheeva and Irina Bardamova, et al. "The use of zeolites for biogas purification in agricultural production." In E3S Web of Conferences 175 (2020): 12012.
- Bacsik Zoltan, Ocean Cheung, Petr Vasiliev and Niklas Hedin, et al. "Selective separation of CO₂ and CH₄ for biogas upgrading on zeolite NaKA and SAPO-56." Applied Energy 162 (2016): 613-621.
- Rimika Kapoor, Pooja Ghosh, Madan Kumar and Vijay Virendra Kumar, et al. "Evaluation of biogas upgrading technologies and future perspectives: A review." Environ Sci Pollut Res 26 (2019): 11631-11661.
- Paolini Valerio, Petracchini Francesco, Guerriero Ettore and Drigo Serena, et al. "Biogas cleaning and upgrading with natural zeolites from tuffs." Environ

Technol 37 (2016): 1418-1427.

- Simons Thomas and Ulrich Simon. "Zeolites as nanoporous, gas-sensitive materials for in situ monitoring of DeNOx-SCR." Beilstein J Nanotechnol 3 (2012): 667-673.
- Sander Van Donk, Andries H Janssen, Johannes H Bitter and Krijn P de Jong, et al. "Generation, characterization and impact of mesopores in zeolite catalysts." Catalysis Reviews 45 (2003): 297-319.
- Rohini Singh and Kavita Kulkarni. "Application of appopolite in adsorption of heavy metals (Co and Ni) from waste water." Chem Mater Res 1, no. 2 (2011): 16-21.
- Bo Zhu, Jun Hyun Kim, Yong-Han Na and Il-Shik Moon, et al. "Temperature and pressure effects of desalination using a MFI-type zeolite membrane." Membranes 3 (2013): 155-168.
- Mohammad-khah Ali and Reza Ansari. "Activated charcoal: Preparation, characterization and applications: A review article." Int J Chem Tech Res 1 (2009): 859-864.
- Zemskov I Viktor and Marcel Kharchenko. "Properties of filter baffle plates made of natural zeolite." Bulletin of Altai State Agricultural University 114 (2014): 148-152.
- 14. Xiaoqin Zou and Guangshan Zhu. "Microporous materials for separation membranes." John Wiley & Sons 2019.
- Waswa, A Gabriel. "Characterization: Formulation and application of natural nano zeolitic materials from kenya as smart delivery systems for fertilizers and pesticides." Int J Sci Res Sci Eng Technol 3 (2020): 338-349.
- Waswa A Gabriel and Ooko Abong. "Dissipation and Sorption of Urea on Eburru Soils in Kenya." J Phys Chem Biophys 7 (2018): 2161-0398.
- Sakke Tira Hendry and Yesung Allo padang. "Removal of CO₂ and H₂S from raw biogas using activated natural zeolite." In AIP Conference Proceedings 1778 (2016).
- Varvara Druzyanova, Sofya Petrova, Khiterkheeva Nadezhda and Bardamova Irina, et al. "The use of zeolites for biogas purification in agricultural production." In E3S Web Conferences 175 (2020).
- Gougazeh Mousa and J-Ch Buhl. "Synthesis and characterization of zeolite A by hydrothermal transformation of natural Jordanian kaolin." J Assn Arab Univ Basic Appl Sci 15 (2014): 35-42.
- Mozgawa Witold, Jastrzębski Włodzimierz and Marcel Handke. "Vibrational spectra of D4R and D6R structural units." J Mol Struct 744 (2005): 663-670.
- Masood B Zehraa and Ali Ziad Tark Abd. "Numerical modeling of twodimensional simulation of groundwater protection from lead using different

sorbents in permeable barriers." Environ Eng Res 25 (2020): 605-613.

- 22. Garcia-Peña Elvia Ines, Parameswaran Prathap, Kang Dae-Wook and Krajmalnik-Brown Rosa, et al. "Anaerobic digestion and co-digestion processes of vegetable and fruit residues: Process and microbial ecology." Bioresour Technol 102 (2011): 9447-9455.
- Mohanraj, John. "Effect of nano-zeolite on nitrogen dynamics and greenhouse gas emission in rice soil eco system. M. Tech." Thesis, TNAU Agritech Portal (2013).
- 24. Ji Chao, Chui-Xue Kong, Zi-Li Mei and Jiang Li, et al. "A review of the anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable waste." Appl Biochem Biotechnol 183 (2017): 906-922.
- 25. Tira Hendry Sakke, Padang Yesung Allo, Salman M Wirawan and Anggara Raden Danu, et al. "The utilization of corn cob and zeolite as adsorbents for hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide removal from biogas." Int J Mech EngTtechnol 9 (2018): 545-551.
- 26. Papagiannakis Georgios and Hountalas Dimitrios. "Combustion and exhaust emission characteristics of a dual fuel compression ignition engine operated with pilot diesel fuel and natural gas." Energy Convers Manag 45 (2004): 2971-2987.
- 27. Narang Kritika and Akhtar Farid. "Freeze Granulated Zeolites X and A for Biogas Upgrading." Molecules 25 (2020): 1378.

How to cite this article: Mbugua, James K, Gabriel A. Waswa, Damaris M. Nduta and Joseph M. Mwaniki. "Characterization and Application of Eburru Zeolite Rocks in Upgrading Biogas to Bio-Methane." *J Environ Anal Chem* 8 (2021): 335.