

Adapting Forensic Laboratories: Biosafety amid the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic

Winter Huss*

Department of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, USA

Introduction

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, which shook the world in unprecedented ways, has had far-reaching consequences across various sectors of society. One field that is feeling the impact, though less discussed, is forensic science. As forensic laboratories grapple with the challenges posed by the pandemic, the need for enhanced biosafety measures has become increasingly apparent. In this article, we explore the imperative of implementing stringent biosafety protocols in forensic laboratories and discuss how the handling and storage of samples must be re-evaluated to ensure both the safety of lab personnel and the integrity of forensic investigations.

Description

Forensic laboratories play a critical role in the criminal justice system, aiding in the investigation and resolution of crimes. They handle a wide array of biological samples, from blood and tissue to hair and DNA. However, in the context of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, these labs face the challenge of ensuring the safety of their personnel while maintaining the integrity of the evidence they handle. One of the core aspects of implementing effective biosafety measures in forensic laboratories is the re-evaluation of protocols for sample handling and storage. This is especially relevant during a pandemic when the risk of exposure to infectious agents is heightened [1].

The use of appropriate PPE, such as gloves, masks and gowns, is essential. Forensic personnel must be equipped with the necessary protective gear to minimize the risk of contamination. Adequate ventilation systems should be in place to ensure proper airflow and minimize the concentration of potentially infectious aerosols in the laboratory. Samples, especially those that may carry viral particles, need to be handled and contained meticulously. Using specialized containers and secure storage systems is crucial. Regular and rigorous decontamination of surfaces and equipment must be established to prevent cross-contamination. The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has disrupted the functioning of forensic laboratories in several ways. As frontline responders, forensic personnel may be at an increased risk of exposure to the virus. This not only poses a threat to their health but also compromises the integrity of forensic casework [2].

Staff shortages due to illness or quarantine measures can disrupt forensic casework, leading to case backlogs and delays in the justice system. The risk of contamination or degradation of samples is heightened due to the virus's presence, potentially impacting the accuracy of forensic analyses. Limited resources and the need for stringent biosafety measures may necessitate the prioritization of cases, potentially delaying investigations. As forensic

laboratories adapt to the new reality of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, a concerted effort must be made to enhance biosafety measures. These measures not only protect the well-being of lab personnel but also ensure the reliability of forensic investigations. A combination of rigorous training, updated protocols and investment in state-of-the-art equipment is needed to mitigate the negative effects of the pandemic.

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has presented a unique set of challenges for forensic laboratories. Safeguarding justice during this time requires a commitment to stringent biosafety measures. The re-evaluation of protocols for sample handling and storage is paramount to minimize the risk of exposure and contamination while maintaining the integrity of forensic casework. By prioritizing biosafety, forensic laboratories can continue to serve their critical role in the pursuit of justice, even in the face of a global health crisis. The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, which emerged in late 2019, has sent shockwaves through virtually every facet of society, profoundly altering the way we live, work and conduct research. One such area affected by the pandemic is the field of forensic science and genetics research [3].

In this article, we explore the negative effects of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on forensic casework and genetics research and highlight the challenges and disruptions experienced by these essential disciplines. Forensic casework plays a pivotal role in the criminal justice system, helping to solve crimes, exonerate the innocent and bring perpetrators to justice. The pandemic, however, has introduced several adverse effects that threaten the efficiency and effectiveness of these investigations. The pandemic disrupted normal workflow in forensic laboratories. Staff shortages, increased health and safety measures and the need for remote work all contributed to significant backlogs in casework. This can lead to delays in investigations and justice proceedings [4].

Limited availability of personal protective equipment (PPE), testing supplies and other resources has strained forensic laboratories' ability to operate at full capacity, further contributing to backlogs and delays. The need for strict safety measures has also raised concerns about the quality of forensic analysis. In some cases, rushed or remote work may compromise the integrity of evidence and results. Forensic personnel, already under high stress due to the nature of their work, have faced additional mental and emotional burdens during the pandemic. The increased workload and safety concerns have taken a toll on many professionals in the field.

Genetics research is another area deeply impacted by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. This field encompasses a wide range of disciplines, from genomics and gene therapy to forensic genetics and the disruptions have been felt across the board. Many research laboratories temporarily closed during the height of the pandemic to ensure the safety of their personnel. This led to interruptions in ongoing research projects and experiments. Genetics research relies heavily on a consistent supply of reagents, equipment and materials. The pandemic disrupted supply chains, causing delays and resource shortages. In fields like human genetics and epidemiology, the ability to collect data from participants was hampered due to social distancing and lockdown measures, affecting the progress of numerous studies [5].

Conclusion

The collaborative nature of genetics research was hindered by restrictions

*Address for Correspondence: Winter Huss, Department of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, USA, E-mail: winterhuss@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2023 Huss W. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 29 September, 2023, Manuscript No. jgge-23-116738; Editor assigned: 02 October, 2023, PreQC No. P-116738; Reviewed: 17 October, 2023, QC No. Q-116738; Revised: 23 October, 2023, Manuscript No. R-116738; Published: 30 October, 2023, DOI: 10.37421/2684-4567.2023.7.91

on in-person meetings and travel, affecting the ability to exchange ideas and advance research projects. Despite the negative effects, forensic casework and genetics research have displayed remarkable resilience and adaptation during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Many forensic laboratories have improved safety measures, implemented remote work capabilities and adopted strategies to manage casework backlogs. In genetics research, scientists have embraced virtual collaboration, innovative data collection methods and new ways to share research findings. The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has left no area of society untouched, including the fields of forensic casework and genetics research. Despite the negative effects and disruptions, these essential disciplines have demonstrated remarkable adaptability and resilience in the face of unprecedented challenges. As the pandemic continues to evolve, the lessons learned from these experiences will likely shape the future of forensic science and genetics research, ultimately contributing to a stronger, more agile scientific community.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Pagani, Marco, Noemi Barsotti, Alice Bertero and Stavros Trakoshis, et al. "mTOR-related synaptic pathology causes autism spectrum disorder-associated functional hyperconnectivity." *Nat Commun* 12 (2021): 6084.
2. Mitchell, Diana E., Soledad Miranda-Rottmann, Maxime Blanchard and Roberto Araya. "Altered integration of excitatory inputs onto the basal dendrites of layer 5 pyramidal neurons in a mouse model of Fragile X syndrome." *PNAS* 120 (2023): e2208963120.
3. Peter, Saša, Michiel M. Ten Brinke, Jeffrey Stedehouder and Claudia M. Reinelt, et al. "Dysfunctional cerebellar Purkinje cells contribute to autism-like behaviour in Shank2-deficient mice." *Nat Commun* 7 (2016): 12627.
4. Li, Qing, Deshan Perera, Chen Cao and Jingni He, et al. "Interaction-integrated linear mixed model reveals 3D-genetic basis underlying Autism." *Genom* 115 (2023): 110575.
5. Li, Qing, Chen Cao, Deshan Perera and Jingni He, et al. "Statistical model integrating interactions into genotype-phenotype association mapping: An application to reveal 3D-genetic basis underlying Autism." *Biorxiv* (2020): 2020-07.

How to cite this article: Huss, Winter. "Adapting Forensic Laboratories: Biosafety amid the SARS-Cov-2 Pandemic." *J Genet Genom* 7 (2023): 91.