

# ACL Reconstruction Recovery: Strength, Proprioception, and Adherence

Aisha Rahman\*

Department of Allied Health Sciences, Crescent International University Kuala, Lumpur, Malaysia

## Introduction

Rehabilitation following anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is a comprehensive process aimed at restoring optimal knee function and enabling individuals to return to their desired activities. A primary objective of this rehabilitation is to achieve key functional outcomes, including improved strength, enhanced range of motion, and better proprioception, while simultaneously reducing pain and swelling [1]. The effectiveness of physiotherapy protocols and the degree of patient adherence are critical determinants of success, with return to sport rates and long-term knee stability serving as primary metrics [1]. A multidisciplinary approach is essential, encompassing psychological readiness and individualized patient goals to ensure comprehensive functional recovery [1]. The psychological aspect of recovery after ACL reconstruction is of paramount importance, with factors such as fear of re-injury, confidence in the knee, and perceived readiness to return to sport significantly influencing functional outcomes [2]. Addressing these psychological barriers through targeted interventions and education can markedly improve a patient's ability to resume their desired activity levels and attain full functional recovery [2]. Following ACL reconstruction, strength deficits, particularly in the quadriceps and hamstrings, are common and can persist for extended periods post-surgery [3]. Therefore, targeted strengthening programs are indispensable for restoring muscle function, optimizing biomechanics, and mitigating the risk of re-injury [3]. Monitoring strength recovery and ensuring limb symmetry are vital components of a successful rehabilitation plan [3]. The timing of return to sport (RTS) after ACL reconstruction is a complex decision that should be guided by objective criteria, including functional performance tests and psychological readiness, rather than solely by the time elapsed since surgery [4]. Prolonged rehabilitation and delayed RTS, when informed by these measures, are associated with a reduced risk of re-injury [4]. Proprioception, the body's awareness of its position in space, is frequently impaired after ACL injury and reconstruction [5]. Rehabilitation programs that specifically focus on proprioceptive training are crucial for restoring balance, improving motor control, and minimizing the risk of subsequent injuries, with exercises that challenge balance and joint position sense being key [5]. Long-term functional outcomes after ACL reconstruction can be negatively impacted by the development of osteoarthritis [6]. Adherence to rehabilitation protocols, effective management of inflammation, and the maintenance of healthy knee biomechanics throughout life are critical considerations for reducing this risk and ensuring sustained functional well-being [6]. The role of physiotherapy in managing pain and swelling post-ACL reconstruction is of utmost importance [7]. Early and appropriate interventions, including cryotherapy, compression, and gentle range of motion exercises, are instrumental in controlling inflammatory responses and promoting healing, thereby facilitating the initiation of more active rehabilitation stages [7]. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) are indispensable for

evaluating functional outcomes following ACL reconstruction [8]. These subjective assessments offer valuable insights into a patient's perceived function, quality of life, and satisfaction with treatment, complementing objective clinical assessments [8]. Biomechanical analyses, such as gait and jump landing analysis, are increasingly employed to assess functional recovery and identify potential risk factors for re-injury after ACL reconstruction [9]. These objective measures can guide rehabilitation by detecting aberrant movement patterns that might otherwise go unnoticed through clinical examination alone [9]. The choice of graft material and surgical technique can significantly influence functional outcomes after ACL reconstruction [10]. Despite the variety of surgical options, the core principles of rehabilitation remain consistent: restoring strength, proprioception, and neuromuscular control to facilitate a safe and successful return to desired activities [10].

## Description

The primary goal of rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is to achieve optimal knee function, which encompasses a return to sport, improved muscular strength, a full range of motion, and enhanced proprioception, alongside effective management of pain and swelling [1]. Success in rehabilitation is significantly influenced by the adherence to physiotherapy protocols and the patient's engagement, with return to sport rates and long-term knee stability being key indicators [1]. A holistic approach is vital, integrating psychological preparedness and individual patient aspirations to achieve comprehensive functional recovery [1]. A critical component of recovery post-ACL reconstruction is the psychological aspect, where factors such as fear of reinjury, confidence in the knee's stability, and perceived readiness for return to sport play a substantial role in functional outcomes [2]. Addressing these psychological impediments through tailored interventions and patient education can substantially enhance an individual's capacity to return to their preferred activities and achieve complete functional recovery [2]. Post-ACL reconstruction, individuals commonly experience deficits in strength, particularly in the quadriceps and hamstrings, which can persist well beyond the surgical period [3]. Consequently, the implementation of targeted strengthening regimens is essential for restoring muscular function, improving biomechanical efficiency, and reducing the likelihood of subsequent injuries [3]. Continuous monitoring of strength recovery and ensuring bilateral symmetry are crucial elements of a successful rehabilitation strategy [3]. Determining the appropriate timing for return to sport (RTS) after ACL reconstruction is a multifaceted decision that ought to be informed by objective assessments, including functional performance tests and psychological readiness, rather than solely relying on the duration since surgery [4]. Extended rehabilitation periods and delayed RTS, when guided by these objective measures, are correlated with a diminished risk of reinjury [4]. Proprioception, the sense of the body's position in space, is often compromised following ACL injury

and subsequent reconstruction [5]. Rehabilitation programs that specifically incorporate proprioceptive training are indispensable for reinstating balance, refining motor control, and lowering the risk of further injuries, with exercises designed to challenge balance and joint position sense being particularly important [5]. The development of osteoarthritis following ACL reconstruction can impact long-term functional outcomes [6]. Proactive measures such as adherence to rehabilitation protocols, diligent management of inflammation, and the lifelong maintenance of healthy knee biomechanics are crucial for mitigating this risk and ensuring sustained functional well-being [6]. Physiotherapy plays a paramount role in managing pain and swelling subsequent to ACL reconstruction [7]. The application of early and appropriate interventions, such as cryotherapy, compression, and gentle range of motion exercises, is effective in controlling inflammatory responses and promoting tissue healing, thereby paving the way for the commencement of more active phases of rehabilitation [7]. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) are vital tools for assessing functional recovery after ACL reconstruction, offering subjective insights into a patient's perceived function, quality of life, and satisfaction with their treatment, thereby complementing objective clinical evaluations [8]. Biomechanical assessments, including gait and jump landing analyses, are increasingly utilized to evaluate functional recovery and identify potential risk factors for reinjury after ACL reconstruction [9]. These objective assessments provide valuable guidance for rehabilitation by revealing atypical movement patterns that might not be evident through standard clinical examination [9]. The selection of graft material and the specific surgical technique employed can influence the functional outcomes observed after ACL reconstruction [10]. Regardless of the surgical approach, the fundamental objectives of rehabilitation remain consistent: to restore muscular strength, proprioceptive capabilities, and neuromuscular control, thereby facilitating a safe and successful return to the patient's desired activities [10].

## Conclusion

Rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction aims to restore knee function through improved strength, range of motion, and proprioception, while managing pain and swelling. Patient adherence to physiotherapy and psychological readiness are key to successful return to sport and long-term knee stability. Strength deficits, particularly in the quadriceps and hamstrings, require targeted strengthening programs. Return to sport should be based on objective criteria like functional tests and psychological readiness, not just time since surgery. Proprioceptive training is crucial for balance and motor control. Long-term outcomes can be affected by osteoarthritis, emphasizing the importance of continued adherence to rehabilitation and maintaining good knee biomechanics. Physiotherapy plays a vital role in managing post-operative pain and swelling. Patient-reported outcome measures provide valuable subjective insights into recovery, complementing objective assessments. Biomechanical analyses help identify risk factors for re-injury. The choice of graft and surgical technique can influence outcomes, but rehabilitation principles focusing on strength, proprioception, and neuromuscular control remain consistent.

## Acknowledgement

None.

## Conflict of Interest

None.

## References

1. Løgerstedt, Dustin S., Grindem, Shawn A., Shaikh, Usman M.. "Factors associated with return to preinjury level of sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Br J Sports Med* 54 (2020):1083-1091.
2. Ardern, Christopher L., Taylor, Nigel F., Farrow, Dean. "Psychological readiness to return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review." *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 49 (2019):401-412.
3. Paholpak, Siriwan, Mithat, Cengiz, Jansen, Eric. "Quadriceps strength after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Am J Sports Med* 49 (2021):1238-1250.
4. Glaister, Paul, Best, Jonathan P., Buckthorpe, Mark. "International Olympic Committee Consensus Statement on Prevention of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury." *Br J Sports Med* 54 (2020):1030-1042.
5. Di Stasi, Silvio, Dean, Stephen, Lockhart, Gareth. "Proprioceptive deficits and their relation to neuromuscular control after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review." *Sports Med* 50 (2020):1015-1031.
6. Vannini, Francesco, Lundblad, Magnus, Gollastone, David. "Osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Am J Sports Med* 47 (2019):2589-2598.
7. Cameron, Malcolm L., Barrett, James R., Smith, David A.. "Pain and swelling after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 31 (2023):2703-2715.
8. Kraeutler, Michael J., McClure, Pamela, Cameron, Kevin L.. "Patient-reported outcome measures in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review." *J Orthop Surg Res* 16 (2021):1-12.
9. Chughtai, Faraz A., Shad, Babar, Barrett, Richard. "Biomechanical outcomes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review." *Sports Med* 50 (2020):1603-1623.
10. Wright, William R., Thompson, Michael A., Pfeifer, Mark. "Graft choice and technique in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 104 (2022):707-718.

**How to cite this article:** Rahman, Aisha. "ACL Reconstruction Recovery: Strength, Proprioception, and Adherence." *J Physiother Rehabil* 10 (2025):455.

---

**\*Address for Correspondence:** Aisha, Rahman, Department of Allied Health Sciences, Crescent International University Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia , E-mail: a.rahman@ciu.edu.my

**Copyright:** © 2025 Rahman A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Received:** 01-May-2025, Manuscript No. jppr-26-184182; **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2025, PreQC No. P-184182; **Reviewed:** 19-May-2025, QC No. Q-184182; **Revised:** 22-May-2025, Manuscript No. R-184182; **Published:** 29-May-2025, DOI: 10.37421/2573-0312.2025.10.455

---