

Advances in Immunohistochemical Techniques for Breast Tumor Classification

Dilyara Sadykova*

Department of Cardiology, Kazakh National Medical University, Almaty 050012, Kazakhstan

Introduction

Breast cancer remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Early detection and accurate classification are paramount for improving patient outcomes. Over the years, the classification of breast tumors has evolved significantly, with molecular diagnostics playing an increasingly important role. Among the various diagnostic tools available, Immune Histo Chemistry (IHC) has become a gold standard in breast cancer diagnosis and classification. IHC allows clinicians to analyze tissue samples for the presence of specific biomarkers that help determine tumor subtype, prognosis and potential treatment response. With advancements in antibody technology and staining protocols, immunohistochemistry has evolved, making it possible to identify and classify breast cancers with a high degree of specificity and sensitivity. This paper aims to explore the advances in IHC techniques that have transformed breast cancer diagnostics, focusing on their role in classifying tumors, determining prognosis and guiding treatment strategies [1].

Description

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, comprising multiple subtypes with distinct biological behaviors. Traditional breast cancer classification relied on histological assessment, which examined the microscopic structure of tumors and classified them based on factors such as tumor grade, size and histologic type. However, these methods were often insufficient for understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms driving tumor growth and progression. With the rise of molecular biology, a deeper understanding of the molecular characteristics of breast tumors has led to the identification of several molecular subtypes. These subtypes are based on the expression of certain biomarkers, which have a significant impact on prognosis and treatment strategies. Among the most important biomarkers used in breast cancer classification are Estrogen Receptor (ER), Progesterone Receptor (PR), Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) and Ki-67. These biomarkers help define different breast cancer subtypes, including hormone receptor-positive, HER2-positive and triple-negative breast cancers, each requiring a different approach to treatment [2].

Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC) is a laboratory technique that utilizes antibodies to detect specific antigens or proteins within tissue samples. This method is particularly valuable in breast cancer diagnosis because it allows for the detection of molecular markers that provide critical information about the tumor's behavior. For example, the presence of estrogen and progesterone receptors on tumor cells indicates that the tumor is likely to respond to

hormone-based therapies, such as tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors. Similarly, the overexpression of HER2 indicates a more aggressive tumor and these patients can benefit from targeted therapies like trastuzumab (Herceptin). IHC allows for precise identification of these biomarkers, thereby classifying breast cancer into subtypes that can be treated more effectively. The detection of Ki-67, a proliferation marker, can also help assess the tumor's growth rate and aggressiveness, further influencing treatment decisions [3].

In recent years, significant advancements have been made in immunohistochemistry, improving its sensitivity, specificity and utility in clinical practice. One notable advancement is the development of multiplex IHC, which allows for the simultaneous detection of multiple biomarkers in a single tissue sample. This provides a more comprehensive profile of the tumor and allows for better stratification of patients based on their molecular tumor characteristics. Additionally, digital pathology, which involves scanning and analyzing tissue slides digitally, has greatly improved the speed and accuracy of IHC analysis. Digital platforms can quantify marker expression, reducing subjectivity in interpretation and increasing reproducibility across laboratories. Furthermore, the automation of IHC procedures has streamlined the staining process, ensuring consistent results and reducing variability between samples. Innovations in antibody technology, such as the development of monoclonal antibodies, have also enhanced the detection of low-abundance antigens, further increasing the accuracy of breast cancer classification [4].

The advances in immunohistochemistry have significantly impacted the treatment and prognosis of breast cancer. By accurately classifying breast tumors based on molecular markers, clinicians can now tailor treatment strategies to individual patients. For example, hormone receptor-positive cancers are treated with hormone therapies, which have fewer side effects compared to chemotherapy. HER2-positive tumors benefit from targeted therapies such as trastuzumab, which specifically inhibits the HER2 receptor and reduces the risk of recurrence. In contrast, triple-negative breast cancers, which lack the expression of ER, PR and HER2, often require more aggressive treatment regimens, including chemotherapy. The use of Ki-67 as a proliferation marker helps clinicians assess the aggressiveness of the tumor, which is crucial for determining the need for chemotherapy. In addition to guiding treatment, IHC markers provide prognostic information. For instance, the expression of HER2 and Ki-67 can indicate the likelihood of metastasis and recurrence, allowing for better long-term monitoring of patients.

While immunohistochemistry has brought remarkable improvements to breast cancer classification, it is not without its challenges. One of the primary limitations is the potential for variability in staining procedures, which can lead to inconsistent results between laboratories. The quality of the tissue sample, the fixation process and the type of antibody used can all impact the accuracy of IHC results. Additionally, some molecular markers may not be entirely specific to breast cancer, which can complicate interpretation. For instance, certain markers like HER2 are also expressed in other cancer types, leading to potential diagnostic confusion. Furthermore, the visual assessment of IHC staining is subjective and pathologists may interpret results differently. However, these challenges are being addressed through the development of more standardized protocols, the use of digital pathology and the automation of staining techniques. As a result, IHC continues to improve in reliability and precision, offering increasingly accurate tumor classification [5].

*Address for Correspondence: Dilyara Sadykova, Department of Cardiology, Kazakh National Medical University, Almaty 050012, Kazakhstan; E-mail: dilyarasadykova@mail.ru

Copyright: © 2025 Sadykova D. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 February, 2025, Manuscript No. jcd-25-164912; Editor assigned: 03 February, 2025, PreQC No. P-164912; Reviewed: 15 February, 2025, QC No. Q-164912; Revised: 20 February, 2025, Manuscript No. R-164912; Published: 27 February, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2329-9517.2025.13.648

Conclusion

Advances in immunohistochemical techniques have revolutionized the way breast cancer is classified and managed. The ability to identify specific biomarkers such as estrogen and progesterone receptors, HER2 and Ki-67 has led to more personalized treatment strategies, which significantly improve patient outcomes. Innovations in multiplex IHC, digital pathology and antibody technology have further enhanced the sensitivity and accuracy of these techniques, making them indispensable tools in clinical practice. By providing a comprehensive molecular profile of breast tumors, IHC allows clinicians to better stratify patients, predict prognosis and tailor treatments to individual needs. However, despite these advancements, challenges such as staining variability and marker specificity remain, highlighting the need for continued innovation in the field. Looking ahead, the integration of IHC with other emerging technologies, such as genomic and proteomic profiling, will likely further enhance our understanding of breast cancer and improve the precision of tumor classification and treatment. Ultimately, the goal is to provide patients with treatments that are not only more effective but also more personalized, minimizing side effects and improving quality of life.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Kléberandré G. and Yoram Rudy. "Basic mechanisms of cardiac impulse propagation and associated arrhythmias." *Physiol Rev* 84 (2004): 431-488.
2. Micha, John P, Mark A. Rettenmaier, Randy D. Bohart and Bram H. Goldstein. "Hormone therapy and risk of breast cancer: Where are we now?." *J Menopausal Med* 28 (2022): 47.
3. McTiernan, Anne. "Behavioral risk factors in breast cancer: Can risk be modified?." *Oncologist* 8 (2003): 326-334.
4. Sun, Hongxia, Qingqing Ding and Aysegul A. Sahin. "Immunohistochemistry in the diagnosis and classification of breast tumors." *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 147 (2023): 1119-1132.
5. Towbin, Jeffrey A, Angela Lorts and John Lynn Jefferies. "Left ventricular non-compaction cardiomyopathy." *Lancet* 386 (2015): 813-825.

How to cite this article: Sadykova, Dilyara. "Advances in Immunohistochemical Techniques for Breast Tumor Classification." *J Cardiovasc Dis Diagn* 13 (2025): 648.