

Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring in Hypertensive Patients: A Diagnostic Gold Standard?

Chien Teixeira*

Department of Precision and Regenerative Medicine, University of Bari, Bari, Italy

Introduction

Hypertension diagnosis has traditionally relied on clinic-based Blood Pressure (BP) measurements, but these snapshots may not capture the full complexity of an individual's cardiovascular profile. Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring (ABPM), which records BP at regular intervals over 24 hours during normal daily activities and sleep, has emerged as a superior tool for diagnosing and managing hypertension. ABPM provides insights into BP variability, circadian rhythms and nocturnal patterns factors strongly associated with cardiovascular risk. As white-coat and masked hypertension become increasingly recognized clinical entities, ABPM has been proposed by many experts as the gold standard for hypertension diagnosis, especially when accurate risk stratification is needed. This article explores the clinical value, diagnostic accuracy and prognostic utility of ABPM, weighing its benefits and limitations in light of contemporary hypertension management guidelines and real-world applicability [1].

Description

A significant advantage of ABPM lies in its ability to uncover BP phenotypes that are frequently missed by office measurements. White-coat hypertension, characterized by elevated BP readings in the clinic but normal readings at home or during daily life, affects up to 30% of patients with elevated office BP. Conversely, masked hypertension normal BP readings in the clinic but elevated values during daily activities may affect 10–20% of the general population and is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events. ABPM identifies these conditions by capturing diurnal BP patterns, thereby preventing both over-treatment and under-treatment. Moreover, ABPM can detect nocturnal hypertension, a powerful predictor of stroke, left ventricular hypertrophy and kidney damage. The presence or absence of a normal nighttime “dip” in BP further refines risk assessment. Compared to office or home BP monitoring, ABPM demonstrates superior sensitivity and specificity, making it a powerful tool in tailoring antihypertensive therapy and guiding clinical decisions [2].

Multiple cohort studies have confirmed the prognostic superiority of ABPM over office-based measurements in predicting long-term cardiovascular outcomes. Elevated 24-hour, daytime and nighttime BP readings obtained via ABPM correlate more strongly with the risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure and mortality than isolated clinic measurements. ABPM also provides valuable information on BP variability, which has emerged as an

independent cardiovascular risk factor. Furthermore, ABPM helps in evaluating treatment efficacy more accurately than clinic BP, capturing sustained BP control throughout the day and identifying possible drug-related hypotensive episodes. In elderly patients, patients with autonomic dysfunction and those with suspected resistant hypertension, ABPM plays a crucial role in therapy adjustment. Given this strong predictive value, major hypertension guidelines including those from the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and the American Heart Association (AHA) recommend ABPM as the preferred method for confirming the diagnosis of hypertension and assessing treatment response when feasible [3].

Despite its demonstrated accuracy and clinical utility, widespread implementation of ABPM remains inconsistent, especially in primary care and resource-limited settings. While ABPM is endorsed in most clinical guidelines, it is often underutilized due to lack of device availability, cost, limited insurance coverage and unfamiliarity among healthcare providers. In regions with high hypertension prevalence and low health literacy, ABPM may be impractical without adequate infrastructure and patient support. Nonetheless, the increased availability of portable, user-friendly devices has improved its feasibility and some healthcare systems have begun incorporating ABPM into routine diagnostic workflows. ABPM is particularly useful in evaluating treatment-resistant hypertension, assessing response to nocturnal dosing regimens and distinguishing true resistance from poor adherence. Future integration of digital health platforms and remote monitoring tools may enhance the accessibility and affordability of ABPM, especially when linked with electronic health records and mobile-based alerts for real-time feedback [4–5].

Conclusion

Moreover, interpretation of ABPM data requires standardized cut-offs, training and sometimes clinician oversight to avoid misclassification. There is also ongoing debate regarding the thresholds for intervention based on ABPM values, especially in elderly patients or those with comorbidities. Innovations such as cuffless, wearable ABPM devices, machine learning algorithms for data interpretation and extended multi-day monitoring protocols are being developed to address these limitations. As technology evolves and awareness grows, ABPM is likely to play an even greater role in the personalized management of hypertension, complementing other forms of monitoring and providing a comprehensive cardiovascular risk profile.

Acknowledgment

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

*Address for Correspondence: Chien Teixeira, Department of Precision and Regenerative Medicine, University of Bari, Bari, Italy, E-mail: teixeira.c@bari.edu

Copyright: © 2025 Teixeira C. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 February, 2025, Manuscript No. jhoa-25-168491; Editor Assigned: 03 February, 2024, PreQC No. P-168491; Reviewed: 15 February, 2024, QC No. Q-168491; Revised: 22 February, 2024, Manuscript No. R-168491; Published: 28 February, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2167-1095.2024.14.504

References

1. Mancia, Giuseppe and Paolo Verdecchia. "Clinical value of ambulatory blood pressure: Evidence and limits." *Circ Res* 116 (2015): 1034-1045.
2. Boggia, Jose, Yan Li, Lutgarde Thijs and Tine W. Hansen, et al. "Prognostic accuracy of day versus night ambulatory blood pressure: A cohort study." *Lancet* 370 (2007): 1219-1229.
3. Verdecchia, Paolo, Gianpaolo Reboldi, Carlo Porcellati and Giuseppe Schillaci, et al. "Risk of cardiovascular disease in relation to achieved office and ambulatory blood pressure control in treated hypertensive subjects." *J Am Coll Cardiol* 39 (2002): 878-885.
4. Clement, Denis L., Marc L. De Buyzere, Dirk A. De Bacquer and Peter W. De Leeuw, et al. "Prognostic value of ambulatory blood-pressure recordings in patients with treated hypertension." *N Engl J Med* 348 (2003): 2407-2415.
5. Kario, Kazuomi, Kazuyuki Shimada, Joseph E. Schwartz and Takefumi Matsuo, et al. "Silent and clinically overt stroke in older Japanese subjects with white-coat and sustained hypertension." *J Cardiol* 39 (2002): 52-54.

How to cite this article: Teixeira, Chien. "Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring in Hypertensive Patients: A Diagnostic Gold Standard?" *J Hypertens* 14 (2025): 504.