Anterior Approach for Thoracolumbar Fracture Dislocations: Surgical Management in Poor Resource Region, Record of 7 years Follow-up
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Abstract

Introduction: Thoracolumbar fracture dislocation is a trauma of high energy which generally is treated with long-segment stabilization. Anterior spine exposure may be used alone or in combination with a posterior midline approach in a staged or sequential fashion. In this study, we report the 7-years’ experience of the anterior approach to the thoracolumbar spine fracture dislocations at a single institution.

Patients and methods: Over a 7-year period (2007–2014), 15 patients (9 males and 7 females) with a mean age of 41.1 years ranging between 25 and 61 years, were operated on using an anterior approach at our institution. All patients were submitted to standard anterior spine surgery, thoracotomy (in 9 patients), thoracophrenolambotomy (in 3 patients) and lumbotomy (in 2 patients), one patient required left thoracotomy alone. In all cases, we used heavy duty plate fixation and autologous bone (rib or vertebral bone) inside the cylinder. The criteria for surgical intervention were: partial or progressive neurologic deficit, kyphotic angulation ≥25º at one segment, progressive kyphosis, lesion with a loss of 50% of vertebral height with angulation and a residual canal diameter 50% of normal. All patients had a failure of the anterior and middle columns as viewed on a CT scan or MRI if available.

Results: The average duration of follow-up was 24 months. One patient died during the follow-up period. Concerning etiology, there were two types of vertebral body lesions, which were traumatic and infectious. There were also 12 patients with traumatic lesions. The mean age was 41.1 years (range 25-61). There were 10 males and 5 female patients. Six patients had a burst fracture (type A3), with compression failure of the anterior and middle columns of the spine (level T12 in 3, level T11 in 1, level L1 in 2.). All patients with traumatic lesions underwent single-stage anterior fixation by heavy duty conventional plate and screw and the gap filled with autograft (rib and morselized vertebral bone). Three patients were operated because of the thoracic and lumbar tuberculosis spondylitis and Hydatid cyst (one patient T9, one at T10, one T5).

Discussion: Initial reports of the anterior thoracotomic approach to the thoracic spine were related to Pott’s disease, spine surgery innovations and aging with a more active population resulting in a progressive increase in spine instrumentation. The main indication for anterior decompression is an incomplete neurological injury with radiographically demonstrated neural compression by bone or disk fragments. The anterior surgical treatment allows direct decompression of the neural elements and correction of the deformity.

Conclusion: The anterior approaches provide excellent exposure of the relevant bony anatomy and can be used to secure anterior column support with bony fusion. Anterior spinal fusion surgery is a safe procedure and can be used with confidence when the nature of a patient’s spinal disorder dictates its use.
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Introduction

Thoracolumbar fracture dislocation is a trauma of high energy which is generally treated with long-segment stabilization. Surgical approach to the spine might be simply classified into anterior, posterior or the combined approach [1]. Open surgical approaches for the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures either by an anterior or posterior techniques require extensive exposure and often lead to significant postoperative pain and morbidity. Each has its role and the choice of the best approach depends on several factors, such as the level of the disease in the spine, the extent of the lesion and the need of spinal reconstruction or stabilization [2,3]. Anterior spine exposure may be used alone or in combination with a posterior midline approach in a staged or sequential fashion. The anterior approach presents several advantages, among which are the direct access to the lesion, less surgical bleeding and low risk of infection and the use of shorter segment fixation devices. Often neurosurgeons and orthopedic surgeons require the assistance of thoracic surgeons to provide an adequate access during the anterior approach to the spine [4]. In this study, we report the 7-years’ experience of the anterior approach to the thoracolumbar spine fracture dislocations at a single institution [5,6].

Patients and Methods

Over a 7-year period (2007–2014), 15 patients (9 males and 7 females) with a mean age of 41.1 years, ranging between 25 and 61 years, were operated on using an anterior approach at our institution. The indication for surgery was fracture dislocations of the thoracolumbar spine (Table 1). A careful assessment of the pulmonary and cardiac function was performed, starting with a clinical evaluation and proceeding to pulmonary function and blood gas analysis.
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Patients characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Age(years)/Sex</th>
<th>Clinical finding</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Associated injury</th>
<th>Other Causes</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34/F</td>
<td>Paraparesis/weak sphincters</td>
<td>Wedge # D9</td>
<td>Craniat trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56/M</td>
<td>Paraplegia /D9 sensory level</td>
<td>Burst # D7-7</td>
<td>Chest trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>Left lower limb monoparesis</td>
<td>Wedge # D11-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54/M</td>
<td>Paraplegia / D10 sensory level</td>
<td>Wedge D8-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>43/ M</td>
<td>Paraparesis</td>
<td>Wedge L2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left lumbotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34/F</td>
<td>Paraplegia / L1 sensory level</td>
<td>Burst D12</td>
<td>Chest trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>61/M</td>
<td>Paraparesis / weak respiratory muscles</td>
<td>Burst # D9-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>39/F</td>
<td>Paraplegia/D12 sensory level</td>
<td>Burst 3 D10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydatid cyst</td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>Paraplegia / L2 sensory level</td>
<td>Wedge L1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left lumbotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>29/M</td>
<td>Paraplegia / L2 sensory level</td>
<td>Wedge L1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Left thoracoabdominal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30/F</td>
<td>Paraparesis</td>
<td>Wedge L2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left thoracoabdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>38/M</td>
<td>Paraplegia / D12 sensory level</td>
<td>Wedge D10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>53/M</td>
<td>Right lower limb monoparesis</td>
<td>Wedge L1</td>
<td>Chest trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Left thoracoabdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>49/M</td>
<td>Paraplegia/ D10 sensory level</td>
<td>Burst # D8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Right thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>25/F</td>
<td>Paraplegia / D9 sensory level</td>
<td>Burst # D5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydatid cyst</td>
<td>Left thoracic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Patients characteristics.

Risk stratification was carried out on all patients prior to operation for both cardiac and pulmonary complications. All patients were submitted to standard anterior spine surgery: thoracotomy (in 9 patients), thoracophrenolumbotomy (in 3 patients) and lumbotomy (in 2 patients), one patient that required thoracotomy was left alone. In all cases, we used heavy duty plate fixation and autologous bone (rib or vertebral bone) inside the cylinder.

The criteria for surgical intervention were: partial or progressive neurologic deficit, kyphotic angulation ≥25° at one segment, progressive kyphosis, lesion with a loss of 50% of vertebral height with angulation and a residual canal diameter 50% of normal. All patients had a failure of the anterior and middle columns as viewed on CT scan or MRI if available. One of the criteria included was severe burst fractures.

Intraoperative fluoroscopy was usually required to confirm the proper level of access placing a spinal needle into the target disc space. The most common procedure was thoracotomy and this was employed in 9 patients (60%) using single lung ventilation. The preferred approach was from the right side while for patients with lumbar spine fracture, the left lateral muscle lumbotomy incision was decided or according to the spine. An incision was made approximately one or two intercostal spaces above the target vertebral body or disk level. Every attempt was made to avoid segmental artery ligature, especially when approaching the spine from the left side. In some cases, we did a partial rib resection and the bone was used as an autograft. One patient (6.6%) required a left thoracic spine exposure. Special care was taken to preserve at least a 1 cm diaphragmatic margin along the chest wall to allow reattachment during closure. Adequate postoperative pain control with intercostal blocks and non-steroidal drugs, incentive spirometry and early mobilization if not contraindicated was instituted to minimize the risk of pulmonary complications.

Results

The average duration of follow-up was 24 months. One patient died during the follow-up period. Concerning etiology, there were two types of vertebral body lesions, these were the traumatic and infectious. There were 12 patients with traumatic lesions. Vertebral lesions were classified by the Magerl et al. [7] scheme modelled on the AO classification of limb fractures. The mean age was 41.1 years (range 25-61). There were 10 males and 5 female patients. Six patients had a burst fracture (type A3), with compression failure of the anterior and middle columns of the spine (level T12 in 3, level T11 in 1, level L1 in 2.). None of them had a complete lesion. Two patient presented unstable fracture dislocation (type C1) of the thoracolumbar spine and had a complete deficit. All patients with traumatic lesions underwent single-stage anterior fixation by heavy duty conventional plate and screw and the gap filled with autograft (rib and morselized vertebral bone). The cases of unstable fracture dislocation also had been treated by open reduction, short segment fixation, and fusion.

Three patients were operated because of the thoracic, lumbar tuberculous spondylitis and Hydatid cyst (one patient T9, one at T10, one T5). Fusion with autologous bone (rib) and metallic osteosynthesis was performed (Figure 1). Prolonged chemotherapy was administered postoperatively.

![Figure 1: Fusion with autologous bone (rib) and metallic osteosynthesis was performed.](image1)

![Figure 2: Radiographic study after surgery showed satisfactory decompression and correction of deformities.](image2)
Appropriate antibiotic therapy

Superficial wound

Follow-up

Screw loosening

Haematoma

Urinary tract infection

DVT and Pulmonary Embolism

Table 2: Complications.

On the 12th day after the surgery, the patient number 5, who developed severe paraparesis, experienced dyspnea and chest pain after the standing and walking exercise, and lethal pulmonary thromboembolism was diagnosed. Computed tomography (CT) and radiographic study after surgery showed satisfactory decompression and correction of deformities (Figure 2). The mean preoperative canal compromise was 50% (range, 20-90) and the percent collapse of the anterior cortex was 60% (range, 10-70). After surgery, canal compromise was 8%, and radiographic height had been restored to 80% of the adjacent levels. When present, preoperative kyphosis improved to a mean of 110 (range, 8-20). At the time of follow-up, no loss of reduction or fixation was noted in any patient and a satisfactory functional result was found in all patients. CT examinations with sagittal and coronal reconstructions were effective for evaluation of fusion. The average operative time was 360 minutes (range, 210-360) and the average length of hospitalization after surgery was 14 days. The mean estimated blood loss was 1700 cc (range, 300-3500). Three major or permanent neurologic deficits were encountered. There were no cases of neurologic worsening vascular complications, CSF leakage, pulmonary, diaphragmatic rupture and no plate or screw fractures. One case of screw loosening was related above. Two patients reported minimal pain or no pain at final follow-up observation. None reported severe pain or taking narcotic medications. After surgery (at T5), one patient developed a right-sided Horner’s syndrome that was resolved five months later. There were two cases of hemotherax related to the surgical approach and they were treated with irrigation of the pleural cavity and new chest tube. One patient had complained passing inguinal hematoma attributed to psoas muscle traction. Other complications like urinary infection, superficial infection, and deep venous thrombosis and thromboembolism were related to the primary disease and patient clinical condition (Table 2).

Most of our patients (85%) described an important improvement in the quality of their life and performance status according to Karnofsky scoring system.

Discussion

Initial reports of the anterior thoracotomic approach to the thoracic spine were related to Potter’s disease, spine surgery innovations and aging with a more active population resulted in a progressive increase in spine instrumentation. The classic posterior surgical approach to the spine is associated with longer operative time, significant blood loss and high incidence of postoperative pain [8]. The anterior approach is associated with a reduction of the operative time and blood loss, less postoperative pain and early ambulation. Furthermore, the anterior exposure minimizes damage to the posterior ligamentous structures of the spinal cord and segmental roots. Kaneda et al. developed an anterior device that combined two vertebral staples and two cross-linked longitudinal rods [9,10].

Zdeblick reported the use of a Z-plate for stabilization following anterior column reconstruction [11]. We had used a similar anterior plating system. Advantages of the Z-plate system include the plate design, which allows for distraction, and compression and conforms to the normal contour of the thoracolumbar spine. Anterior approaches to the spine is a good option for the correction of metastatic, congenital, degenerative, traumatic, and other conditions. The anterior column can be reconstructed with autograft, allograft, or methylmethacrylate, all of which can be augmented with protheses such as Harms cages [12,13].

The main indication for anterior decompression is an incomplete neurological injury with radiographically demonstrated neural compression by bone or disk fragments. Since the compressive tissues following a thoracolumbar burst fracture are invariably located in the anterior spinal canal, better results can be obtained by the direct removal of the retropulsed bone and soft tissue fragments from the spinal canal to relieve the pressure from the spinal cord, the cauda equina, and anterior spinal reconstruction and fusion [14-16].

The anterior surgical treatment allows direct decompression of the neural elements and correction of the deformity. Newer anterior instrumentation devices, combined with a structural graft, allow a stable construct that may obviate a posterior procedure. An anterior procedure generally requires a fusion of only two levels compared to posterior fusion, which generally requires more [17-19]. Through posterior distraction, limited vertebral height restoration, kyphotic reduction and indirect reduction of spinal canal encroachment was possible [20-22]. Using posterior distraction, canal encroachment is improved indirectly by ligamentotaxis. Alternatively, a transpedicular or costotransversectomy decompression technique can be used [23]. Canal decompression is limited, though, and often incomplete. In addition, short segment fixation has been associated with a high rate of hardware failure with a recurrence of deformity [24,25]. Short segment instrumentation with pedicle screws has been associated with a higher rate of construct failure ranging from 9 to 54%. Also, the increase in kyphosis after posterior surgery ranged from three to 12 degrees [21,22,26,27]. That is particularly true in cases of the tumor.

Fournery et al. reported their experience with pedicle screw fixation in the management of 100 consecutive cases of spinal column tumors [28]. They concluded that posterior stabilization alone is usually inadequate for managing most spinal tumors because the anterior column is frequently involved with the disease. Posterior elements disruption, including laminar fracture, spinous process split, or nondisplaced facet fracture do not preclude anterolateral plate treatment [21]. One of our patients with burst fracture who also had posterior instrumentation performed showed posterior elements fractured, although the anterior surgery had seemed to reach a good result. Despite one of our patients having a fracture dislocation, in what would be a relative contraindication for Zplate, we had obtained a good result in terms of reduction and stability. We had also succeeded on to treat spine infectious disease with autologous bone and instrumentation. In two of the infection cases, the anterior surgery, also allowed the proper psoas abscess drainage. Benli et al. reported the surgical results of 63 patients with Potter’s disease who underwent anterior radical debridement with anterior fusion and anterior instrumentation (23 patients with Z-plate). They concluded that this procedure is a safe and effective method in the treatment of tuberculosis spondylitis [29].

Option for autografting include the resected rib, vascularized rib, iliac crest, free fibula, and vascularized fibula. Some authors advocate the use of allograft [30]. Each technique varies in its level of sophistication, technical demands, morbidity from the donor site, and mechanical stability. The iliac crest is preferred due to its relatively
broad surface area, strength in compressive loading, and a favorable ratio of cancellous to cortical bone [31]. Also, it worth mentioning that the iliac graft was not necessary in any of our cases. Autologous grafting, following through debridement, has been shown to be safe even in the presence of active pyogenic [32].

The reconstruction with the titanium mesh cage is usually supplemented with anterior instrumentation. Anterior bone grafts alone, are subject to a high rate of nunion (10-20%)[33], this is because they are unable to withstand the compressive load of an erect spine, and are unable to provide adequate rotational stability. Therefore, most authors feel that anterior decompression requires some form of internal fixation. Internal spinal fixation helps fusion to occur, correct deformities, and provide early biomechanical stabilization following a discectomy, corpectomy, and decompression, a depth gauge is used to measure the coronal diameter of the vertebral body. The starting point for the insertion of the first bolt is approximately 1 cm anterior to the base of the pedicle and 1 cm cephalad to the inferior endplate of the inferior vertebral body.

We have noticed some aspects that demand variation on this technique. The cases of discitis have a particular difficulty. After proper radical debridement, only part of the superior and inferior vertebra is left. Due to the exiguous quantity of healthy bone, it demands the ability to place the screws or extend the corpectomy. It is not uncommon to have enough room for only one screw. Another observation is that when we consider a second and posterior approach we must have in mind the suitability of the classical technique [33]. The insert point of the anterior screw should allow the placement of the pedicle screw. Complications are often specific approach.

There were two cases of hemotherax in our series. In light of this rate of complication, we had introduced several measures in an attempt to avoid this problem. At the end of the surgical procedure, extensive irrigation is conducted prior to closing. We must keep in mind that neoplasms, infectious diseases are prone to hemorrhage, and we must pay more attention to hemostasis and to consider the use of double thoracic drain or continuous aspiration. Adequate exposure of the lumbar spine requires careful dissection to mobilize the psoas and retract it posteriorly, taking care not to injure the genitofemoral nerve. The traction over psoas can justify the occurrence of transient dysesthesia in one of our patients.

Conclusion

The anterior approach provides excellent exposure of the relevant bony anatomy and can be used to secure anterior column support with bony fusion. It is possible to direct visualization for anterior decompression and stabilization through a single-stage approach and lessen the need for second-stage posterior stabilization. Anterior instrumentation alone can usually be used if decompression is isolated to one or two levels, if the posterior column is not very damaged, and if there is no severe translation instability.

Anterior spinal fusion surgery is a safe procedure and can be used with confidence when the nature of a patient’s spinal disorder dictates its use.
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