

Open Access

Benefits and Adverse Effects of Statins, Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate, Pitavastatin Calcium, and Pravastatin Sodium, for Dyslipidemia in Patients on Hemodialysis

Toru Sanai*, Takashi Ono and Toma Fukumitsu

The Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine and Surgery, Fukumitsu Hospital, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka-city, Japan

Abstract

Objective: Risk factors development are similar to those implicated in cardiovascular diseases (cardiac failure, ischemic heart disease, and peripheral arterial disease), hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia in hemodialysis (HD). Therefore, it is necessary to optimize the treatment (dyslipidemia) of these patients.

Methods: We examined changes in physiological parameters, renal function markers and lipid profiles induced by statins, such as pravastatin sodium (water-soluble, PS), atorvastatin calcium hydrate (fat-soluble, ACH) and pitavastatin calcium (fat-soluble, PC).

Results: Dyslipidemia with medication was observed in 34 (24.8%) of the 137 HD patients. The therapeutic statins used for dyslipidemia were as follows: PS was used in the nine patients, ACH was used in 11 patients and PC was used in nine HD patients. The waist circumference and body mass index were more significantly increased in the patients treated with ACH (90.9 ± 10.5 cm [mean ± standard deviation], 24.8 ± 2.9 kg/m²) than in the patients treated with PS (79.9 ± 10.3 cm, 21.0 ± 2.9 kg/m²; P < 0.05). While the serum triglyceride levels in the PS group were 103 ± 36 mg/dl, those in the ACH group were 164 ± 75 mg/dl (P < 0.05). In addition, the serum whole parathyroid hormone levels were significantly higher in the ACH group (151 ± 102 pg/ml) than in the PS group (55 ± 32 pg/ml, P < 0.05). There were no differences between the PS and the PC groups in any of the laboratory data, except for the serum creatinine levels.

Conclusion: Waist circumference, body mass index and the serum triglyceride levels were increased in the ACH HD patients. Interestingly, the serum whole PTH levels were found to be significant in the ESRD patients treated with ACH. Based on our results, PC may be an ideal statin for treating HD patients.

Keywords: Dyslipidemia; End-stage renal disease; Hemodialysis; Parathyroid hormone; Statins

Introduction

The development of hemodialysis (HD) has enabled patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) to survive for long periods. However, the progression of cardiovascular disease remains a major clinical problem. Consequently, dyslipidemia is common [1], and the presence of cardiovascular disease has become an increasingly important factor with regard to mortality in HD patients [2,3].

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is associated with a significant burden of cardiovascular disease risk factors [4]. The prevalence of dyslipidemia in CKD patients is much higher than that observed in the general population whilst elevated cholesterol and triglyceride levels are associated with more rapid deterioration of the kidney function [5].

In this study, we evaluated the efficacy of treatment for dyslipidemia using statins in ESRD patients on maintenance HD. The purpose of the present report was to compare the lipid profiles and obesity parameters after treatment with statins, such as atorvastatin calcium hydrate (strong, fat-soluble; ACH), pitavastatin calcium (strong, fat-soluble; PC) and pravastatin sodium (regular, water-soluble; PS) in ESRD patients on HD.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A total of 137 patients (male/female, 92/45; age 60.8 \pm 14.4 years [the mean \pm standard deviation (SD)]) with ESRD on maintenance HD at Fukumitsu Hospital were examined.

Dyslipidemia with medication was observed in 34 (24.8%) of the 137 patients. We examined changes in physiological parameters, renal

function markers and lipid profiles induced by statins, 3-hydroxy-3methyglutary coenzyme A reductase inhibitors, such as PS, ACH and PC. The distribution of statins used for dyslipidemia among the 29 patients (male/female, 15/14; age 62.0 \pm 12.4 years) on maintenance HD was as follows: ACH was used in 11 HD patients (7/4, chronic glomerulonephritis five, diabetic nephropathy four and polycystic kidneys disease two patients, 5.7 \pm 2.3 mg), PC was used in nine HD patients (4/5, chronic glomerulonephritis four, diabetic nephropathy five patients, 1.1 \pm 0.6 mg) and PS was used in nine HD patients (4/5, chronic glomerulonephritis two, diabetic nephropathy five and polycystic kidneys disease two patients, 6.7 \pm 2.5 mg).

Following the introduction of HD at Kyushu University Hospital, Kyushu Medical National Hospital and Fukuoka Red Cross Hospital etc., HD patients were transferred to our hospital. No changes were made in the type or dose of medicines used to treat dyslipidemia at our hospital. As the statins had been used previously and the exact duration was not understood, this study did not include the baseline characteristics of the ESRD patients. Therefore, as a limitation, variables measured at baseline were not compared among the HD patients.

*Corresponding author: Toru Sanai, The Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Fukumitsu Hospital, 4-10-1 Kashiihama, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka-city, Japan, Tel: +81-92-681-3331, Fax: +81-92-672-5154; E-mail: sunny@fukumitsu-hospital.jp

Received March 15, 2016; Accepted March 30, 2016; Published April 06, 2016 Citation: Sanai T, Ono T, Fukumitsu T (2016) Benefits and Adverse Effects of Statins, Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate, Pitavastatin Calcium, and Pravastatin Sodium, for Dyslipidemia in Patients on Hemodialysis. J Metabolic Synd 5: 202. doi:10.4172/2167-0943.1000202

Copyright: © 2016 Sanai T, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The present study was performed using a non-randomized analysis at a single center with a retrospective and non-controlled design including a small number of patients. The procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee on human experimentation at Fukumitsu Hospital.

Dialysis schedule

The patients underwent four- to five-hour sessions of HD therapy three times per week. We used a standard hollow-fiber dialyzer and bicarbonate, as follows: Na⁺ 140 mEq/L, K⁺ 2.0 mEq/L, Ca⁺⁺ 2.5 mEq/L, Mg⁺⁺ 1.0 mEq/L, Cl- 110 mEq/L, CH₃COO- 8 mEq/L, HCO₃- 30 mEq/L and glucose 150 mg/dl in dialysate AF-3E. The blood flow rate was 200 ml/min, and the dialysate flow rate was kept constant at 500 ml/min.

Physiological study

In all patients, blood pressure before and after HD was routinely examined according to the Riva-Rocci method to screen for complications associated with maintenance HD. In this study, the body mass index (BMI) was simultaneously examined as the "dry weight" (body weight immediately after the HD) (kg)/height \times height (m²). Waist circumference and pelvic circumference were also measured.

Blood sampling

The hemoglobin, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, calcium, phosphate, whole parathyroid hormone (PTH) (chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay), blood glucose (postprandial blood glucose), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c; National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program; NGSP), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (direct method), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (direct method), triglyceride (TG) (enzyme method), albumin, uric acid, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, lactate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase, creatine phosphokinase, and C-reactive protein concentrations were measured in blood samples drawn immediately before HD (not fasting).

"Metabolic syndrome (MS)"

The diagnosis of MS was made according to the criteria issued the Japanese Society of Internal Medicine [6]. The diagnosis of "MS" was based on the presence of abdominal obesity defined as a waist circumference of 85 cm or more for males and 90 cm or more for females, as well as at least two of the following three modified items:

(1) Dyslipidemia (TG [not fasting] > 150 mg/dl and/or HDL-C < 40 mg/dl);

(2) High blood pressure before HD (systolic blood pressure > 130 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure > 85 mmHg;

(3) High plasma glucose (random plasma glucose > 200 mg/dl [7]).

Statistical Analysis

The values are expressed as the mean \pm SD. The significance of the differences among the groups were calculated using a one-way analysis of variance and an unpaired t-test with the Bonferroni method. P values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Although dyslipidemia was observed in only 34 (24.8%) of the 137 maintenance HD patients, only statin therapy and treatment with a single medication was used in 29 of the dyslipidemic patients. Complications of cardiovascular disease were seen in five patients in all groups, two patients in the ACH and PC groups and one patient in the PS group. No acute or chronic adverse effects were noted in the three groups during the follow-up period.

As shown in Table 1, compared to that observed in the PS patients (51.4 \pm 9.4 kg), the dry weight levels were significantly greater in the patients receiving ACH (63.0 \pm 11.9 kg; P < 0.05). The waist circumference values in the patients receiving the ACH group (90.9 \pm 10.5 cm; P < 0.05) were significantly greater than those seen in the patients receiving PS (79.9 \pm 10.3 cm). No changes in age, pelvic circumference, waist/pelvic circumference or blood pressure were observed in either statin group during the study period.

As shown in Table 2, the serum creatinine levels in the patients receiving PS (7.5 \pm 2.0 mg/dl) were significantly lower among the patients receiving ACH (9.8 \pm 2.2 mmHg; P < 0.05) or PC (9.6 \pm 1.2 mmHg; P < 0.05) before HD. The blood urea nitrogen levels were significantly higher in the patients receiving ACH (58 \pm 17 mg/dl) than in the patients receiving PC (43 \pm 13 mg; P < 0.05) before HD. In addition, the serum whole PTH levels were significantly increased in the ACH group (151 \pm 102 pg/ml) compared to that seen in the patients being treated with PS (55 \pm 32 pg/ml; P < 0.05). Calcimimetics (Cinacalcet hyrochride[®]) was used in three patients in the ACT group (whole PTH: #1 189 pg/ml; #2 22 pg/ml; #3 217 pg/ml), one patient in the PC group (whole PTH: #1 138 pg/ml), and one patients in the PS group (whole PTH: #1 41 pg/ml). No significant differences were noted in the hemoglobin, serum calcium or phosphate levels between the groups.

The serum TG and BMI levels were more significantly increased in the patients treated with ACH ($164 \pm 75 \text{ mg/dl}$, $24.8 \pm 2.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$) than in the patients being treated with PS ($103 \pm 36 \text{ mg/dl}$, $21.0 \pm 2.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$;

Group	roup PS AC		PC
n	9	11	9
Age (year)	67 ± 10	67 ± 10 60 ± 12	
Dry weight (kg)	51.4 ± 9.4	1.4 ± 9.4 63.0 ± 11.9*	
Waist circumference (cm)	79.9 ± 10.3	90.9 ± 10.5*	84.6 ± 5.1
Pelvic circumference (cm)	88.2 ± 6	95.3 ± 9.2	91.5 ± 4.0
Waist / Pelvic circumference	0.91 ± 0.06	0.96 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.05
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	145 ± 22	149 ± 27	166 ± 24
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	74 ± 11	83 ± 15	84 ± 19

The data are expressed as the mean ± SD.

*P < 0.05 compared with the data in the pravastatin sodium (PS) group.

"Dry weight" is the body weight immediately after HD.

Blood pressure before HD was routinely examined.

PS: Pravastatin Sodium

ACH: Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate PC: Pitavastatin Calcium

Table 1: Physiological data for the ESRD patients treated with statins.

group	PS	ACH	PC	
n	9	11	9	
Hemoglobin (g/l)	10.2 ± 1.1	10.7 ± 1.6	10.5 ± 0.8	
Creatinine (mg/dl)	7.5 ± 2.0	9.8 ± 2.2*	9.6 ± 1.2*	
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl)	49 ± 8	58 ± 17	43 ± 13*	
Calcium (mg/dl)	9.0 ± 0.6	9.0 ± 0.4	9.4 ± 0.8	
Phosphate (mg/dl)	5.1 ± 1.5	5.7 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 1.1	
Whole parathyroid hormone (pg/ml)	55 + 32	151 + 102*	100 + 65	

The data are expressed as the mean ± SD.

*P < 0.05 compared with the data in the pravastatin sodium (PS) group.

*P < 0.05 compared with the data in the atorvastatin calcium hydrate (ACH) group. PS: Pravastatin Sodium; ACH: Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate. PC: Pitavastatin Calcium.

Table 2: Renal Data for the ESRD Patients Treated with Statins.

P < 0.05, Table 3) before HD. The serum LDL-C, HDL-C, non-HDL, postprandial blood glucose, HbA1c, albumin and uric acid levels, were not significantly different between the three groups.

As shown in Table 4, the serum aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, lactate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase, creatine phosphokinase and C-reactive protein concentrations did not differ significantly between the three groups.

The diagnosis of "MS" was made according to the criteria issued by the Japanese Society of Internal Medicine [6] and Japan Diabebes Sociaty [7]. The efficacy of the three statins for treating "MS" (ACH in three patients, PC in two patients and PS in one patient) was not compared. A further study including a smaller number of subjects with "MS" is also needed to confirm the current observations. In the present study, the number of HD patients, including those with MS, was small.

Discussion

In this study, we examined 34 dyslipidemia patients following a routine clinical evaluation of 137 patients with ESRD treated with maintenance HD. In particular, we examined changes in the lipid profiles induced by statins, such as PS, ACH and PC. ACH is a worldwide used statin, PC is made in Japan, and PS is "regular", water-

group	PS	ACH	PC
n	9	11	9
LDL (mg/dl)	79 ± 19	79 ± 24	79 ± 18
HDL (mg/dl)	46 ± 18	37 ± 9	43 ± 13
Non-HDL (mg/dl)	108 ± 20	118 ± 31	106 ± 16
TG (mg/dl)	103 ± 36	164 ± 75*	115 ± 39
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.0 ± 2.9	24.8 ± 2.9*	23.2 ± 2.7
PBG (mg/dl)	144 ± 50	114 ± 38	152 ± 82
HbA1c (%)	7.4 ± 1.4 (6)	6.2 ± 0.9 (4)	6.4 ± 1.1 (7)
Albumin (g/l)	3.8 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.3
Uric acid (mg/dl)	5.8 ± 0.7	6.3 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.8

The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD. (): number

*P < 0.05 compared with the data in the pravastatin sodium (PS) group.

PS: Pravastatin Sodium; ACH: Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate.

PC: Pitavastatin Calcium.

LDL: Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; HDL: High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol: TG: Triglyceride; BMI: Body Mass Index = Dry Weight (Body Weight Immediately After HD) (Kg) / Height X Height (M²), PBG: Postprandial Blood Glucose; Hba1c: Hemoglobin A1c (NGSP).

Table 3: Metabolic Data for the ESRD Patients Treated with Statins.

group	PS	ACH	PC
n	9	11	9
AST (IU/L)	14 ± 3	12 ± 3	12 ± 4
ALT (IU/L)	8 ± 2	12 ± 5	11 ± 6
γ-GTP	33 ± 36	69 ± 122	22 ± 12
LDH (IU/L)	182 ± 22	204 ± 48	191 ± 26
ALP (IU/L)	297 ± 95	341 ± 189	259 ± 60
CPK (IU/L)	75 ± 30	85 ± 38	80 ± 30
CRP (mg/dl)	0.55 ± 0.95	0.58 ± 0.73	0.26 ± 0.48

The data are expressed as the mean ± SD.

PS: Pravastatin Sodium; ACH: Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate.

PC: Pitavastatin Calcium.

AST: Aspartate Aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine Aminotransferase, r-GTP: Gamma-Glutamyl Transpeptidase, LDH: Lactate Dehydrogenase, ALP: Alkaline Phosphatase, CPK: Creatine Phosphokinase. CRP: C-Reactive Protein.

 Table 4: Liver Function, Creatine Phosphokinase, and C-reactive Protein Levels in the ESRD Patients Treated with Statins.
 soluble and the "control" group. Consequently, the BMI, dry weight and serum TG levels significantly increased in the patients receiving ACH compared to that seen in the subjects receiving PS before HD.

The serum non-HDL levels are well known to be predictive of a high risk for overall mortality in the general HD associated with dyslipidemia population [1,8] and are closely related to measurements of obesity [9]. Furthermore, the serum TG levels were significantly greater in the patients treated with ACH than in the patients receiving PS group in our study (Table 3). As a result, patients treated with ACH on maintenance HD may have a greater risk of cardiovascular disease in terms of the serum TG levels.

The BMI, dry weight, waist circumference and serum TG levels were significantly greater in the patients receiving ACH than in those receiving PS in the current study. However, the clinical and renal data regarding maintenance HD were not significantly different between the three groups, except for the serum whole PTH levels in the ACH group and the serum creatinine levels in the ACH and PC groups. Furthermore, ACH may induce obesity and/or MS, whereas PS or PC may not.

The serum whole PTH levels were significantly increased in the ACH group compared to that seen in the patients being treated with PS (Table 2), whereas the serum calcium, phosphate and alkaline phosphatase levels were not significantly different between the three groups. Rejnmark et al. [10] reported the plasma PTH levels to be significantly higher (16%) in statin-treated patients than in control subjects among postmenopausal females. However, in other reports, the plasma levels of PTH and various bone markers did not differ between the simvastatin and control group of postmenopausal women [11]. In addition, statins have no effect on the intact PTH concentration [12]. In this study, the serum PTH levels were differently influenced by the general beneficial effects of statins, including ACH, PS and PC.

Today, many statins are clinically available worldwide. Solubility in water or alcohol is related to the tissue selectivity of statins [13]. In Japan, hydrophilic PS has been shown to be superior to lipophilic statins with respect to a preventive Q-wave appearance and reducing cardiovascular events [14].

Yokote et al. [15] reported that the serum HDL-C level shows a significant increase following PC, but not ACH, treatment. In the present study (Table 3), the serum HDL-C levels increased following therapy with PS or PC (mean > 40 mg/dl) and were reduced by ACH treatment (mean < 40 mg/dl).

Lipid-lowering treatment with statins is effective in reducing the risk for cardiovascular disease in early of chronic kidney disease, whereas the benefit of such medication may be limited [16,17] to those an elevated level of LDL-C in patients with chronic kidney disease stage 5D [18] and HD.

Statins are ineffective in the HD patients [19]. ACH may induce obesity-related factors need to be differently influenced. Therefore, it may be other medications that we prevent rather TG rich protein and HDL-C than LCL-C in especially diabetes mellitus.

This study is associated with several potential limitations. The present study was performed in a single arm using a retrospective study and non-controlled design with a small number of patients. However, we firmly believe that the potential benefits of PS and/or PC therapy are important for the improving the rates of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in HD patients.

Citation: Sanai T, Ono T, Fukumitsu T (2016) Benefits and Adverse Effects of Statins, Atorvastatin Calcium Hydrate, Pitavastatin Calcium, and Pravastatin Sodium, for Dyslipidemia in Patients on Hemodialysis. J Metabolic Synd 5: 202. doi:10.4172/2167-0943.1000202

Page 4 of 4

Conclusion

The present findings clearly indicate that ACH increases both the BMI and serum TG levels in ESRD patients. In addition, the serum whole PTH levels are expected to provide an option as a marker in ESRD patients treated with ACH. These results suggest that PC may be an ideal statin for the treatment of HD. Future prospective studies with a large number of patients are required to confirm our results.

Acknowlegements

We are grateful to Ms. M. Kadota and M. Matsushima at Fukumitsu Hospital for their valuable technical advice.

Disclosure of Interest

The authors declare that no competing financial interests exist (COI).

References

- 1. Tsubakihara M, Iseki K, Masakane I (2013) an overview of dialysis treatment in Japan. J Jpn Soc Dial 46: 1-76.
- Shoji T, Nishizawa Y (2005) Chronic kidney disease as a metabolic syndrome with malnutrition--need for strict control of risk factors. Intern Med 44: 179-187.
- Liu Y, Coresh J, Eustace JA, Longenecker JC, Jaar B, et al. (2004) Association between cholesterol level and mortality in dialysis patients: role of inflammation and malnutrition. JAMA 291: 451-459.
- Parikh NI, Hwang SJ, Larson MG, Meigs JB, Levy D, et al. (2006) Cardiovascular disease risk factors in chronic kidney disease: overall burden and rates of treatment and control. Arch Intern Med 166: 1884-1891.
- Schaeffner ES, Kurth T, Curhan GC, Glynn RJ, Rexrode KM, et al. (2003) Cholesterol and the risk of renal dysfunction in apparently healthy men. J Am Soc Nephrol 14: 2084-2091.
- Comittee for Japanese Definition of Metabolic Syndrome: Metabolic syndromedefinition and diagnostic critria in Japan. (2005) J Jpn Soc Intern Med 94: 794-809.
- Kuzuya T, Nakagawa S, Satoh J, Kanazawa Y, Iwamoto Y, et al. (2002) Report of the Committee on the classification and diagnostic criteria of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 55: 65-85.

- Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Tsukamoto Y, Levin A, Coresh J, et al. (2005) Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: a position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 67: 2089-2100.
- Bittner V (2004) Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: an alternate target for lipid-lowering therapy. Prev Cardiol 7: 122-126.
- Rejnmark L, Buus NH, Vestergaard P, Andreasen F, Larsen ML, et al. (2002) Statins decrease bone turnover in postmenopausal women: a cross-sectional study. Eur J Clin Invest 32: 581-589.
- Rejnmark L, Buus NH, Vestergaard P, Heickendorff L, Andreasen F, et al. (2004) Effects of simvastatin on bone turnover and BMD: a 1-year randomized controlled trial in postmenopausal osteopenic women. J Bone Miner Res 19: 737-744.
- Ashman N, Banerjee A, Yaqoob MM (2009) Statins do not affect mineral metabolism in chronic kidney disease: a retrospective analysis. Nephron Clin Pract 111: c236-c239.
- Sirtori CR (1993) Tissue selectivity of hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG CoA) reductase inhibitors. Pharmacol Ther 60: 431-459.
- Sakamoto T, Kojima S, Ogawa H, Shimomura H, Kimura K, et al. (2007) Usefulness of hydrophilic vs lipophilic statins after acute myocardial infarction: subanalysis of MUSASHI-AMI. Circ J 71: 1348-1353.
- 15. Yokote K, Bujo H, Hanaoka H (2008) Multicenter collaborative randomized parallel group comparative study of pitavastatin and atorvastatin in Japanese hypercholesterolemic patients collaborative study on hypercholesterolemia drug intervention and their benefit for atherosclerosis prevention (CHIBA study). Atherosclerosis 201: 345-352.
- Wanner C, Krane V, März W, Olschewski M, Mann JF, et al. (2005) Atorvastatin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus undergoing hemodialysis. N Engl J Med 353: 238-248.
- Fellström BC, Jardine AG, Schmieder RE, Holdaas H, Bannister K, et al. (2009) Rosuvastatin and cardiovascular events in patients undergoing hemodialysis. N Engl J Med 360: 1395-1407.
- Shoji T, Abe T, Matsuo H, Egusa G, Yamasaki Y, et al. (2012) Chronic kidney disease, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerosis. J Atheroscler Thromb 19: 299-315.
- KDIGO Lipid Word (2013) KDGO clinical practice guideline for lipid management in chronic kidney disease. Kidney In Suppl 3: 259 -305.