

AI-Enhanced Colonoscopy Transforms CRC Screening and Prevention

Adrian Wu*

Department of Chronic Intestinal Disease and Microbiota, Pacific Rim School of Medicine, Harborview, Singapore

Introduction

This meta-analysis highlights how the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into colonoscopy procedures significantly enhances the detection rates of colorectal polyps. It proves particularly effective for identifying smaller or more challenging-to-visualize lesions, underscoring AI's transformative potential. Ultimately, this technology stands to markedly improve the effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening programs by minimizing the occurrence of missed polyps and enhancing early diagnostic capabilities[1].

A review on cold snare polypectomy for larger colorectal polyps suggests this method is both a safe and effective technique for removal. It can be successfully applied even to lesions traditionally managed with hot snares or endoscopic mucosal resection. This innovative approach could substantially streamline procedures, lead to fewer complications, and fundamentally alter current practices for polyp removal, offering a less invasive yet highly effective option[2].

Interval colorectal cancer, defined as cancers diagnosed between recommended screening colonoscopies, represents a critical area for improvement in patient care. This comprehensive article deeply investigates its incidence and various risk factors. It provides crucial insights into how to refine colonoscopy quality and optimize surveillance protocols, aiming to more effectively prevent these potentially aggressive or overlooked cancers from progressing undetected[3].

This systematic review strongly emphasizes the critical relationship between a higher adenoma detection rate (ADR) during colonoscopy and a notably reduced risk of post-colonoscopy colorectal cancer. It powerfully reinforces the principle that enhancing ADR is not merely a technical goal, but a paramount quality indicator directly impacting long-term patient outcomes and significantly bolstering colorectal cancer prevention strategies[4].

The study carefully examines the risk of advanced neoplasia among patients with initial low-risk adenomas who are actively engaged in surveillance colonoscopy programs. It concludes that while the overall risk remains low, specific patient factors warrant thoughtful consideration when establishing surveillance intervals. This approach aims to both optimize healthcare resource utilization and meticulously ensure continued patient safety and effective monitoring[5].

This meta-analysis systematically evaluates the diagnostic yield of colonoscopy in asymptomatic individuals undertaking colorectal cancer screening. It precisely quantifies the detection rates of both adenomas and colorectal cancer, offering vital empirical data. This information solidly demonstrates the profound effectiveness and inherent necessity of colonoscopy as a primary and indispensable screening

tool for this key demographic[6].

A systematic review exploring water exchange techniques during colonoscopy reveals a significant and beneficial increase in the adenoma detection rate. This method, involving the controlled instillation and aspiration of water, demonstrably improves bowel cleanliness and patient comfort during the procedure. Ultimately, this simple yet effective technique substantially enhances the overall diagnostic efficacy of colonoscopy, leading to better outcomes[7].

This meta-analysis meticulously identifies a range of diverse risk factors contributing to inadequate bowel preparation prior to colonoscopy. These factors span from underlying patient comorbidities to insufficient adherence to specific dietary instructions. A thorough understanding and effective management of these elements are absolutely essential for elevating preparation quality, which, in turn, directly determines the thoroughness and ultimate success of the colonoscopy itself[8].

This comprehensive review meticulously examines the long-term outcomes observed after endoscopic resection of large nonpedunculated colorectal polyps. The findings conclusively affirm that endoscopic removal is broadly effective and remarkably safe. This provides a significantly less invasive yet highly successful alternative to surgical intervention for a substantial number of patients, maintaining excellent long-term success rates and minimizing patient burden[9].

This systematic review and meta-analysis thoroughly investigates the innovative application of machine learning in significantly enhancing the detection and meticulous characterization of colorectal polyps. It compellingly demonstrates machine learning's substantial capacity to improve diagnostic precision and effectively support endoscopists in accurately differentiating between benign and malignant lesions during a colonoscopy examination[10].

Description

The landscape of colorectal cancer screening is undergoing rapid transformation, largely driven by innovative technological advancements aimed at improving detection and characterization of polyps. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning are at the forefront of this revolution. A compelling meta-analysis demonstrates how AI significantly enhances polyp detection rates during colonoscopy, proving particularly valuable for identifying smaller or more challenging-to-spot lesions. This advancement considerably boosts the effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening by reducing the incidence of missed polyps, thereby improving early diagnostic capabilities [C001]. Concurrently, a systematic review and meta-analysis exploring machine learning's application in this domain confirms its

substantial potential. It not only improves diagnostic accuracy but also actively assists endoscopists in precisely differentiating between benign and malignant lesions during colonoscopy procedures, leading to more informed treatment decisions [C010]. These technologies collectively promise a future of more thorough and reliable screening outcomes.

Beyond mere detection, the methods for managing and resecting colorectal polyps are also evolving to be safer and more effective, offering patients less invasive alternatives. For instance, a systematic review and meta-analysis on cold snare polypectomy for larger colorectal polyps indicates it is a highly safe and effective technique. This method can be successfully employed even for lesions that traditionally required hot snares or endoscopic mucosal resection, potentially simplifying procedures and markedly reducing complication rates, thereby altering standard approaches to polyp removal [C002]. Building on this, a comprehensive review of long-term outcomes following endoscopic resection of large nonpedunculated colorectal polyps confirms the technique's effectiveness and safety. This provides a robust, less invasive alternative to surgical intervention for many patients, consistently achieving good long-term success rates and minimizing patient recovery times and discomfort [C009]. These advancements highlight a clear trend towards optimizing patient care through refined procedural techniques.

Central to effective colorectal cancer prevention is the continuous improvement of colonoscopy quality, with a particular focus on the adenoma detection rate (ADR). A systematic review and meta-analysis unequivocally underlines the crucial link between a higher ADR during colonoscopy and a significantly reduced risk of post-colonoscopy colorectal cancer. This reinforces the idea that improving ADR is a key quality indicator directly impacting positive patient outcomes and strengthening cancer prevention efforts [C004]. Innovative techniques are also being adopted to further enhance ADR. A systematic review and meta-analysis on water exchange during colonoscopy, which involves instilling and aspirating water, indicates a notable increase in ADR. This method appears to improve bowel cleanliness and patient comfort, ultimately enhancing the diagnostic efficacy of the entire procedure [C007]. Furthermore, for asymptomatic individuals undergoing colorectal cancer screening, colonoscopy demonstrates a strong diagnostic yield, effectively quantifying the detection rates of adenomas and colorectal cancer. This provides important data supporting the effectiveness and necessity of colonoscopy as a primary screening tool in this population [C006].

Despite these significant advancements, several critical challenges remain in achieving optimal colorectal cancer screening and prevention. Interval colorectal cancer, defined as cancers diagnosed between recommended screening colonoscopies, is a pressing issue. An insightful article delves into its incidence and the various risk factors involved, offering crucial insights into how to improve colonoscopy quality and refine surveillance protocols to better prevent these missed or rapidly developing cancers [C003]. Related to this, ongoing surveillance for patients with initial low-risk adenomas requires careful consideration. A study investigating the risk of advanced neoplasia in this group suggests that while the overall risk is low, specific factors might still warrant individualized surveillance intervals, aiming to optimize resource utilization while rigorously ensuring patient safety [C005]. A foundational challenge that impacts the success of any colonoscopy is inadequate bowel preparation. A meta-analysis meticulously identifies various risk factors contributing to this, ranging from patient comorbidities to specific dietary instructions. Understanding these factors is paramount for improving preparation quality, which directly dictates the thoroughness and overall success of the colonoscopy procedure [C008]. Addressing these complex issues systematically is crucial for enhancing the overall efficacy and reliability of colorectal cancer screening programs.

Conclusion

Recent advancements in colonoscopy are transforming colorectal cancer screening and prevention. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning are significantly improving polyp detection, especially for hard-to-spot lesions, boosting diagnostic accuracy and helping differentiate between benign and malignant growths. Beyond detection, new techniques like cold snare polypectomy are proving safe and effective for removing larger polyps, potentially simplifying procedures and reducing complications, offering a less invasive alternative to surgery for many patients. A crucial aspect of effective screening is optimizing colonoscopy quality, which directly impacts patient outcomes. Studies highlight the importance of a high adenoma detection rate (ADR) in reducing post-colonoscopy colorectal cancer, with innovations like water exchange techniques shown to increase ADR by improving bowel cleanliness and patient comfort. Addressing challenges such as interval colorectal cancer—diagnosed between screenings—requires improved surveillance protocols and a deeper understanding of incidence and risk factors. Furthermore, identifying and managing risk factors for inadequate bowel preparation is vital for ensuring thorough and successful colonoscopies. While surveillance for low-risk adenomas generally carries a low risk of advanced neoplasia, careful consideration of individual factors remains important. Overall, colonoscopy remains a necessary and effective primary screening tool for asymptomatic individuals, with ongoing research continually enhancing its efficacy in detecting adenomas and colorectal cancer. These efforts underscore a collective drive to refine screening strategies and improve patient safety and outcomes in colorectal cancer prevention.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Meng, Han, Yu, Cong, Song, Yan, Wang, Siqi, Lv, Yichao, Li, Jie. "Artificial intelligence for the detection of colorectal polyps: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials." *Gastroenterology* 164 (2023): 928-941.e12.
2. Bar-Gil, Yael, Rimon, Bar, Arbel, Omer, Arad, Ran, Ben-Shushan, Shai, Ben-Gal, Tahl. "Cold Snare Polypectomy for Large Colorectal Polyps: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Am J Gastroenterol* 118 (2023): 205-214.
3. Kanth, Praveen, Moin, Shauna, Burt, Randall W. "Interval Colorectal Cancer: Incidence, Risk Factors, and Strategies for Prevention." *Gastroenterology* 160 (2021): 1989-2002.
4. Zhao, Chun, Sun, Gang, Chen, Huan, Yu, Yang, Li, Guoliang, Hu, Bowen. "Impact of Adenoma Detection Rate on Post-Colonoscopy Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis." *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 35 (2020): 1475-1484.
5. He, Yang, Peng, Hong, Xu, Hui, Jin, Haixia, Yao, Kai, Li, Xinyuan. "Risk of Advanced Neoplasia in Patients With Low-Risk Adenomas Undergoing Surveillance Colonoscopy." *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 19 (2021): 757-764.e1.
6. Xu, Junqi, Zhao, Min, Wang, Shaoli, Shi, Chang, Wang, Dingyuan, Lu, Xinying. "Diagnostic yield of colonoscopy in asymptomatic individuals for colorectal cancer

- screening: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 34 (2019): 1888-1897.
7. Chen, Yen-Jen, Lee, Chia-Te, Chien, Wen-Chung, Chung, Yung-Chuan, Lin, Yi-Chun, Hou, Ming-Chih. "Water Exchange During Colonoscopy Increases Adenoma Detection Rate: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis." *Dig Dis Sci* 66 (2021): 1891-1901.
8. Bu, Xiangyang, Lin, Xiang, Zhang, Yuan, He, Yufei, Li, Jinbo, Zhu, Min. "Risk factors for inadequate bowel preparation for colonoscopy: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf)* 10 (2022): goac030.
9. Singh, Siddharth, Facciorusso, Antonio, Gross, Steven A, Ofosu, Adebayo, Qumseya, B Joseph, Triadafilopoulos, George. "Long-Term Outcomes After Endoscopic Resection of Large Nonpedunculated Colorectal Polyps: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis." *Gastroenterology* 158 (2020): 1611-1622.e9.
10. Kwak, Min-Seob, Cha, Jae Myung, Lee, Yu Jin, Jeon, Jin Yong, Lee, Eun Jeong, Kim, Eun Joo. "Machine learning in the detection and characterization of colorectal polyps: A systematic review and meta-analysis." *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 38 (2023): 44-53.

How to cite this article: Wu, Adrian. "AI-Enhanced Colonoscopy Transforms CRC Screening and Prevention." *J Inflamm Bowel Dis* 10 (2025):265.

***Address for Correspondence:** Adrian, Wu, Department of Chronic Intestinal Disease and Microbiota, Pacific Rim School of Medicine, Harborview, Singapore, E-mail: a.wu@prsm.sg

Copyright: © 2025 Wu A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. jibdd-25-174847; **Editor assigned:** 04-Nov-2025, PreQC No. P-174847; **Reviewed:** 18-Nov-2025, QC No. Q-174847; **Revised:** 24-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. R-174847; **Published:** 29-Nov-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2476-1958.2025.10.265