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Abstract

Many of the antibiotics employed in animal production also serve as essential medicines for use in humans. However, only a handful of studies address antibiotic use in animal husbandry in Ghana. The aim of this study was to investigate the use of essential antibiotics in poultry production in Ghana and to assess factors influencing farmers' choice of antibiotics for use on their farms. A cross-sectional survey using questionnaires and semi-structured interviews was conducted among 400 poultry farms in the Ashanti, Brong-Ahafo and Greater Accra regions of Ghana. Data was analysed using IBM SPSS and Microsoft Excel. Multivariate analyses were used to evaluate correlations between farm variables and the dependency of antibiotic use on internal and external farm characteristics. Farmers reported the use of 35 different antimicrobial agents for management of conditions such as Newcastle, fowl pox, coccidiosis, and coryza. From these agents, 20 essential antibiotics belonging to 10 antibiotic classes were extracted. Frequently employed antibiotics were tetracyclines (24.17%), aminoglycosides (17.87%), penicillins (16.51%) and fluoroquinolones (10.55%). Only 63% of the farms completed recommended antibiotic course durations, 58% reported following recommended withdrawal periods and 88% sought veterinary advice before administration of antibiotics. Farmers had easy access to antibiotics and antibiotic-related information from veterinary offices, vet-chemical shops and mobile salesmen. Correlation analysis showed farm activities such as frequency of change of bedding material, disinfectant use and seeking expert advice correlated significantly with size and age. The use of antibiotic-containing agents was observed to be dependent on internal factors such as size, presence of other livestock on the farm and infections. External factors such as easy access to antibiotics also influenced farmers' use of antibiotics. These findings call for stricter regulations on access to and use of antibiotics on poultry farms in Ghana.
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Introduction

The agricultural sector in Ghana employs close to 55% of the total workforce of the country's population and this accounts for about 40% of the country's gross domestic product (GDP), with the livestock industry (cattle, goats, grasscutters, sheep, pigs and poultry) forming about 6% of the sector [1,2]. The livestock industry in Ghana, like most developing countries, is not as well-established with structured management systems, mechanised technology and high level of production as those of developed countries [3].

The poultry industry in Ghana consists of both local and foreign birds with almost each household keeping a few birds for protein supplementation (meat and egg) and for sale [1,4-6]. Commercial poultry farms have large numbers of mostly foreign breeds of gallus under the intensive system. The disadvantage associated with this system is the ease with which diseases spread among birds when there is an outbreak of an infectious disease, which could lead to loss of both birds and capital. In order to prevent such loses and also to enhance the growth of birds, farmers resort to the use of various antibiotics [7].

Many of these antibiotics employed in animal production also serve as essential medicines for use in humans in many countries [7,8]. With the global increase in antibiotic resistance, there is the need for all countries to preserve the effectiveness of essential antibiotics, especially those that are of critical importance [9-11]. It has been reported that the misuse of antibiotics in food-animal production is one of the most important factors contributing to the global surge and spread in antibiotic resistance [12].

Several studies have reported high level of antibiotic resistant bacteria isolated from patients visiting healthcare facilities in Ghana [13-17] and even among the healthy populace [18]. These reports show an increase in antibiotic resistance in both commensals and pathogenic bacteria and this raises a concern to public health system.

Studies on antibiotic use in animal husbandry including the poultry sector and their impact on antibiotic resistance in Ghana are very few [4]. There have however been previous reports of the misuse of essential antibiotics such as tetracyclines, tylosin, chloramphenicol and neomycin [7,19] even though the use of these agents as growth promoters in food-animals had been banned in most parts of Europe [20]. Veterinarians are the authorized professionals responsible for diagnosis, prescriptions and administration of antibiotics to farm animals in many developed countries [21]. In contrast, antimicrobial use by livestock producers in most developing countries are not as well monitored or controlled [21].

Essential considerations such as the economy, efficiency and
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regulations [22] together with factors such as farmer characteristics 
and the biophysical properties of the farm [23] combine to influence 
decisions of farm management. Nonetheless, most of the reports on 
antibiotic use in food-animal production have been limited to the types 
of antibiotics employed, resistance of organisms isolated from these 
farms [24-28] and quantities of antibiotics used [25,26].

In Ghana, it has been reported that over 50% of poultry farmers 
rely on personal experience in the administration of antimicrobials to 
their animals [19]. Aside farmers’ personal experience other factors 
likely to influence their choice of antibiotics have not been studied. 
These factors, if known, could be a guide on how to address and 
minimize the misuse of essential antibiotics in food animal production. 
This study, therefore, determines factors and practices that influence 
farmers’ choice and use of antibiotics on selected poultry farms in three 
regions of Ghana, namely, the Ashanti, Brong Ahafo and Greater Accra 
regions.

Study areas

The study areas were the Ashanti, Brong Ahafo and Greater Accra 
regions of Ghana. These regions cover a total of 67,190 km² representing 
30% of Ghana’s total land surface area. The population of the three 
regions is approximately 11.1 million, accounting for about 45% of the 
total population of Ghana [29]. The three regions contribute between 
60% to 70% of the total poultry production in Ghana [1,30] (Figure 1).

Materials and Methods

Survey

A pilot study was carried out by administering questionnaires 
to 25 poultry farms in and around Kumasi, the capital town of the 
Ashanti region, Ghana, after which the validated questionnaires were 
administrated to 400 poultry farms in the selected regions (Selection 
was done using snowball sampling and information obtained from the 
Veterinary division of Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Ghana) 
between June 2012 and July 2013. Structured face-to-face interviews 
with farm owners, managers and workers were carried out on the 
premises of selected farms. Additional information from farm record 
books and empty containers of medications were also obtained. Covert 
observation of farm activities (use of disinfectants and collection and 
sale of poultry products) was also employed.

Categorization of farms

Farms were divided into 3 categories namely; small (farms with less 
than 5,000 birds), medium (farms with 5,000-10,000 birds) and large 
(farms with more than 10,000 birds) as described and recommended by 
[1,68].

Data and statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis of the various farm demographics was carried 
out. Correlations between selected variables such as the various farm 
demographics, disease history and antimicrobial practices were done 
using Spearman’s rho ranking. The Student’s T-test was employed 
in determining the differences in the use of the different classes of 
antimicrobial agents on the farms. Bivariate analyses were used to 
evaluate the dependency of twenty-one essential antibiotic-containing 
antimicrobial agents (individually) as dependent variables and intrinsic 
and extrinsic farm characteristics as independent variables.

Results

Demographic information on surveyed farms

Majority of the farms surveyed fell into the medium category, had 
layer birds and were established within the past 10 years. Wood shavings 
or saw dust was the most common bedding material (litter) used, with 
35% of the farms changing their litter quarterly. Over 80% of the farms 
relied on underground water such as boreholes and wells (Table 1).

Diseases treated on the farms

Farmers diagnosed diseases affecting the birds based on their own 
experiences or through the assistance of a veterinarian. Eighty-two 
percent of farmers treated viral infections such as gumboro, New Castle 
and fowlpox. Bacterial infections such as chronic respiratory disease 
(CRD) and coryza were treated by 53% of the farms whereas coccidiosis 
and other parasitic infections were treated by 41% of the farmers.

Antimicrobial agents used in selected farms

Over 30 different antimicrobial agents were employed on the 
selected poultry farms. These agents (coded AMA) included brands 
such as aliseryl, alizadeth, amprolium, antibact, atcaryl, avatec, britacox, 
clopivet, clotadona, cocculifulet, cozuril, decazen, doxycycline, enro-
max, erodex, febretox, flordox, godox-n, hiprolarena, narcox, 
ometov, neo-vit-oxetey, neocol, neomycin and norflox. Others were 
oxytetracycline, pen-strep, pen-provit, streptomycin, taven, TCN, 
trisol-otc, tylo-dox and tylovital (Figure 2).

Purpose of antimicrobial use in the selected farms

In all the selected farms in the three regions, different antimicrobial 
agents were employed mainly for treatment of infections and 
prophylactic purposes (Table 2). Vaccines for gumboro, New castle 
and fowlpox were also administered to prevent infections among 
birds. Coccidostats were employed in the management of coccidiosis. 
Agents used as growth promoters were mainly amino acid and vitamin 
preparations.

Essential antibiotics used by farmers

In order to determine the various types of essential antibiotics used on 
poultry farms, the different brands of medications used on the farms were 
expanded to show their active ingredients (Table 3). Different brands with 
identical active ingredients were put in the same (Ama) group.

The various antibiotics used on the selected farms were categorised 
into the antibiotic classes described by the WHO and the Ghana National 
Drug Programme [9,31]. Antibiotic classes such as tetracyclines 
(24.18%), aminoglycosides (18.88%) fluoroquinolones (13.79%) and 
penicillins (12.86%) were the most frequent classes of antibiotics used 
in poultry farms in the selected areas for treatment of infections (Figure 
3). The selected farms used significantly more tetracycline-containing 
agents than fluoroquinolone and aminoglycoside-containing (p<0.05) 
ones and more aminoglycoside-containing agents than fluoroquinolone 
and penicillin-containing (p<0.05) ones for treatment of poultry 
infections.

For prophylaxis, penicillins (26.218%), tetracyclines (24.13%) and 
aminoglycosides (20.51%) were the most frequently used classes of 
antibiotics (Figure 4). There was no significant difference in the use of 
aminoglycosides, tetracyclines and penicillins as prophylactic agents in 
the selected farms (p>0.05).
Figure 1: A map of Ghana showing the three selected regions where the study was carried out. A: administrative map of Ghana; B: Brong Ahafo region; C: Ashanti region; D: Greater Accra region. (Source www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/cia-maps-pub/ghana/image.jpg).

Table 1: Demographics of selected poultry farms in the three regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Distribution of farms</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Types of birds</th>
<th>Age of farm (years)</th>
<th>Type of litter</th>
<th>Other livestock</th>
<th>Frequency of litter change</th>
<th>Source of water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>Farm size</td>
<td>Greater Accra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NT: Total in the three regions; N: Number of selected farms

Table 1: Demographics of selected poultry farms in the three regions.
Antibiotics used for both treatment and prophylaxis in the selected farms

Essential antibiotics used by the selected farms in the three regions for treatment and prophylaxis were determined to ascertain the extent of use of such agents. A total of 10 antibiotic classes comprising 20 essential antibiotics (Table 4) were recorded. Among the three regions, tetracyclines (24.17%), aminoglycosides (17.87%), penicillins (16.51%) and essential antibiotics (Table 4) were recorded. Among the three regions, tetracyclines (24.17%), aminoglycosides (17.87%), penicillins (16.51%) and fluoroquinolones (10.55%) were the most widely used antibiotics.

Practices related to the use of antibiotics among poultry farms

Of the 400 selected farms, 34% reported following recommended withdrawal periods, 68% kept treatment records and 50% wrote down treatment plans. Eighty-eight percent sought veterinary or other expert advice before administration of antibiotics. Non-veterinarians including farm managers (48%), farm owners (21%), designated persons (17%) or any of the farm workers (14%) administered antibiotics on the selected farms. Veterinary officer administered antibiotics in 2% of the farms and 63% of the farms completed recommended antibiotic course durations.

Access to antibiotics and information related to antibiotics

Most of the farmers did not have difficulty accessing antibiotics of choice and information related to antibiotics of choice (Table 5).

Relationship between farm characteristics

The size of a farm was significantly (p=0.01) related to the age of the farm. Both larger and older farms change their litter more frequently than smaller and younger farms (p=0.04). Farms with only poultry. Farms with wood shavings as bedding material significantly recorded more coccidiosis (p=0.02) and chronic respiratory disease (CRD) (p=0.01) than smaller and younger farms (p=0.01). Large farms significantly recorded incidents of Newcastle disease (NCD) (p=0.04), coccidiosis than smaller and younger farms (p=0.01).

Poultry farms rearing other different livestock were less likely to seek veterinarian advice (p=0.04) and use disinfectants (p=0.02) than farms with only poultry. Farms with wood shavings as bedding material significantly recorded more coccidiosis (p=0.02) and chronic respiratory disease (CRD) (p=0.01) than farms that used battery cage systems. Farms that used disinfectants also recorded lesser incidents of NCD on their farms (p=0.03) than farms that did not (Figure 5).

Farm characteristics that influence the use of essential antibiotics

Farm characteristics were categorised into internal (those

### Table 2: Essential antibiotics used by the selected farms in the three regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Active ingredient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ama-1</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-2a</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-2b</td>
<td>Tylosin, oxytetracycline, neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-3</td>
<td>Tetracycline, chloramphenicol, neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-4</td>
<td>Erythromycin, neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-4b</td>
<td>Neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-6</td>
<td>Sulphadoxime, pyrimethamine, tetracycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-7</td>
<td>Gentamicin, sulphamethoxazole, trimethoprim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-1</td>
<td>Erythromycin, neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-1a</td>
<td>Neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-11a</td>
<td>Tylosin, oxytetracycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-11b</td>
<td>Oxytetracycline, neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-12</td>
<td>Norfloxacin, doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-13</td>
<td>Florenicol, doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-14</td>
<td>Doxycycline, neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-15</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-16</td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-17</td>
<td>Enrofloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-18</td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-19</td>
<td>Norfloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-20</td>
<td>Oxytetracycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-21</td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ama-22</td>
<td>Sulphadiazine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Antibiotic-containing agents used on selected poultry farms.

### Table 3: Antibiotic-containing agents used on selected poultry farms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Antimicrobial agents</th>
<th>Active ingredient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gumboro</td>
<td>Ama-1, Ama-2, Ama-3, Ama-4, Ama-5, Ama-10, Ama-11, Ama-12</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle Disease (NCD)</td>
<td>Ama-2, Ama-3, Ama-4, Ama-5, Ama-10, Ama-11, Ama-14, Ama-17, and Ama-20.</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)</td>
<td>Ama-1, Ama-2, Ama-3, Ama-4, Ama-5, Ama-10, Ama-11, Ama-12, Ama-13, Ama-14, Ama-17, Ama-18 and Ama-20.</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryza</td>
<td>Ama-4, Ama-5, Ama-8, Ama-10 and Ama-16.</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccidiosis</td>
<td>Ama-2, Ama-4, Ama-10, Ama-13, Ama-14, Ama-20, Ama-21 and Ama-22.</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worms</td>
<td>Ama: Antimicrobial agent used by farmers; 1antimicrobial agent used for treatment of infections, 2antimicrobial agent used as prophylactic agent</td>
<td>Erythromycin, oxytetracycline, streptomycin, neomycin, colistin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Antimicrobial agents used on the selected farms for treatment of infections in the three regions. Ama=Antimicrobial agent.
Misuse of antibiotic is considered the most important factor selecting for emergence of antibiotic resistance [32]. The misuse of antibiotics in animal husbandry has also been associated with the spread of antibiotic resistance [12]. Despite the increasing resistance of pathogenic bacterial strains in Ghana [33], there are only a few reports on antibiotics used in animal production, how they are used and possible factors influencing their selection, hence the need for this study.

A total of 400 poultry farms were selected from three regions for the study. Most of the selected farms were medium and small sized farms (Table 1). The high prevalence of small and medium farms could be due to consumption and demand pattern in the regions which necessitates keeping small and medium size poultry farms compared to larger ones. Most of the farms (96%) also kept layer birds which could be due to the fact that farmers gained more from layer birds, which produce both eggs and meat, than from broiler birds which provide only meat [34].

In about 96% of the selected farms, sawdust was the bedding material used. This could be because sawdust is economically cheaper than other beddings or the battery cage method. However, it has been reported that certain poultry disease conditions such as coccidiosis and chronic respiratory disease (CRD) could be transmitted through contact with poultry beddings such as sawdust [35]. This situation may also be compounded by the low rate at which bedding are changed since only about 23% of the selected farms changed their bedding material monthly or more frequently (Table 1).

Discussion

Diseases treated on the selected poultry farms included poultry infections of viral, bacterial, protozoal and other parasitic sources which are known to be common infections among poultry [19,35,36]. Such infections could be a major cause of financial loss [37,38] and as such, farmers try as much as possible to prevent and also treat them. Some non-pharmacological means of preventing diseases among poultry are timely vaccination, good nutrition, reducing overcrowding and increasing farm hygiene practices [39].

Antimicrobials used in animal production are employed for both therapeutic and non-therapeutic purposes [7,40,41]. Farmers in the selected regions employed several antimicrobial agents for treatment of infections and for prophylaxis (Table 2). This observation is similar to that previously reported [7,19] in which antimicrobial agents employed on poultry farms in some Ghanaian communities were mainly for treatment and prevention of infections and as growth promoters. This study has also revealed that more than half of antibiotic-containing agents employed on poultry farms contained between two and five different antibiotics (Table 3). The inappropriate use of these agents could accelerate the development of microbial resistance to the different groups of antibiotics [42,43]. The use of same antibiotics for treatment and prevention of poultry infections and as growth promoters has been reported in other African countries [38,44,45].

In approximately 98% of the selected farms, non-veterinary persons administered antibiotics to poultry birds. The administration of antibiotics by such individuals could lead to under-dosing or over-dosing of birds [46]. In several European countries such as The Netherlands, Denmark, Norway and Sweden, the administration of antibiotics to farm animals is strictly under the supervision of a veterinarian [42]. Ghana does not have enough veterinarians to enforce such regulations [47]. In the absence of these experts, persons such as the farm owners and managers should be adequately trained to perform such responsibilities.

The administration of the correct dose of an antibiotic is as important as the completion of the antibiotic course [46]. Incompletion of antibiotic treatment courses exposes the microorganisms to sub-inhibitory concentrations of the antibiotic [43,48] which leads to the development of resistance. The fact that only 63% of the selected farms completed the required antibiotic courses could be due to the absence of veterinarians on farms to supervise antibiotic administration or lack of knowledge on the farmers' part on the outcome of such practices [49].

In order to reduce traces of antibiotic residues to humans, livestock farmers are required to observe withdrawal period after administration of antibiotics during which products from their farms are not to be sold [40,50]. This study showed that over 60% of the farmers failed to adhere to the recommended withdrawal period. Failure of farmers in observing withdrawal period has been attributed to the financial loss that may arise with observance of the withdrawal periods [7,19] since products such as eggs obtained within the period have to be discarded.

Non-observance of withdrawal period has however, been linked to detection of unacceptably high levels of aminoglycosides, beta-lactams, chloramphenicol, macrolides, sulphonamides, tetracyclines.
Table 4: Antibiotics used for both treatment and prophylaxis in the selected farms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic class</th>
<th>Essential antibiotic</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetracyclines</td>
<td>Tetracycline, oxytetracycline and doxycycline</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycosides</td>
<td>Neomycin, streptomycin and gentamicin</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>17.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillins</td>
<td>Benzyl penicillin and Procaine penicillin</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>16.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolones</td>
<td>Norfloxacin, enrofloxacin</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenicols</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol and Florfenicol</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolide</td>
<td>Erythromycin and tylosin</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>7.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphonamides</td>
<td>Sulphamethoxazole, Sulfadimidine and Sulphadiazine</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymyxin</td>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyremethamine</td>
<td>Pyremethamine</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Accessibility to antibiotics and antibiotic related information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Ashanti</th>
<th>Brong Ahafo</th>
<th>Greater Accra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to antibiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very easy</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very difficult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very easy</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very difficult</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of antibiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary office</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vet-chemical shop</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile sales men</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary office</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vet-chemical shop</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile sales men</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug leaflets</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number of selected farms

Figure 5: Spearman’s correlation/relationship between farm characteristics. ▲ = Significant positive relationship. ○ = Significant negative relationship; [ ] = no significant relationship.

and fluoroquinolone, residues in poultry eggs, milk and meat products both in Ghana [27] and other parts of the world [51-53]. The refusal of farmers to follow recommended withdrawal period would not only lead to the continual detection of these residues in poultry products, but also contribute to the increasing resistant strains of pathogenic bacterial agents aside causing irritation and hypersensitivity reactions in humans [7,40,54].

Poultry farmers in the three regions generally did not have difficulty obtaining information on antibiotics or access to antibiotics. Such information and services could easily be obtained from the veterinary office, vet-chemical shops, mobile salesmen and pharmacies (Table 5). The disadvantage of the ease of access to antibiotics is the fact that unauthorized persons could easily obtain and misuse antibiotics, which could contribute to the surge in antibiotic resistance.

The correlations between poultry farm variables revealed that in all the three regions, large farms had been in existence longer than smaller farms. It was also observed that both large farms and older farms changed their bedding material more frequently, were more likely to record treatment plans, keep treatment record, use disinfectants and seek veterinarian or expert advice than both smaller and younger farms. This could be due to the fact that larger farms could readily afford for instance, to pay for services of veterinarians since smaller farms perceive veterinary services as expensive [47].
It was also observed that farmers who sought veterinary advice were more likely to use higher frequencies of antibiotic-containing agents for treatment and prevention of infections. This could be due to the fact that, veterinarians in Ghana are not as much a part of farm management systems as their colleagues in developed countries [42]. As such, veterinarians in Ghana diagnose and treat infections mostly based on the information provided by farmers which could result in over and under-prescribing and possible misuse of antibiotics by farmers [49]. An improvement of delivery of veterinary services to farmers, including strict monitoring of use of antibiotics could help improve the situation.

The use of antibiotics on poultry farms was also found to be more dependent on the presence of other farm animals on the poultry farm. This could be as a result of the exposure of the birds to different kinds of infections, necessitating the need for higher amounts of antibiotics, or frequent administration of antibiotics [55]. There is also the tendency for farmers rearing more than one type of animal on the same premises to use antibiotics for prophylaxis of all animals [56] especially, when farmers are of the view that infections in one species could be transmitted from one animal to another [49].

The dependence of antibiotic-containing antimicrobial agents on bacterial infections (Table 6), as observed in this study, could be due to lapses in hygienic conditions on the farms [7,35] resulting in frequent occurrences of such infections. The dependence of farmers on antibiotics for the treatment of parasitic infections could be because most farmers rely on personal knowledge and experience during antibiotic administration instead of seeking veterinarian advice [47]. It could also be due to failure of farmers to administer vaccines at the appropriate time [57].

Most of the factors observed to influence farmers’ choice of antibiotics (Table 6) are factors within farmers’ control. For instance occurrence of infections could be reduced by timely vaccination and improvement in farm hygienic conditions [57]. It was also observed that veterinarians are influential in the use of antibiotics on farms and improvement in delivery of veterinary services as previously suggested [47] could significantly decrease the use and possible misuse of antibiotics on these farms. Also, monitoring farmers’ access to antibiotics by instituting surveillance systems may lead to a decline in the use of antibiotic-containing agents on poultry farms.

There is the need for policies and stricter regulations that would limit illegal access to antibiotics. Policy makers must also introduce programmes that would monitor the importation, sale, distribution, use and consumption of antibiotics in animal production in Ghana.

Conclusion

Poultry farmers in the three regions employed several essential antibiotics on their farms for treatment of infections and prophylaxis purposes. Both internal and external factors influenced farmers’ choice and use of antibiotics on their farms and if these identified factors are not checked and monitored, can easily lead to increased antibiotic resistance among antibiotics used for the treatment of microbial infections in humans and animals. This calls for stricter regulation and monitoring of the use antibiotics in both humans and animal production.
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