Analytical Model for the Assessment of Efficiency of Stem Cell Transplantation with Suicidal Gene Construct for the Treatment of Leukemia
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Abstract

Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is now being the emergent methodology for leukemia treatment. Due to HLA mis-match, there is chance of transplantation related mortality. However, with the increase in HLA mis-match between donor cells and recipient, there is more chance of complete removal of leukemic cells in host (patient). To tackle this, recently stem cells transplantation with suicidal tk-gene construct is being suggested. Due to unavailability of suitable analytical methods this option has limited applications in clinical cases. Present work provides an analytical platform to test the efficacy of this therapeutic procedure.
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Introduction

Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is considered to be the emerging therapeutic procedure for leukemia treatment. And hence a lot of clinical trials have been done across the globe. Generally allogenic transplantation is being made and the success rate depends on HLA (Human Leukocytic Antigen) incompatibility between the donor and recipient. The degree of HLA incompatibility enhances the killing efficiency of leukemic cell(s); contrarily it decreases the quality of life of the concerned patient due to enhanced GVHD (Graft Versus Host Disease). Therefore stem cell transplantation is being regarded as the ‘double edge sword’ [1,2]. Hence HSCT requires a judicious choice of donor cell selection. Though there is availability of rich medical and clinical literature on HSCT; however, most of the literatures are based on empirical observations and physicians personal experiences. This is due to unavailability of proper analytical method for such therapeutic procedure.

Though several analytical models are available for leukemia pathogenesis and dynamics, imatinib treatment, myeloablative chemotherapy, immune potentiation effect for different types of leukemia (CML, Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia; AML, Acute Myeloblastic Leukemia); but no single model was available to test the efficacy of different combinations of therapy for any particular leukemia type for any particular individual patient [3-15]. Recent time different therapeutic strategies along with their combinations can be assessed for different types of leukemia with a single analytical model [16]. Through this model the efficacy of myeloablative and low dose chemotherapy along with supportive therapy like RBC and platelet transfusion, cytokine therapy, imatinib therapy, allogenic HSCT with different degree of HLA matching, effect of GVHD and immunosuppressive drug after HSCT can be tested.

In order to optimize the situation i.e., to enhance malignancy removal together with improved safety of allogeic transplantation, recently allogeic HSCT having HLA incompatibility with a tk (thymidine kinase) gene construct has been suggested. This therapeutic procedure has two advantages – one, complete removal of leukemic cells (Graft Versus Leukemia reaction, GVL) due to high HLA mismatch and the other, tk gene construct provide the advantage of controlling GVHD by selective killing of transplanted cells with the application of pro-drug Gangcyclovir [17,18]. Though it seems to be safer, however such therapeutic procedure is a risky one as with the delay in application of pro-drug gangcyclovir, there is a high chance of transplanted related mortality. A suitable analytical method is required that can predict the minimal residual disease (MRD) and the optimal timing for application of pro-drug.

Analytical Model

Model description

In the previous work [16], different therapies namely myeloablative chemotherapy (myl), cytokine (CYT), RBC (T_{RBC}) and platelet (T_{PLATELE}) transfusion (transfuse in subscript or tf), allogenic HSCT (S), immuno-suppressive drug (im) in case of HLA incompatibility are being operative through following equation.

\[ x(k) = Ax(k-1) + Bx(k-dk_1) + Bx(k-dk_2) + Bx(k-dk_3) + Bx(k-dk_4) + RBC_{transfuse}\times T_{RBC}(k) + PLATELET_{transfuse}\times T_{PLATELE}(k) \]

\[ x_1(k); x_2(k); \ldots; x_m(k) = [a_1, \ldots, a_2, \ldots, a_3, \ldots, a_m] \times [x_1(k-1); x_2(k-1); \ldots; x_m(k-1)] + [b_1, \ldots, b_2, \ldots, b_3, \ldots, b_m] \times [x_1(k-dk_1); x_2(k-dk_1); \ldots; x_m(k-dk_1)] + [b_1, \ldots, b_2, \ldots, b_3, \ldots, b_m] \times [x_1(k-dk_2); x_2(k-dk_2); \ldots; x_m(k-dk_2)] + [b_1, \ldots, b_2, \ldots, b_3, \ldots, b_m] \times [x_1(k-dk_3); x_2(k-dk_3); \ldots; x_m(k-dk_3)] + [b_1, \ldots, b_2, \ldots, b_3, \ldots, b_m] \times [x_1(k-dk_4); x_2(k-dk_4); \ldots; x_m(k-dk_4)]; \]
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Following matrix elements are changed due to malignancy related toxicity development [16].

\[ a_{ij} = -0.001 + CS_{ij} \]

Following matrix elements are changed due to chemoxia related killing of host cells and exogenous transplanted (T) cells (T = 1, after transplantation, otherwise T = 0) by P2r development.

\[ a_{ij} = -0.00001T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.000085T + CP_{ij}, \quad a_{ij} = -0.000025T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.000275T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.000725T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000011T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000082T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000025T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000011T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000001T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000011T \]

Similarly, following matrix elements are changed due to chemaxia related killing by B2r development.

\[ a_{ij} = -0.000003T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.0003375T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.00003737T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.000075T + 0.000015T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000015T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000015T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000015T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000015T \]

Likewise, following matrix elements are changed due to chemoxia related killing by B2s development.

\[ a_{ij} = -0.000003T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.0003375T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.00003737T, \quad a_{ij} = -0.000075T + 0.000015T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000015T, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times 0.000015T \]

Following matrix elements are changed due to GVHD related killing of donor cell by host lymphocytes.

\[ a_{ij} = -T \times G \times st\_mul \times effect_{p1r} \times kill_{st}, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times G \times pro\_mul \times effect_{p1r} \times kill_{st}, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times G \times pro\_mul \times effect_{p2r} \times kill_{st}, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times G \times pro\_mul \times effect_{p3r} \times kill_{st}, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times G \times pro\_mul \times effect_{p2s} \times kill_{st}, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times G \times pro\_mul \times effect_{p3s} \times kill_{st}, \quad a_{ij} = -T \times G \times pro\_mul \times effect_{p1s} \times kill_{st} \]

The degree of HLA mismatch is represented through G.
Further development

To implement the effect of pro-drug Gangcyclovir (img) the elements of matrix A are modified as follows:

$$a_{ij}(k) = \left(1 + m_{i} \cdot a_{i} \cdot S_{g} \cdot \text{STEP} \cdot \text{MYL} \cdot \text{XD}_{i}(k) \right) \cdot (-C_{\text{imgSENS}} \cdot \text{XD}_{i}(k)) \cdot (a_{i} \cdot k) \left(1 + m_{i} \cdot a_{i} \cdot S_{g} \cdot \text{STEP} \cdot \text{MYL} \cdot \text{XD}_{i}(k) \right) \cdot (C_{\text{imgSENS}} \cdot \text{XD}_{i}(k))$$

where $a_{ij}$ is the $i$th element of matrix $A$, $m_{i}$ is a parameter, $a_{i}$ is a parameter, $S_{g}$ is a parameter, STEP is a parameter, MYL is a parameter, XD$_{i}(k)$ is the $i$th element of vector XD$_{k}$, and $C_{\text{imgSENS}}$ is a parameter.

The other elements of the matrices remain unchanged.

Result

For initial parametric values we have followed [16]. Free growth condition is depicted in (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Simulation observations suggest that with the increase in malignant cell population there is gradual decrease in the normal cell types in all the lineages. Conventionally in clinical practice HSCT is carried out after myeloablative chemotherapy and followed by different supportive therapy (i.e., transfusion of RBC and/or platelet). Here simulation is also carried out with this scheme. Simulation is carried out considering a worst leukemic condition. The differentiation rate of sensitive cell types is same as of resistive cell type in all the lineages and one-third cells become successful to be matured from progenitor cells.

Previously it has been shown that the day of transplantation is very crucial for successful outcome of stem cell transplantation. As per previous report [16] here, HSCT has been also carried out on day 180. Here simulation has been carried out with 100% HLA mismatch (high GVHD). Under this condition it is observed that mature lymphocytes from the transplanted stem cells becomes operative (i.e., lymphocytes of donor origin are developed and starts killing of leukemic cells) after day -201 and leukemic stem cells are removed from system on day -217.

The application of doses of pro-drug in two different schemes are considered as 0.01 and 0.02 and drug sensitivities of different cell types are $im_{sg} = im_{sg}^{c} = im_{sg}^{m} = im_{sg}^{s}$. This combination is found to be beneficial in the study. When the sensitivities of other cell types are assumed to be zero. The application of pro-drug Gangcyclovir is applied on day 210 with a low dose; however, application of high dose is started on day 240 along the continuation of low dose (Figure 3). Our simulation results suggest that if the low dose application is absent or only low dose is
However, our model and simulation study also indicate that HSCT without any GVHD related detrimental effect on recipient. This therapeutic model is developed from the earlier three compartmental hematopoiesis model where methodology of incorporation of stochastic process at the parametric level has already been shown [19]. To keep the simulation study simple we have avoided this in the present simulation runs. However one can incorporate the necessary stochastic components to test the effect of stochastic behaviors of individual system parameters towards the cancer dynamics as the developed model is flexible in nature.

**Discussion**

Simulation runs showed that with allo-HSC transplantation with high HLA mismatch may be helpful in removal of malignancy but it requires a minimum kill factor (minimum killing efficiency of the developed lymphocytes from transplanted cells of donor origin). Though with the high HLA mis-match between donor and recipient there is possibility of high GVHD and GVHD related death of the recipient, but transplanted stem cells having the suicidal \((tk)\) gene construct provides a control measure to GVHD mortality. Our study indicates that this scheme provides the opportunity of successful outcome of HSCT without any GVHD related detrimental effect on recipient. However, our model and simulation study also indicate that optimization of drug schedule (drug dose and time of drug application) is a crucial factor for the successful outcome of this therapy. Since earlier application of higher dose may stop the development of the donor lymphocyte thereby restrict the removal of malignant cell while after malignancy removal if lymphocytes donor origin are not removed from the host system then it may cause GVHD related mortality. Our model also indicates that the threshold of drug sensitivity of the lymphocytes is another important criteria and needed to check before going to such therapy and depending upon this factor, drug dose and drug application time are needed to be adjusted further.

Recent time for leukemia therapy, HSCT with suicidal \(tk\) gene construct is suggested by the different experts of the concerned scientific community [17,18]. However, due to unavailability of suitable analytical method, this procedure may be far from clinical practice. Here it is shown that the previously developed model [16] for leukemia therapy can be fitted for the assessment of such therapeutic procedure with a minor modification of variables and thus, provides the desired platform to bring such excellent therapeutic procedure in reality. Presently, systems biologists are dependent on reverse engineering and from this stand-point, simulation runs with fitting of different parametric values of different systems component to an analytical model can help to identify the controlling variable(s) and their extent (boundary conditions) as well as its impact on the systems dynamical behavior. After applying any newer treatment procedure for a shorter duration of time, reassessment of the variables is needed and if there is any deviation between the simulation output and real life situation, then readjustment of initial parametric values of different variables are needed. Hence it will follow the predict-observe-correct cycle [16,20,21]. It is needless to point out here that as the developed model is based on difference equation and different feedbacks are incorporated in the form of inequation, so the model has the flexibility to change its parametric values of different variables and hence investigator can change the parametric values of any variables. Moreover, all the considered variables like cell counts, multiplication rate, differentiation time and leukemic cell killing capacity of immunocytes or drug can be captured by different hematological, immunological and cell culture based investigations.

Though HSCT with \(tk\)-gene construct has an immense potentiality for leukemia treatment; however, still is in laboratory phase. Presently cancers including leukemia treatment of individual patients are
dependent on population based clinical trials. Recent time emphasis is imparted towards individualized treatment procedure. Though several large databases on leukemia are available; however, treatment dynamical data in public domain are unavailable. Moreover, clinically relevant suitable analytical platform is also unavailable; hence, priority in the area of Cancer Systems Biology is given towards the development of analytical model with a strong clinical rationality; so that, in future the efficacy and outcome of any newer cancer treatment procedure can be tested apriori by fitting the individual patients’ initial parametric values of different variables along with different therapeutic schedule of clinicians’ choice [16,20,22]. Towards this goal, this analytical model is developed.
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