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Abstract
The research looks into the structure, conduct and performance of the world’s leading pension funds, namely the ABP (The Netherlands) and California Public Employees’ Retirement System (U.S.A.), and benchmarks the Government Service Insurance System (Philippines) against the best practices instituted by these leading organizations. A Survey of Awareness and Practices of the GSIS Pension Fund is included in the research to validate the GSIS fund performance.
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Introduction
What is a pension system? What are its goals?

These two questions are at the heart of this study. But more than defining a pension system and its goals, this study delves deeper into the questions critical to a pension fund system. These concern its stability in providing for services as well as its potential for growth in providing for benefits. Thus, the central argument proposed, developed and analyzed in this study is this: best practices ensure growth of the pension fund and adequacy of its services.

For this purpose, this study looks into mandatory pension schemes, established by law and compelling at least some category of the economically active population to participate. In this instance, mandatory retirement and pension coverage for civil servants or workers in the government is the subject of this research.

The goal of a pension system is to provide for replacement for labor earnings lost as a result of old age, premature death, invalidity, and temporary or permanent work injury. Public pension systems seek to address the duty to ensure a minimum income or replace a predictable percentage of lost earnings [1]. Many public pension funds are largely defined benefit schemes financed on a pay-as-you-go basis [2].

In Asia, the three main types of public pension systems in the region are: provident funds, defined benefit systems in market economies, and pension schemes in transition economies. While the magnitude and nature of problems differ from country to country, most pension schemes have common problems such as affordability, poor returns on financial assets, inadequate service levels, and inefficient administration [3].

In many countries, public pension funds are among the largest in terms of assets and number of participants and constitute an important share of financial assets. In 2005, the 10 worldwide largest pension funds worldwide in terms of assets were occupational schemes for civil servants. (www.watsonwatt.com).

Approximately 800 million people, or one-third of the world’s labor force, are covered by publicly-managed pension fund schemes (www.financelearning.org/ppfin2004).

The management of these publicly-managed pension funds has been subject of much debate and attention owing to its sheer size and potential impact on financial stability as well as its sustainability to provide for future benefits.

Consider, the stock of pension assets of these public pension funds alone amount to fifty percent of the world’s gross domestic product.

Focusing on these public pension funds and the GSIS pension fund, the paper will look into the best practices adopted to safeguard the growth and sustainability of the public pension funds.

Review of Related Literature
Defining a pension fund

Pension funds are a form of social protection scheme that protects the population from economic hardship associated with social risks such as old age, sickness, accident, death of the breadwinner and/or unemployment [4]. Pension funds are established to provide safety nets to its members in their old age. The term “social security” is often used to refer also to pension fund systems [5]. However, social security should be seen strictly as both a process and result of means to ensure poverty relief or prevent it and maintain incomes by replacing incomes lost as a result of prescribed contingencies ISSA [6]. Social security comprises the length of health care and health insurance, life insurance, retirement, disability, maternity and unemployment insurance. Pension funds particularly and specifically consider safety nets for old age needs and entail the consideration of retirement and pension schemes for members of the fund.

The International Labor Organization (ILO) established the minimum standards for the financing, benefit structure and administration of social security schemes, the most important of which are consolidated in Convention No. 102 adopted in 1952 [7].

As so defined by Social Security Minimum Standards Convention No. 102, national security systems are enjoined to provide nine benefits, No. 102, national security systems are enjoined to provide nine benefits, namely:

1. Medical care;
2. Sickness benefit;
3. Maternity benefit;
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4. Employment injury benefit;
5. Old age benefit;
6. Invalidity benefit;
7. Survivors’ benefit;
8. Unemployment benefit;

By extension, a public pension fund, primarily a civil servants’ pension fund, refers to pension fund schemes that cater to workers in government. Even so, public pension funds may also refer to mandatory publicly-managed pension systems for private workers. For our purpose, we use the term “public pension fund” to refer to the civil servants’ pension fund. Strictly, a pension fund pertains to the administration of retirement and old age benefits for its members. A social security agency may also administer a pension fund.

Characteristics of best practices

Developing a best practices framework for any public pension fund requires that the fund’s objectives are clear, free from conflict of interest, its operations transparent and its managers accountable to the fund’s members for their decisions [5].

Good governance

Good governance is about establishing structures to ensure that a business is well run. Within the context of business enterprises, good corporate governance refers to the rules and practices that govern the relationship between the managers’ and shareholders of corporations, as well as stakeholders like employees, pensioners and local communities [8]. Good corporate governance enhances accountability, transparency and fairness.

Within the context of allocating investment capital, institutional investors such as pension funds especially consider the governance profile of a market in making investment decisions, defined by the manner in which a market deals with issues like disclosure, insider trading and other investor protection issues ICGN [9].

Leading pension’s funds have been shown to be committed to global corporate governance principles and have thrown support to the International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN) Statement on Global Corporate Governance Principles, among other internationally-recognized corporate governance institutions.

Accountability

Accountability is about ensuring that the governance structures are effective by creating compatible incentives. It means establishing the criteria to measure the performance of officers as well as oversight mechanisms to ensure that standards are met. It renders officers answerable for their official behavior and responsive to the entity from which they derive their authority ADB [10].

Transparency

Transparency is about disclosing full information on the financial situation of the fund, investment decisions, composition of portfolio and performance. It means making available information to the general public and clarifying rules, regulations and decisions ADB [11].

Social responsibility

Corporate social responsibility is the commitment of business to contribute to sustainable economic development by behaving ethically and working with employees, their families, the local community and society at large to improve their quality of life WBCSD [12]. CSR is about how companies manage the business processes to produce an overall impact on society (www.mallenbaker.net).

Responsible investment (ESG issues)

Because of their primordial role as engines of economic growth as a source of funds for investment activities wherever, leading pension funds are increasingly constrained to incorporate environmental, social and corporate governance issues in their investment analysis and decision-making processes. The judicious application of ESG concerns have more often than not produced tangible profits and enhanced shareholder value.

Such concern for ESG issues requires that pension funds take an active role as investors in the companies they invest in, including governance practices and policies. Pension funds enter into collaborative engagements with institutions to promote responsible investment, engage companies on ESG issues and exercise voting rights or monitor compliance with voting policy [13].

Leading pensions funds have been signatory to the United Nations Principles of Responsible Investment launched in April 2006 and developed by an international group of investors to make ESG issues relevant to investment practice.

The leading public pension funds and the GSIS

The survey of leading public pension funds in this study selects several systems from the recent survey ranking (in terms of assets under management) conducted by the Watson Wyatt Company in 2006. The ranking based on 2005 figures is dominated by pension funds established for workers in government (national or local).

Two of these leading public pension funds, namely, ABP (Netherlands) and CalPERS (U.S.) are the focus of the benchmarking exercise. These two public pension funds have been selected owing to their consistent well-placed rank in the league of the world’s leading pension funds. CalPERS also the model and benchmark for the investment strategy that the GSIS is adopting with respect to investing pension funds. CalPERS also the model and benchmark for the investment strategy that the GSIS is adopting with respect to investing in the foreign markets. The best practices of several leading public pension funds in the world are documented in the exhaustive research by The United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative and The United Kingdom Social Investment Forum (2007) [14-17].

Results and Discussion

A closer look at the below areas of best practices and how these differ or are manifested in the benchmark pension funds is in order. Specifically, the following corporate governance parameters are considered along with the current practice adopted in the GSIS:

Organization mandate

ABP and CalPERS are differently organized. ABP is a non-profit institution organized under private law but governed by public rules and regulation.

ABP is cited as the best example of how civil servants’ pension funds should be organized and rendered free from political pressure and improper interference from government in pursuing its objectives.

On the other hand, CalPERS is an independent pension fund created by state legislation. However, certain provisions in the State
contribution to the risk-return profile of its portfolio. ABP votes all its
shareholder rights. ABP exercises these shareholder rights if it can
seriously the ramifications of ESG issues in their investment decisions.

GSIS is not signatory to the UNPRI or the ICGN or the Global
Sullivan Principles. However, its entry into foreign investment markets
will probably mean that it will consider these collaborative initiatives as
a responsible pension fund investor [22].

Accountability and oversight

Corporate governance within the ABP and CalPERS are exercised by
the respective boards. ABP has four boards while CalPERS has a
single board responsible for all of CalPERS’ pension plans. Both
pension funds invoke transparency and accountability in ensuring that
its members and stakeholders are furnished information and there is
full disclosure of information in the investment interests of their funds.

GSIS is governed by a Board of Trustees which is the policy-
making body composed of the President and General Manager of
the GSIS and eight representatives from the Philippine Public School
Teachers Association of the Philippines or the Philippine Association
of School Superintendents, leading government employee/retiree
associations, banking/finance/investment/ insurance sector, and the
legal profession. The PGM is the Vice-Chair of the Board and heads the
GSIS management team as its CEO [23].

Shareholder participation

ABP is a long-term investor with an active corporate governance
policy requiring from the companies it invests in high standards of
transparency, independent supervision, accountability and respect for
shareholder rights. ABP exercises these shareholder rights if it can
contribute to the risk-return profile of its portfolio. ABP votes all its
Dutch holdings directly or via proxy voting through Eumedion, its
third-party representative. ABP votes in its international holdings in
countries where it has at least investment of $13 million, or about
1,000 companies in Europe, U.S.A, Canada and Australia [24].

Calper is a leading advocate and practitioner of active involvement
in pension fund investments by primarily involving itself with corporate
governance practices of the companies it invests in. CalPERS maintains
a Focus List Program identifying poor-performing companies in which
it has equity interests and proposes governance mechanisms that seek
to improve company performance and enhance the shareholder value
in the process.

GSIS is represented in the companies where it is entitled to a Board
seat and votes in all issues brought before the Board meetings in these
companies [25].

Disclosure of information and transparency

Both ABP and CalPERS are champions of good corporate
governance and observe full disclosure of information in all critical
aspects of governance and management, primarily using their
respective website as tools for information dissemination.

Inter-government relationship

ABP maintains an exclusion policy for investments that contravene
international law, avoiding investments directly related to violations of
human rights and fundamental freedoms.

CalPERS has a policy on emerging markets equity investment
which considers political stability of a country including civil liberties
and independent legal system, transparency including press freedom
and accounting standards, among others. In 2006, CalPERS adopted
a strong position on Sudan for human rights violations unwittingly
supported by companies and which pose risk to the long-term value of
its investments [26].

Code requirements for external fund managers

Both ABP and CalPERS have strict requirements from its external
fund managers to comply with Code requirements such as Ethics,
Corporate Governance, Prudent Investments, Conflict of Interest and
Proxy Voting Guidelines.

GSIS does not require the presentation of a Code of Ethics from
its external fund managers but meticulously considers the reputation
of the external fund managers as well as the credentials of the officers
assigned to handle the GSIS fund [27].

Organizational competency

ABP’s investment team utilizes the combined skills and
management efforts of its legal, equity investment, and CSR and
research departments. CalPERS has 215 people in its Investment Office
implementing its various investment programs such as the Corporate
Governance Focus List Program, Corporate Governance Investment
Program, Environmental Corporate Governance Plan, GSIS has an
Investment Office headed by a Vice-President and staffed with a
Department Manager and 4 Accounts Managers and 18 staff officers,
including 10 trading and senior trading specialists [28].

Collaborative initiatives

Both ABP and CalPERS enter into collaborative initiatives with
other international pension funds and institutional investors in line
with their responsible investment strategy. Among these initiatives are
the International Corporate Governance Network, Global Investor
Governance Network, Carbon Disclosure Project, and UN Principles
for Responsible Investment.

GSIS is an active member of the Philippine Social Security
Association (PhilSSA) and is affiliated with the International Social
Security Association (ISSA), under the auspices of the International
Labor Organization (ILO), and the ASEAN Social Security Association
(ASSA) [29].

Conclusion

A full appreciation of the success of the adoption of best practices in
two of the world’s leading pension funds will probably require a
more extensive research into the operational and financial impacts of
such practices on the delivery of their “promise” of defined pension

benefits and optimal yields for the members and participants of the pension fund.

From the vantage point of practical research, this business case for the adoption of best practices by the GSIS in the management of the pension fund particularly rests on two considerations:

1. There is imperative to look into the best practices of the world’s leading pension funds in order to map out a defining investment strategy that will direct the management and growth of the funds of the GSIS.

2. There is impetus for the consideration of the concern and support of GSIS members for reforms (including adoption of best practices and ensuring its successful delivery) that will augur for their benefit in ensuring that the pension fund is responsive to their needs and their plight.

The argument that best practices contribute to the pension fund’s adequacy and growth may not be demonstrated in clear financial and quantitative terms here. But it should suffice that our benchmarks, ABP and CalPERS, have consistently been well-placed among the world’s pension funds in protecting its investible assets and the interest of its members at the least by pursuing a carefully crafted strategy of responsible investment complete with clear policy guidelines with which to realize the lofty goals of such a strategy. Thus, it bears to consider the best practices they have adopted and to appreciate perhaps the practical benefits of having the same for the GSIS.
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