Analysis of Attributing Characteristics of Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi A, B and C across India during 6 years (2010 to 2015)
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Abstract
Paratyphoid fever has been emerged as a global public health problem, especially in developing countries. There should be a consistent monitoring of the isolation throughout the countries to analyze the spectrum of the Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi. This study examined current isolation pattern of Salmonella Paratyphi A, B and C over a period of 6 years at National Salmonella and Escherichia Centre (NSEC), Central Research Institute (C.R.I), Kasauli. Miscellaneous suspected cultures of Salmonella had been received from various regions of India during six years span of January 2010-December 2015. These samples were characterized by biotyping as well as serotyping at NSEC situated at Central Research Institute, Kasauli. Isolates were serotyped on the basis of somatic O and phase 1 and phase 2 flagellar antigens by agglutination tests with antisera according to the Kauffmann White scheme. Out of 71 isolates of Salmonella enterica, 51 (71.830%) were Salmonella Paratyphi A, 16 (22.532%) were Salmonella Paratyphi B and 4 (5.633%) Salmonella Paratyphi C. Among total 71 samples of Salmonella Paratyphi, 11.267% were obtained from North India while 88.732% cases were from South India. 81.96% samples were isolated from blood while rest of samples were from feces, urine, pus etc. Increasing rates of antibiotic resistance among S. enterica, particularly in Salmonella Paratyphi A strains, is of concern, as Salmonella Paratyphi A infection is becoming increasingly common and is not prevented by current vaccinations. This study caters the Salmonella Paratyphi A, B, C characterization by biotyping and serotyping status in various regions of India irrespective of their co-relation to the region of isolation, source of sample isolation, types of isolates including their age, gender and season during period of 2010 to 2015 across India.
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Introduction
Salmonella Paratyphi A has begun to replace Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi as the main causative agent of enteric fever in many Asian countries in recent years. Globally, three serotypes of Salmonella Paratyphi are described. These are Salmonella Paratyphi type A, type B and type C. Among these three, only Salmonella Paratyphi A is most prevalent while very few cases of illness are reported due to Salmonella Paratyphi B and C serotypes. Fewer studies have been done for the surveillance of Salmonella Paratyphi A infections and because of similarities of clinical picture to Salmonella Typhi, clinicians have been unable to assess the incidence rates Salmonella Paratyphi A infection.

The disease caused by Salmonella Paratyphi A is well known as paratyphoid fever. Although there is no major outbreak of paratyphoid fever in recent years, Salmonella Paratyphi A infection still remains a public health problem in many tropical countries. Therefore, surveillance studies play an important role in monitoring infections and the emergence of multidrug resistance, especially in endemic country such as India. However, the incidence of paratyphoid fever is increasing gradually worldwide, especially in certain endemic regions, such as certain provinces in China and Pakistan where Salmonella Paratyphi A infection has become a major health problem [1,2].

Generally, Salmonella Paratyphi A is a Gram-negative bacterium that belongs to the Enterobacteriaceae family. Based on the serotyping scheme developed by Kauffmann-White, Salmonella Paratyphi A is classified as serogroup A with an antigenic formula as [1,3,4]. Salmonella Paratyphi A produces a weakly positive reaction for H2S test and subsequently fails to show evidence of H2S production during the first of 14 days of incubation. Gas produced by Salmonella Paratyphi A is also relatively little and Salmonella Paratyphi A is not lysine decarboxylase-positive, xylose fermenting organism [1].

When Salmonella Paratyphi A is sub-cultured on blood agar and MacConkey agar, after overnight incubation at 37°C, blood agar showed non pigmented (grey-white) colonies of size 1-2 mm, opaque, non-hemolytic, moist, circular with a smooth convex surface and the entire edge. The growth on MacConkey agar consisted of non-lactose fermenting colonies of similar morphology. Colonies are catalase positive and oxidase negative. Gram stained smear from the growth revealed Gram-negative bacilli, 2-4 μm × 0.6 μm in size, non-capsulate and non-sporing. The bacilli are motile [3].

There are many limitations in culture-based methods. The accuracy of diagnosis depends on the adequate amount of sample taken from patients, the appropriate media to be used, the stage of disease, and other variables during the isolation procedure. Unlike typhoid fever, the number of bacteria throughout the duration of paratyphoid fever has not been reported previously. Therefore, this makes the diagnosis of paratyphoid fever more challenging. However, for both typhoid and
paratyphoid fever, culture from the bone marrow gives the most accurate results.

Salmonella Paratyphi A, B, C are the causal organisms of paratyphoid fever. However no statistically significant differences in individual symptoms between patients with infection due to Salmonella Typhi or Salmonella Paratyphi A are found. The most common presenting complaints were fever and headache for both cases respectively. Frequent other symptoms included anorexia, abdominal pain, chills, malaise, anorexia, nausea and cough. Physical findings included coated tongue, splenomegaly, and abdominal tenderness. Overall, the cytokine profile of Salmonella Paratyphi A infection is similar to Salmonella Typhi but distinct from other non-typhoidal Salmonellae [5]. Also, the disease phenotype for the two infections is identical—no difference in disease presentation or complication rate between infections due to Salmonella Paratyphi A and Salmonella Typhi. The studies demonstrate that both Salmonella Typhi and Salmonella Paratyphi A infections can be highly prevalent at the same time in the same population.

The paratyphoid fevers largely follow an extra-household transmission, mostly by consumption of contaminated food prepared outside home; as children tend to consume street food or eat in restaurants, they are likely to be infected with Salmonella Paratyphi A [6]. Transmission of the pathogen is via the fecal-oral route, with humans as the sole reservoir of infection. Transmission is via consumption of contaminated food/water or contact with chronic asymptomatic carriers [7]. Family contact could be a factor of transmission as well, but a recent report on the risk factors for the disease showed that paratyphoid infections occur mostly outside the household [8]. The important vehicles of transmission in many countries include shellfish harvested from sewage-contaminated beds, raw fruits, vegetables fertilized by night soil and eaten raw, milk and milk products. Preparation of food by hands of carriers or infected food handlers may also contribute to the disease transmission [1,9]. Humans are the major reservoir for Salmonella Paratyphi B, with spread occurring by contamination of food products or water by excreta [7].

Enteric fever caused by Salmonella Typhi and Salmonella Paratyphi A still continues to be a major public health problem in developing countries like India. Typhoid fever was estimated to have caused 21.6 million illnesses and 216,500 deaths globally, and the less severe paratyphoid fever caused an estimated 5.4 million illnesses in 2000. Although Salmonella Typhi was observed to be the predominant serovar worldwide, a shift in the most prevalent Salmonella serotype from Salmonella Typhi to Salmonella Paratyphi A has been reported recently by many researchers. Salmonella Paratyphi A is the second most common cause of enteric fever after Salmonella Typhi with infection rate between Salmonella Typhi to Paratyphi being approximately 4:1. Population based studies have estimated the global burden of enteric fever, to be >21 million cases of typhoid fever in the year 2000 and >5 million cases of paratyphoid fever with regions of high incidence of typhoid fever (>100/100 000cases/yr) at South Central Asia and South East Asia [1,8].

Salmonella Paratyphi A was the less frequent cause of enteric fever in earlier days, with isolation rates being 3-17% from India. The incidence of enteric fever due to Salmonella Paratyphi A is increasing since 1996 [6].

Among the enteric fever cases that occurred in an urban slum in New Delhi from October 1995 to October 1996, 25% was caused by Salmonella Paratyphi A. Moreover, in 1996, 36 cases of paratyphoid fever were reported in a residential area of New Delhi, India within 1 month period (September-October). Subsequently, infections due to Salmonella Paratyphi A are increasing in India. A few retrospective cohort studies have been conducted in India to monitor the trends of Salmonella Paratyphi A infection. Based on the data collected from the All India Institute of Medical Sciences in New Delhi, the isolation rate of Salmonella Paratyphi A has increased from 6.5% in 1994 to 44.9% in 1998 [1]. Data from 1999 to 2004 were collected again from the same institution by Mohanty et al. [10] and the isolation rate was dropped to 23.8%. In another study conducted by Capoor et al. [11] a significant increase in Salmonella Paratyphi A isolation from 2001 to 2006 has been observed in New Delhi.

An increase in isolation of Salmonella Paratyphi A was reported with 11.1% (2001) to 59% (2003) from Calicut (now known as Kozhikode) [12] Between 2001 to 2003 an unusually high rate of isolation was reported from 20.3% in Mumbai, Nagpur (46.15%), Sevagram (53.33%) and Rourkela (11,12). 23.5% in Calcutta from September 2003 to August 2004. 38.4% in Shimla from 2000 to 2006, 23.3% in Chennai between 2007-2009. A study from Chandigarh also showed an 40.6% increase of isolation of Salmonella Paratyphi from January 2003 to April 2007 [14,15]. More recently, a multi-center surveillance study has been carried out by the Indian Network for Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance Group [16]. A total of 764 Salmonella Paratyphi A strains have been isolated between January 2008 to December 2010 in the 15 participating centers throughout India [17-19]. The incidence of enteric fever caused by Salmonella Paratyphi A is increasing in many parts of the world. Salmonella Paratyphi A appears to be responsible for a growing proportion of enteric fever in a number of Asian countries, sometimes accounting for 50% of Salmonella bloodstream isolates among enteric fever patients [2,20-23].

The disproportionate increase in the numbers of Salmonella Paratyphi A cases may be due to the vaccine effect (Ty21a and Vi vaccines), which only protects individuals from Salmonella Typhi infection and also the inappropriate preventive strategies against Salmonella Paratyphi A. The reduction strategies that were effective against Salmonella Typhi might not be useful against Salmonella Paratyphi A [1].

Studies have been conducted to define the antibiogram pattern of Salmonella Paratyphi A and these shows that the multiple antibiotic resistance for Salmonella Paratyphi A is emerging in India [21,24-27]. Multidrug resistant typhoid and paratyphoid infections are more severe with higher rates of toxicity, complication and mortality than infections with sensitive strains. This may be related to the increased virulence of multidrug resistant Salmonella as well as a higher number of circulating bacteria [28].

The rise in incidence and prevalence of Salmonella Paratyphi A may be due to the fact that current vaccines only offer protection against typhoid fever. Due to this Salmonella Typhi infections are getting under control and isolation of Salmonella Paratyphi is becoming more common.

All of these studies highlight Salmonella Paratyphi A as the emerging pathogen of enteric fever. This study is designed at NSEC for the analysis of indications of the overall trends followed by Salmonella Paratyphi and its subtypes. The NSEC is a national reference centre for Salmonella and Escherichia for India. It receives samples from research
Materials and Methods

The National Salmonella and Escherichia Centre, Central Research Institute, Kasauli, India, has provided a service to the nation for the identification. The Institute, Kasauli, India, has provided a service to the nation for the identification of Salmonella Paratyphi. Isolates of Salmonella Paratyphi were obtained at NSEC from all over India. During the year 2010-2015, 71 isolates of Salmonella Paratyphi were received by the NSEC from various regions of North and South India. These constituted the material for this study. The records of all the isolates were retrieved from laboratory records of the department.

For the biotyping, all media and biochemicals were obtained from Hi Media Lab. Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. All isolates were identified as Salmonella Paratyphi by conventional biochemical tests [29,30] and confirmed by serotyping on the basis of somatic O and flagellar H antigens by agglutination test using standard Salmonella agglutinating factor sera (Seiken Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) according to the White-Kaufmann-scheme [31,32].

The sera raising for serotyping were performed in rabbits by following an immunization schedule with formalin killed Salmonella suspension of 0.5 ml, 1.0 ml, 1.5 ml, 2.0 ml dose by subcutaneous route on day 1, 7 and 14. The animals were bled after one week of the last injection and tested for homologous titres by agglutination test. The animals were bled by cardiac bleeding method. Blood was collected in dry sterile test tubes and then stored in a refrigerator (+4°C) overnight. The blood clot was removed by centrifugation and the sera from different bleedings were pooled together and filtered by 0.45 μm micro-filter.

For serotyping testing an 18-24 hour broth culture of the test strain was taken. It was then formalinized and kept at room temperature for 30 minutes. The culture was centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 15-20 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the deposit was used for serotyping work. The deposit was resuspended in sterile PBS.

4-5 drops of the centrifuged deposit were placed on a clean glass slide. To the first drop was applied a drop of O polyvalent Group A-E antisera. If agglutination was positive, the Salmonella serotype was suspected. 80-90% strains exhibit agglutination with this antisera. If there was no agglutination, additional group antisera were tested.

In case, agglutination with group polyvalent A-E was negative, agglutination with individual factor sera was required. The next step was to check for phase 1 antigens. For this purpose the help of polyvalent Rapid Salmonella Diagnostic sera (RSD 1,2,3) was taken for serotyping.

Results

Salmonella Paratyphi A was sub-cultured on blood agar and MacConkey agar, after overnight incubation at 37°C, blood agar showed non pigmented (grey-white) colonies of size 1-2 mm, opaque, non-hemolytic, moist, circular with a smooth convex surface and the entire edge. The growth on MacConkey agar consisted of non lactose fermenting colonies of similar morphology. Colonies were catalase positive and oxidase negative. Gram stained smear from the growth revealed Gram-negative bacilli, 2-4 μm × 0.6 μm in size, non-capsulate and non-sporing. The bacilli were motile.

Biotyping was performed on Salmonella Paratyphi A cultures which were found Lactose negative, Catalase positive, Oxidase negative, Indole negative, Methyl Red positive, Voges Proskauer negative, Citrate negative, Urease negative, Triple Sugar Iron showed K/A glucose acid with gas, Nitrate reductase positive and Lysine negative. The isolates were cultured on nutrient agar, Mac-conkey agar and blood agar plates. In serotyping tests the positive agglutination of Salmonella Paratyphi A antisera with Salmonella Paratyphi A bacteria was observed.

A retrospective analysis of laboratory records at NSEC, from January 2010 to December 2015 showed an increasing rate of isolation Salmonella Paratyphi from cases of enteric fever.

Highest number of 27 isolates of Salmonella Paratyphi A were isolated in 2010 and lowest number of 1 case in 2011 (Table 1 and Graph 1). For Salmonella Paratyphi B maximum isolation of 4 numbers was done in 2011 and nil case in 2013. However Salmonella Paratyphi C, 1 isolate was obtained in 2010 and 3 cases were isolated in 2011. From 2012 to 2015, no new isolates of Salmonella Paratyphi C were obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Isolation</th>
<th>Salmonella Paratyphi A</th>
<th>Salmonella Paratyphi B</th>
<th>Salmonella Paratyphi C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-15</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 1: Salmonella Paratyphi Status in India.

Analyzing the retrospective data, among total 71 samples of Salmonella Paratyphi, 11.267% were obtained from North India while 88.732% cases were from South India with maximum isolation from Mumbai, Pune and Chennai. The number of isolation of Salmonella Paratyphi differed from region to region.
Paratyphi was highest in 2010 (42.253%) followed by 15.492% in 2014 and 14.084% in 2015.

In preview of type of isolates it had been observed that among total *Salmonella* Paratyphi isolates, 71.830% were of *Salmonella* Paratyphi A, 22.535% were of *Salmonella* Paratyphi B and 5.633% were of *Salmonella* Paratyphi C.

In contrast to the source of isolation from the blood samples were dominating with total of 81.690%, feces with 12.676% and other miscellaneous samples 5.634% were from urine, liver, pus and environment (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Total Cases</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>North India</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South India</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>88.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Isolate type</td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em> Paratyphi A</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>71.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em> Paratyphi B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em> Paratyphi C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Source of collection</td>
<td>Blood Sample</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>81.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urine, Liver, Pus, Tree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.634</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Interpretation of the results of 5 years 2010 to 2015.

Of the total cases studied, both children and adults were included. The incidence of Paratyphi was higher in children as compared to adults, while gender-wise distribution was not much different. It indicated that both males and female were equally susceptible to the *Salmonella* Paratyphi infection. Every year, most of the isolation of *Salmonella* Paratyphi occurred throughout the year showing that paratyphoid had been prevalent during all seasons in the country.

Discussion

Recent insights into the evolution of *Salmonella* Paratyphi A from genomics confirm that the organisms are genetically monomorphic and show other features of host-adapted pathogens. These features remind us of the organism vulnerabilities and the potential for major gains in disease control. Added to the increasing complexity of managing enteric fever due to antimicrobial resistance, there is a strong case for much greater effort in disease control through improvements in sanitation, greater access to safe water and food, identification and treatment of *Salmonella* Paratyphi carriers, and the more widespread use of currently available vaccines in high-risk populations.

However, the obvious application of biotyping of salmonellae is in epidemiological investigations. The findings should assist not only in interpreting the epidemiology but also in following the emergence and disappearance of particular epidemic strains.

In the longer term, though, biotyping is likely to make an even greater contribution when applied to the selection of strains for detailed analysis. Such selection is required when choosing cultures for an investigation into phylogenetic relationships between strains within a serotype and between serotypes within the genus *Salmonella* factors relating to virulence.

On the other hand, serotyping is based on the long standing observation that the microorganisms from the same species can differ in the antigenic determinants expressed on the cell surface. Serotyping is method used to differentiate isolates of *Salmonella* beyond the subspecies level. *Salmonella* serotypes are designated based on the immunoreactivity of two cell surface structures, the O and H antigens. A substantial amount of diversity exists in these two antigens, resulting in the designation of more than 2,500 serotypes and the regular recognition of new serotypes.

The biotyping and serotyping is an efficient tool for studying the characteristic role of *Salmonella* Paratyphi strains circulating in the country.

Conclusion

Current study emphasize the mutual analysis by biotyping as well as by serotyping, the isolation of the *Salmonella* Paratyphi serovars throughout India. Paratyphoid had been the next most common disease caused by Salmonellae after typhoid. So there should be a continuous monitoring of the epidemiological behavior of these isolates at diagnostic level. This can on long term, increase the impending analysis of the potential antibiogram pattern as well as the chances of detection of neglected strain detection can be enhanced.

The vastly resistant Paratyphi strains had been isolated from various regions of the world which lay down the need of antibiotic treatment as well as the requirement of new candidate vaccines against *Salmonella* Paratyphi to have a control over the escalating incident rates of paratyphoid cases. The studies should be started at the national level for development of new paratyphoid vaccines so that the infectivity, severity and morbidity due to these prevalent *Salmonella* Paratyphi strains should come to a check rate.
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