

Career Success and Organizational Justice as the Potential Predictors of Perceived University Performance: A Study from Public Sector Universities of Pakistan

Quaisar Ijaz Khan^{1*}, Abdul Shukor Shamsudin¹ and Syed Soffian Syed Ismail²

¹School of Business Management, University Utara, Malaysia

²School of Accounting, University Utara, Malaysia

Abstract

The main aspiration of the study remained to explore and examine the predictors of perceived university performance. While career success for the faculty members of public sector universities in Pakistan is becoming the area of interest for researchers and academicians. Data were collected from 223 faculty members who are currently serving as faculty members in public sector universities of Pakistan. The results supported the significant positive association of career success and organizational justice with perceived university performance. The study applied SPSS Version 20 for data screening and Structural Equation Modelling – Partial Least Square (SEM-PLS) technique through, Smart PLS 2.0 applied to explore the results.

Keywords: Career success; Organizational justice; Perceived University Performance; Universities; Pakistan

Introduction

Current study is a smart strive towards discovering potential determinants of university performance in public sector universities of Pakistan. While, the faculty members in public sector universities of Pakistan are deemed non-productive and they contribute towards the university performance rarely [1]. As career success is an important goal for most of faculty members. However, it is deemed that Government sector organizations are not even effective, such organizations are criticised as the resource wasting institutions of the state. However, the career successes of faculty members' is in best interest of universities under public sector more than the faculty members themselves [2,3].

In Pakistan there are 179 universities recognized by Higher Education Commission of Pakistan. Currently there are 75 universities under private sector and 104 under public sector [4-7]. The performance of the 179 universities are not good enough to get place in top 500 universities of the world for the year 2015, except one which has been ranked at 496th out of 500 best universities of the world for the year 2015 [8]. Recently published QS ranking there are only 20 universities from Pakistan in top 800 universities of Pakistan. While, Pakistan's educational system was ranked the poorest in world ranking [7]. According to the universities in Pakistan are deemed like degree mills because of low employability of graduates from these institutions [9].

It is urged that the universities might have to improve their productivity to generate the employability in the professional studies, degree itself is nothing until and unless have the ability to provide proper employment for the graduates [10].

Why the public sector universities in Pakistan are not able to perform better internationally? There might be a lot of reasons including low salaries, higher workload, imperceptible opportunities of professional growth & career success and lack of organizational justice in the institutions of higher education of Pakistan. Whereas, Pakistan has been ranked at 55 in global cultural index [11-13].

The situations of law and order, justice are very poor due to the higher level of corruption by the higher leadership and parliamentarians including Prime Ministers, Presidents current and previous since independence [14,15]. According to transparency international Pakistan has been ranked 117/168 the most corrupt country of the world [16]. In such a worst situation where some nations are only

progressing in corruption, the possibilities of being treated in an impartial and unprejudiced way are very rare and cannot be expected.

Statement of Problem

Public sector universities of Pakistan are not performing well as compared to the international standards, because the faculty members working in such institutions are not contributing potentially towards universities' growth, there might be a lot of reasons behind this such as feeble performance and lower contribution towards the overall university performance, hardly visible service structure for the faculty members. Upon analysing the trends of faculty members' education and research publications as shown in Table 1 below. It has been observed from the available data that there are currently 9253 faculty members having PhD degrees and 25191 faculty members have no doctoral degrees up to 2013; and could hardly published only 6507 research articles.

According to the above mentioned table only 27% faculty members have PhD degrees to teach. While, 73% faculty members require to improve their qualification. Whilst, to recap there are 25191 faculty members those have to enhance their qualification for their own growth and survival in the same field. As in the contemporary situations in Pakistan survival in the profession is not easy, it has now become highly

Description	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
PhD Faculty	3483	4975	6315	6529	7625	9253
Non-PhD Faculty	11616	12860	N/A	23141	24715	25191
HEIs	126	127	132	138	146	153
Research	3425	3939	4963	6200	6400	6507

Table 1: Statics of faculty and their contribution for universities.

***Corresponding author:** Quaisar Ijaz Khan, School of Business Management, University Utara Malaysia, Malaysia, Tel: + 0092300666599; E-mail: quaisar@gcu.edu.pk

Received June 09, 2016; **Accepted** June 27, 2016; **Published** June 29, 2016

Citation: Khan QI, Shamsudin AS, Ismail SSS (2016) Career Success and Organizational Justice as the Potential Predictors of Perceived University Performance: A Study from Public Sector Universities of Pakistan. Int J Econ Manag Sci 5: 354. doi:[10.4172/2162-6359.1000354](https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000354)

Copyright: © 2016 Khan QI, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

competitive, complex and complicated. As after the international global crises of 2008 many organizations have started following the retrenchment practices to minimize the operational cost to hedge themselves from heavy losses as well as their own survival [17,18]. Career success is deemed and necessary for the success and survival in the professional life and career [19-22].

Whilst, the organizational justice is necessary for organizational success and progress. In recent studies the importance of the career success has been focused for the institutional success (Khan, Shukor, & Soffian, 2015; Shahzad et al., 2008; Yasir et al., 2013). Career success cannot be ignored. Nevertheless, as all the human beings are not equal and the performance from all the employees at work cannot be expected the same [23-26]. Therefore the need of organizational justice is vital to allocate the workload and opportunities of progress in public sector institutions [27,28]. Human resource management practices with justice and fairness must be designed to extract higher performance from the available workforce by training them and enabling them to contribute towards their own career and development in the global market [25,29]. While, organizational justice and career success are utmost necessary for better performance of the institutions in educational sector of Pakistan and to enhance universities' performance [30,31].

Research questions

1. Does career success has relationship with university performance?
2. Does organizational justice has relationship with university performance?

Research objectives

1. To examine the relationship of career success with university performance.
2. To examine the relationship of organizational justice with university performance?

Review of the Literature

University performance has not remained the area of focus in previous studies. The ranking criteria of the international bodies specially focus the universities of developed countries [8]. These are the employees who help the organizations to achieve their desired objectives. Therefore, it can be said that employees are the backbone of organizational success but there is a strong need to motivate and maintain them to get the competitive advantage in terms of giving quality products and services to the stakeholders [32]. In this regard professionally trained workforce plays an important role for achieving competitive advantage by providing good quality output and potential contribution [33]. In the study Career has been derived from Latin word, "*Carraria*" in English like road, carriage. Career success is the permutation of two different words, Career and Success, while it can be interpreted as an individuals' journey through learning, efforts, professional experience and other aspects of life.

There are countless techniques for defining career and the term is applied in a variety of ways. So it might be construed meritoriously that it is an agenda of an individual's progress from first to last [1,23,34]. As the people are not same, their emotions about the same phenomenon can vary in different perspectives and perceptions. As according to [17] it is redundant that an individual in the age of twenty year might have different reflection or perceptions about the career while an individual at the age of thirty might be having the same reflections as the individual

in the age of fifty or sixty years; it is further not necessary that both have the same perceptions about the career in same sense or meanings. There are imaginable upshots of dissimilarity of assumptions in idiosyncratic individuals may be because of diverse civilizations, learning, knowledge and physical and mental abilities. Currently, it is proposed that the current study will reveal insight into the dilemma of inclination by defining career success, through its imperative commitment in the collection of evidence and theory also. Career success has been partitioned into two reflections, (i) Intrinsic and (ii) extrinsic reflections separately, sometimes interchangeably called (i) subjective and (ii) objective in nature as well. Extrinsic reflection can be seen and observable; relatively objective in nature comprises on noticeable outcomes including (i) salary, (ii) ascendancy and (iii) professional status, while intrinsic career success stands for the internal satisfaction of the employee from his/her profession and life [1]. The neutrality of observer might be apparent while evaluating the extraneous reflections or objective achievement of the individual. While, intrinsic reflections or subjective career success means individual's own perceptions identified with his/her own profession [2,35,36].

The negligible has been observed in the area of organizational justice for organizational progress [37,38]. The psychological processes, where organizational justice have the potential to determine the attitude and behaviour of the employees' working in the organization [39,40]. Globally the organizations have been found in the strict competitive environment. The opportunities for the work or employment are scarce as well, but for high performing worker the opportunities are abundant and countless to mention [34,41]. Universities are deemed the most important and competitive weapon in contributing towards lowering down the poverty, unemployment and enhancing national income & integrity [18]. Nevertheless, the organizational justice must be in order to accommodate and facilitate the employees at work as well as to derive motivation to work and satisfaction from work; to facilitate and boost organizational functioning in the dynamically competitive and complex environment [38,42].

Organizational justice and the opportunities of professional growth are now a days getting increased influence in the academia due to the ability to manage employees' attitude and behaviour at workplace [43]. Existing literature provided the guidance about the perceptions of organizational justice that are considered more important to enhance organizational performance and retain the quality work force to produce better productivity [31,44].

Whilst, the university performance has always been desired and necessary for the development and sustainability in the competitive world, funding agencies are also concerned about the utilization of their fund either used in right way; to be utilized in extracting the maximum efficiency in productivity, otherwise the distribution of such grants can be moved to some other institution that can produce better output from these funds [45,46].

However, the heads of the institutions have to remain impartial and fair while dealing with the faculty members, all the work loads and assignments are supposed to be allocated according to the capacity and capabilities of the individuals. The discussion of legal proceedings and administrative justice are another aspect of procedural justice.

Whilst, the distributive justice is all about perturbs, a kind of a communally just to allocate goods to the society. A society where, minor inequities in outcome do not occur would be deemed as a society directed by the doctrine of distributive justice [47-51]. Individuals have the potential to contribute better towards the attainment of

organizational objectives. If the individuals are given the equal opportunities of growth and progress they can contribute towards the university performance better [52].

In the organizational context as individuals' career success contributes better and enhances organizational performance. Therefore, it is necessarily required to evaluate the areas of human aspects, that enable the individuals to work for their own success, which ultimately contribute better towards the organizational performance [42,53]. So it can be hypothesized that:

H1: Career success has significantly positive relationship with university performance.

H2: Organizational justice has significantly positive relationship with career success.

Research Framework is depicted in Figure 1

Underpinning Theory

The theory of dynamic capability has been found supporting the conceptual model [54-58]. According to [54] the human beings have the potential to contribute better towards the attainment of organizational objectives. If the individuals are given the equal opportunities of growth and progress they can contribute towards the university performance better and have the abilities to enhance the performance with their impending contribution [57]. The study contributed in theoretical perspective as well by enhancing the chapter of Pakistan.

Methodology and Research Design

Current study intended to explore the relationship and impact of career success and organizational justice on university performance.

This effort has been undertaken to enhance the existing literature by adding new chapter from Pakistan in theory and practice. The suitable approach to test theory and hypothesis has been found quantitative as the purpose of the study is to test the hypothesis and theory rather than developing new theory. For the sake of analysis quantitative tools and techniques were applied by using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20 for data validation and SMART PLS version 2.0 for assessing Reliability, Validity, Cronbach's alpha, R², Path Coefficient, Bootstrapping, T-statistics and standard deviation.

Sample and respondents

Sample for the study has been chosen from 104 public sector universities. 223 faculty members working in public sector universities, has participated and responded for this study. For the study a self-administrated questionnaire was personally disseminated among the respondents.

Measures and instruments

The perceptual information from respondents has been received upon the existence. However the 5 items measurement scale for career success has been adopted from the genuine source [59]. Organizational justice has been adopted from genuine source, having 11 items [60]. Whilst the perceived university performance has been adopted from [24], consisting on 11 items that has already been tested in Pakistani environment with different predictors. The questionnaire used in this study consist of 27 items overall. All the respondents were requested to articulate their adjudication by using a five point likert scale ranging from (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) neither agree nor disagree, (4) agree and (5) strongly agree. As the measurement instrument has been tested widely in previous studies so the need of EFA has not been realised here for the study.

Results and Findings

The following Table 2 demonstrates the composite reliability and convergent validity for the latent variables of the current study. Composite reliability, Cronbach's alpha and average variance extracted (AVE) has been derived by using SMART PLS software 2.0 version. Cronbach's alpha which measures how well the variables are associate with each and other has been shown in the Table 2 as below. Table 3 represents discriminant validity of the construct. Table 4 represents the factor loading of the items, all the items remained within threshold values; as the measurements has been tested in the Asian context. Perceived university has been also tested in Pakistan earlier [24].

AVE should be above 0.5 Threshold for Convergent Validity [61,62]. The desired value for composite reliability is 0.7 and above. While for the exploratory research a value equal to above 0.6 can also be accepted [61,63].




Figure1: Framework of the study.

	Composite Reliability	Cronbach's Alpha	AVE
Career Success	0.907	0.8712	0.6623
Organizational Justice	0.936	0.9247	0.5712
University Performance	0.933	0.9204	0.5617

Table 2: Reliability and the internal consistency of the variables.

	Career Success	Org. Justice	Univ.Perf
Career Success	0.8138	X	X
Org. Justice	0.2963	0.7558	X
Univ.Perf	0.3371	0.5509	0.7495

Table 3: Discriminant Validity, it is desired that the sqrt (AVE) should be above the latent variable correlation.

It is desired that the sqrt (AVE) should be above the latent variable correlation.

After verifying the basic assumptions that all are found within threshold value and standards. So the next step can be taken to test the hypothesis as visible clearly in Figure 2 below. Bootstrapping was used from sample of 223 up to 1000 to test the hypothesis.

Further details have been provided in Table 5 for making decision and testing hypothesis on threshold values and standards. As the Table 5 demonstrates the model indices fit at which the model is legitimated [61]. T-Value for two tail test is appropriate and acceptable is found equal to or above 1.96 [64]. Here T-Value has been found over and above 1.96 converted into P-Values which resulted 0.0045 and 0.0000 which are <0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis is being accepted.

Discussion and Conclusion

The study in its unique construct is exploratory in Pakistan. This research was executed for the faculty members in public sector universities of Pakistan. The study has tried to explore the impact of career success and organizational justice in predicting university performance. As the r^2 remained 0.337 that represents there are more than 66% other factors that determine university performance such as personality traits cultural factor that might be able to determine university performance in better and potentially significant way.

Limitations of the Study

As the scope of the study is very narrow and covers only 223 faculty members; those who are full time lecturers, assistant professors,

	Career Success	Organizational Justice	University Performance
CS1	0.8421		
CS2	0.8756		
CS3	0.7148		
CS4	0.845		
CS5	0.7815		
OJ1		0.7378	
OJ2		0.7089	
OJ3		0.7692	
OJ4		0.7747	
OJ5		0.8016	
OJ6		0.8003	
OJ7		0.7882	
OJ8		0.7277	
OJ9		0.704	
OJ10		0.7501	
OJ11		0.7432	
UP1			0.7874
UP2			0.7903
UP3			0.7326
UP4			0.752
UP5			0.8035
UP6			0.786
UP7			0.5756
UP8			0.8206
UP9			0.7781
UP10			0.7913
UP11			0.5762

Table 4: Factor loading.




Figure 2: Results of Bootstrapping.

	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T-Value	P-Value
Career Success → University Performance	0.0668	0.0668	2.85	0.0045
Organizational Justice → University Performance	0.0536	0.0536	9.23	0.0000

P. Value is <0.05.
H1 and H2 both are Accepted.

Table 5: T-Statistics for testing hypothesis.

associate professors and professors. Due to some financial constraints the data collection period also remained limited up to 45 days. The study has tested only two predictors of perceived university performance (i) Career Success (Subjective) and (ii) Organizational Justice (Procedural and Distributed), that has been remained the major limitation as well. Further, as mentioned earlier that the R^2 remained 0.337. It means there is still 66% other factor which remained unexplored in this study.

Future recommendations

Future researcher may choose the larger sample and there are many other influential factors that have not been investigated in the current study. It is urged that environmental turbulence might be tested as moderator for such type of studies as the electricity shortfall, corruption, industrial setbacks are reshaping the business environment rapidly and supposed as the potential moderator for future studies. Qualitative evaluation is assumed to serve the purpose better.

References

- Saher N, Bibi S, Farmanullah S, Abbas S (2014) Career Success in Pakistan : Human Capital and Social Networking Middle East. Journal of Science Research 19: 163-171.
- Eby T, Sorensen KL, Feldman DC (2005) Predictors of Objective and Subjective Career Success: a Meta-Analysis. Persian Psychology 58: 367-408.
- Ng TW, Feldman DC (2010) Human capital and objective indicators of career success: The mediating effects of cognitive ability and conscientiousness. Journal of Occupation Organ Psychology 83: 207-235.

4. HEC 2015 [Online] Available: <http://www.hec.gov.pk/Pages/HECMain.aspx> [Accessed: 30-May-2015]
5. Pakistan - Education US Library of Congress (2015).
6. Pakistan has world's weakest higher education system (2016) QS rankings Tribune The Express.
7. The Pakistani curriculum and extremism Islamabad Pakistan (2016).
8. Top 500 Best Universities Daily Dawn Islamabad - Pakistan (2014).
9. Nelofer H (2013) Quality of private universities in Pakistan: an analysis of higher education commission rankings. *International Journal of Education Management* 27: 775-786.
10. Pavlin S, Svetlik I (2014) Employability of higher education graduates in Europe. *International Journal of Man power* 35: 418-424.
11. Hofstede G (2011) Dimensional zing Cultures : The Hofstede Model in Context Dimensional zing Cultures : The Hofstede Model in Context. *Online Read Psychology Culture, Vol-2*, p: 126.
12. Hofstede GJ (2005) Role playing with synthetic cultures: the evasive rules of the game.
13. Minkov M, Hofstede G (2011) Is National Culture a Meaningful Concept? Cultural Values Delineate Homogeneous National Clusters of In-Country Regions. *Cross-Cultural Research* 46: 133-159.
14. Javaid U (2011) Corruption and its deep impact on good governance in Pakistan. *Pak Economical Social Review* 48: 123-134.
15. Chene M (2008) Overview of corruption in Pakistan Purpose.
16. Country Ranking in Corruption Transparency International (2016).
17. Tan FY (2010) Career Planning Individual's Personality Traits HRM Practices as Determinants to Individual Career Success: The Role of Career Strategies as Mediator. University Utara Malaysia Alore Setar.
18. Arfan S (2013) The influence of the macroeconomic variables and business environment on the foreign direct investment inflows in pakistan : the moderating role of political stability. University Utara Malaysia.
19. Praskova A, Creed PA, Hood M (2015) Career identity and the complex mediating relationships between career preparatory actions and career progress markers. *Journal of Vocation Behaviour* 87: 145-153.
20. Yean TF, Yahya KK (2013) The Influence of Malaysian Insurance Agents Career Planning on Their Career Strategies. *International Journal of e-Education e-Business e-Management e-Learning* 23-28.
21. Harash E, Al-Timmi SN, Alsaad FJ, Al-Badran AYZ, Ahmed ER et al. (2014) Contingency Factors and Performance of Research and Development: The Moderating Effects of Government Policy. *J Asian Science Research* 4: 47-58.
22. Zainal SB (2012) Influence of Rewards System to Career Planning and Success of Employee University Utara Malaysia.
23. Khan, Shukor SA, Soffian SI (2015) The influence of career planning and HRM practices on career success of faculty members in public sector universities of Pakistan. *Journal of Resource Development Management* 9: 68-75.
24. Shahzad K, Bashir S, Ramay MI, Khurram S, Bashir S et al. (2008) Impact of HR Practices on Perceived Performance of University Teachers in Pakistan. *International Revision of Business Research Paper* 4: 302-315.
25. Yasir S, Rizwan MK, Fiza S, Javed I (2013) Career Development an Imperative of Job Satisfaction and Career Commitment : Empirical Evidence from Pakistani Employees in Banking Sector. *European Journal of Business Management* 5: 108-119.
26. Mukhtar U, Siengthai S, Ramzan S (2011) Mediating Role of HRM in Organizational Conflict and Impact on Organizational Effectiveness : Empirical Evidence of Pakistan. *Public Universities* 2: 391-403.
27. Al-zu H (2010) A Study of Relationship between Organizational Justice and Job Satisfaction. *International Journal of Business Management* 5: 102-109.
28. Sarania H, Safania AM, Razavi MH (2013) Influence of career satisfaction and justice organization on Organizational Citizenship Behaviour in Iranian Futsal Professional League. *Global Journal of Science Engineering Technology* 14: 18-24.
29. Kaifi BA, Khanfar NM, Nafei WA, Kaifi MM (2013) The Need for Human Resource Managers to Understand and Prepare for Future Employees: A Study on the Perceptions of Business Students on Job Performance. *Journal of Management Sustain* 3: 103-109.
30. Parveen A (2011) System and Reforms of Higher Education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business Social Science* 2: 260-267.
31. Arif MI, Hassan A, Ameer H (2014) HRM Practices in Public and Private Universities of Pakistan in Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Business Management. pp: 114.
32. Khurram S, Rehman U, Shad I, Gul A, Khan MA (2011) Work-Life Policies and Job Stress as Determinants of Turnover Intentions of Customer Service Representatives in Pakistan. *European Journal of Social Science* 19: 403-411.
33. Amin A, Ismail WK, Rasid SZA, Selemani RDA (2014) The Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Performance: Evidence from a Public University TQM *Journal* 26: 125-142.
34. Quaisar IK, Khulida KY, Tan FY (2014) The Effect of Human Capital Reward System and Career Commitment on Career Success: Mediating Role of Counterproductive Work Behaviour in Higher Education. Sector of Pakistan in 7th National Human Resource Management Conference, pp: 14-19.
35. Ng T, Feldman DC (2013) Employee age and health. *Journal of Vocational Behaviour* 83: 336-345.
36. Sur S, Ng ES (2014) Extending Theory on Job Stress: The Interaction Between the 'Other 3' and 'Big 5' Personality Traits on Job Stress. *Hum Resource Development Review* 13: 79-101.
37. Mumtaz A, Khan I, Aslam H, Ahmad B (2012) Impact of HR Practices on job Satisfaction of University Teacher: Evidence from Universities in Pakistan. *Indian Engineering Letter* 1: 10-18.
38. Murtaza G, Shad I, Malik WS (2011) Impact of organizational justice on employees' job satisfaction : evidence from Pakistan. *International Conference on Management (ICM 2011) Proceeding*, pp: 1123-1135.
39. Sabir K, Irfanullah J, Khan AZ, Siddiqui N, Dad K et al. (2010) HRM Practices a Woy for improving skills and commitment of the employees in organization (A Banking Sector in Pakistan). *International Journal Asian Social Science* 2: 1735-1741.
40. Raihan J (2012) The mediating effect of organizational commitment and perceived organizational support on HRM practices and turnover intention: A study of private universities in Bangladesh. University Utara Malaysia.
41. Khan QI, Shukor AS, Soffian SI (2015) The influence of career planning and hrm practices on career success of faculty members in public sector universities of Pakistan. *South Asia International Conference*, pp: 114.
42. Saeed RD, Mizna RN, Lodhi AA, Gill A (2014) Impact of Human Resource Practices on Deviant Workplace Behaviour A Study on Banking Sector Employees. *Journal of basic Applicants of Science Research* 4: 81-86.
43. Khan R, Bukhari A, Channar Z (2016) Effects of Leadership Style on Health Care Organizational Performance: A Survey of Selected Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi Pakistan. *International Journal, Vol. 5*.
44. Rhee J, Zhao X, Kim C (2014) Effects of HRM Practices on Chinese Firms' Organizational Performance: The Moderating Effect of CEO Support. *Asian Social Science* 10: 210-221.
45. Shan S, Ishaq HM, Shaheen MA (2015) Impact of organizational justice on job performance in libraries mediating role of leader-member exchange relationship. *Library Management* 36: 70-85.
46. Asif M, Awan MU, Khan MK, Ahmad N (2013) A model for total Quality management in higher education Quality Quantity. 4: 1883-1904.
47. Asghar RJ, Qayyum A, Zaheer A, Mughal A, Khalid S (2011) Implementation of HR Practices in University Teachers of Pakistan. *Information Management Business Review* 3: 148-158.
48. Jacobs G, Belschak FD, Den Hartog DN (2013) Un Ethical Behaviour and Performance Appraisal: The Role of Affect Support and Organizational Justice. *Journal of Business Ethics* 121: 63-76.
49. Struyven K, Jacobs F, Dochy (2013) Why do they want to teach? The multiple reasons of different groups of students for undertaking teacher education. *European Journal of Psychology Education* 28: 1007-1022.
50. Dent J (2015) Evaluating your own performance in a lecture Springer link.
51. Tessema MT, Soeters J (2006) Challenges and prospects of HRM in developing

- countries: testing the HRM-performance link in the Eritrean civil service. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, pp: 86-105.
52. Nabi GR (2000) Motivational attributes and organizational experiences as predictors of career-enhancing strategies. *Career Development International* volume 5: 91-98.
53. Stumpf A (2014) longitudinal study of career success embeddedness and mobility of early career professionals. *Journal of Vocation Behaviour* 85: 180-190.
54. Teece D, Pisano G, Shuen AM, Shuen AY (1997) *Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management*. *Strategic Management Journal* 18: 509-533.
55. Teece DJ (2009) *Dynamic Capabilities and strategic management* New York United States of America: Oxford University Press.
56. Fueglistaller U, Schrettle T (2010) Book review: *Dynamic capabilities & strategic management Organizing for innovation and growth* Oxford: Oxford University Press. *International Small Business Journal* 28: 522-524.
57. Teece DJ (2007) Explicating dynamic capabilities: the nature and micro foundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal* 28: 1319-1350.
58. Helfat CE, Finkelstein S, Mitchell W, Peteraf MA, Singh H et al. (2013) *Dynamic Capabilities: Understanding Strategic Change in Organizations* Malden USA: Blackwell Publishing.
59. Greenhaus JH, Parasuraman S, Wormley WM (1990) Affects of Race on Organizational Experience Job Performance Evaluations and Career Outcomes. *Academic Management Journal* 33: 64-86.
60. Colquitt J (2001) On the dimensionality of organizational justice: A construct validation of a measure. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 86: 386-400.
61. Bagozzi R, Yi Y (1988) On the Evaluation of Structural Equation Models. *Journal of Academic Marketing Science* 16: 74-94.
62. Hair J, Sarstedt M, Hopkins L, Kuppelwieser V (2014) Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM): An Emerging Tool in Business Research. *European Business Review*, Vol-26, pp: 1.
63. Henseler J, Dijkstra TK, Sarstedt M, Ringle CM (2014) A Diamantopoulos Common Beliefs and Reality About PLS: Comments on Ronkko and Evermann. *Organ Research Methods* 17: 182-209.
64. Kumar M, Talib SA, Ramayah T (2012) *Business Research Methods* Kuala Lumpur Malaysia: Oxford university press.

Citation: Khan QI, Shamsudin AS, Ismail SSS (2016) Career Success and Organizational Justice as the Potential Predictors of Perceived University Performance: A Study from Public Sector Universities of Pakistan. *Int J Econ Manag Sci* 5: 354. doi:[10.4172/2162-6359.1000354](https://doi.org/10.4172/2162-6359.1000354)

OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submit/>